

THE ITR'S TRIBUNAL TAX REPORTS

A JOURNAL DEDICATED TO ORDERS OF THE
INCOME-TAX APPELLATE TRIBUNAL

EDITORS :

T. A. RAMAKRISHNAN, B.Sc., B.L.
Advocate, High Court, Madras.

AMBUJAM VENKATARAMAN, M.A., LL.B.
Advocate, High Court, Madras.

T. N. CHANDRASHEKAR, B.Sc., B.L., M.B.A.
Advocate, High Court, Madras.

LAKSHMI R. SUNDARESAN, M.A., B.A.L.

MODE OF CITATION

Statutes : [2020] 80 ITR (Trib) (St.)
Reports : [2020] 80 ITR (Trib)
Short Notes : [2020] 80 ITR (Trib) (S.N.)

VOLUME 80

2020

COMPANY LAW INSTITUTE OF INDIA PRIVATE LTD.,
2, VAITHYARAM STREET,
CHENNAI-600 017.

© Company Law Institute of India Pvt. Ltd.



Disclaimer : While every effort has been made to ensure that this journal/book is free from errors or omissions, the authors, editors, publishers, printers, the company and/or its directors and other officers, shall not be liable in any manner whatsoever for any action taken or omitted to be taken, opinions expressed, advice rendered or accepted based on any materials or information published in this journal/book.

Views expressed by the editors in the notes and comments and by authors in the articles published in the Journal section are exclusively their own.

CONTENTS

	PAGES
1. TABLE OF SHORT NOTES CASES REPORTED . . .	i — ii
2. TABLE OF CASES JUDICIALLY NOTICED SHORT NOTES CASES . . .	iii
3. TABLE OF CASES REPORTED . . .	iv — vi
4. TABLE OF CASES CITED . . .	vii — xxvii
5. CASES AFFIRMED/OVERRULED/REVERSED IN ITR AND ITR-OL . . .	xxviii
6. REPORTS OF SHORT NOTES CASES . . .	1 — 48
7. REPORTS OF CASES . . .	1 — 704
8. SUBJECT INDEX OF SHORT NOTES CASES . . .	i — ix
9. SECTIONWISE INDEX OF SHORT NOTES CASES . . .	x — xv
10. SUBJECT INDEX . . .	xvi — xxviii
11. SECTIONWISE INDEX . . .	xxix — xxxvi



Published by S. Ayyappan on behalf of Company Law Institute of India Pvt. Ltd.,
No. 2 (old No. 36), Vaithyaram Street, T. Nagar, Chennai-600 017
and printed by him at Company Law Institute Press, No. 2 (old No. 36),
Vaithyaram Street, T. Nagar, Chennai-600 017.

© Company Law Institute of India Pvt. Ltd.

THE
ITR'S TRIBUNAL TAX REPORTS
VOLUME 80 — 2020
SHORT NOTES

TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

	PAGE
AP Garments P. Ltd. <i>v.</i> Deputy CIT (Kolkata)	42
Anil Jairam Goel <i>v.</i> ITO (Pune)	47
Bahar Agrochem and Feeds P. Ltd. <i>v.</i> Deputy CIT (Mumbai)	24
Bhagwan Keshu Sakhare <i>v.</i> ITO (Pune)	10
Commissioner of Income-tax (Asst.) <i>v.</i> Chadha Papers Ltd. (Delhi)	38
CIT (Joint) <i>v.</i> General Mills India P. Ltd. (Mumbai)	45
CIT (Asst.) <i>v.</i> Gurdeep Singh (Chandigarh)	14
CIT (Asst.) <i>v.</i> Indiabulls Ventures Ltd. (Delhi)	5
CIT (Asst.) <i>v.</i> Karnataka Industrial Areas Development Board (Bangalore)	1
CIT (Deputy) <i>v.</i> Dutyfree Distribution Services Pvt. Ltd. (Mumbai)	32
Fiserv India P. Ltd. <i>v.</i> Asst. CIT (Delhi)	3
Flutura Business Solutions Pvt. Ltd. <i>v.</i> ITO (Bangalore)	33
Foseco India Ltd. <i>v.</i> Deputy CIT (Pune)	29

ii	ITR'S TRIBUNAL TAX REPORTS	[VOL. 80
		PAGE
	Gulshan Harbans Dhingra <i>v.</i> ITO	(Delhi) 21
	ITO (Exemption) <i>v.</i> Dr. Bhai Mohan Singh Foundation	(Delhi) 27
	Jayant B. Patel HUF <i>v.</i> Deputy CIT	(Mumbai) 44
	Karnataka Industrial Areas Development Board <i>v.</i> CIT (Asst.)	(Bangalore) 1
	Kumudini V. Gavitt (Smt.) <i>v.</i> ITO	(Pune) 30
	Laxmi Narayan Jewellery <i>v.</i> ITO	(Cuttack) 17
	Laxmi Yadav (Smt.) <i>v.</i> ITO	(Kolkata) 22
	Mehra Eyeteck P. Ltd. <i>v.</i> Addl. CIT	(Mumbai) 35
	Rajeev Verma <i>v.</i> Asst. CIT	(Delhi) 12
	Rohit Kapur <i>v.</i> Addl. CIT	(Delhi) 7
	SKG Wooden Works Pvt. Ltd. <i>v.</i> ITO	(Delhi) 28
	State Bank of India <i>v.</i> Addl. CIT	(Bangalore) 11
	Subhakaran Sampatlall (HUF) <i>v.</i> ITO	(Kolkata) 26
	ValueLabs LLP <i>v.</i> Asst. CIT	(Hyderabad) 19
	Venkatesh K. I. <i>v.</i> Asst. CIT	(Bangalore) 40

**TABLE OF CASES JUDICIALLY NOTICED
(SHORT NOTES CASES)**

	PAGE
Cheminvest Ltd. <i>v.</i> CIT [2015] 378 ITR 33 (Delhi) <i>relied on</i>	24
CIT <i>v.</i> Apollo Tyres Ltd. (No. 2) [2019] 416 ITR 546 (Ker) <i>relied on</i>	26
CIT (Dy.) <i>v.</i> Duty Free Distribution Services Pvt. Ltd. (I. T. A. No. 2855/Mum/2018 dated December 2, 2019) <i>followed</i>	32
CIT (Pr.) <i>v.</i> GVK Project and Technical Services Ltd. [2019] 414 ITR (St.) 7 (SC) <i>applied</i>	38
CIT <i>v.</i> Holcim India Pvt. Ltd. [2014] 272 CTR 282 (Delhi) <i>relied on</i>	24
CIT <i>v.</i> Somany Evergree Knits Ltd. [2013] 352 ITR 592 (Bom) <i>relied on</i>	30
Director of Income-tax <i>v.</i> Raghuvanshi Charitable Trust [2011] 197 Taxman 170 (Delhi) <i>relied on</i>	27
Global Logic India Ltd. <i>v.</i> Dy. CIT (I. T. A. No. 1104/Delhi/2015 and I. T. A. No. 1115/Delhi/2017 dated December 12, 2017) <i>followed</i>	19
Price Waterhouse Coopers (P.) Ltd <i>v.</i> CIT [2012] 348 ITR 306 (SC) <i>applied</i>	30
Rupee Finance and Management Pvt. Ltd. <i>v.</i> Dy. CIT [2017] 57 ITR (Trib) 205 (Mum) <i>followed</i>	26

THE
ITR'S TRIBUNAL TAX REPORTS
VOLUME 80 — 2020

TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

	PAGE
Aarti Singhal (Smt.) <i>v.</i> Deputy CIT (Chandigarh)	117
Afcons Infrastructure Limited <i>v.</i> Principal CIT (Mumbai)	410
Ajay Baldevbhai Patel <i>v.</i> ITO (Ahmedabad)	367
Alka Jain (Smt.) <i>v.</i> Asst. CIT (Delhi)	464
Arrow Digital P. Ltd. <i>v.</i> Deputy CIT (Ahmedabad)	360
Ashok Reddy (G.) <i>v.</i> ITO (Hyderabad)	550
Belmarks Metal Works <i>v.</i> ITO (Delhi)	699
Bhagwan Swroop Pathak <i>v.</i> ITO (Delhi)	89
Bhagwatiben Vinodkumar Surani <i>v.</i> ITO (Ahmedabad)	341
CIT (Deputy) <i>v.</i> Futurz Next Services P. Ltd. (Delhi)	58
CIT (Deputy) <i>v.</i> Galderma India Pvt. Ltd. (Mumbai)	452
CIT (Deputy) <i>v.</i> Taureg Properties and Security Services Ltd. (Delhi)	386
CIT (Deputy) <i>v.</i> Yahoo Software Development P. Ltd. (Bangalore)	528
First American (India) Pvt. Ltd. <i>v.</i> Asst. CIT (Bangalore)	538

2020]	TABLE OF CASES REPORTED	v
		PAGE
General Motors Overseas Corporation <i>v.</i> Asst. CIT (International Taxation)	(Mumbai)	478
Green Valley Infracity P. Ltd. <i>v.</i> ITO	(Delhi)	388
Hemalatha (G.) (Smt.) <i>v.</i> Asst. CIT	(Chennai)	456
Hindon Forge P. Ltd. <i>v.</i> Deputy CIT	(Delhi)	545
Kasi Viswanathan Ramanathan <i>v.</i> ITO	(Chennai)	461
Landbase India Ltd. <i>v.</i> Asst. CIT	(Delhi)	580
Laxman Nainani <i>v.</i> Deputy CIT	(Jaipur)	1
Mariam Education Society <i>v.</i> CIT (Exemption)	(Delhi)	380
Pentamedia Graphics Ltd. <i>v.</i> Deputy CIT	(Chennai)	555
Rajiv Madhok <i>v.</i> Asst. CIT	(Delhi)	427
Ramesh Kumar Agarwal <i>v.</i> ITO	(Delhi)	436
Rosalin Vasanthi (R.) (Smt.) <i>v.</i> ITO	(Chennai)	525
Sanjay Singhal <i>v.</i> Deputy CIT	(Chandigarh)	117
Satya Narayan Choudhary <i>v.</i> Asst. CIT	(Jodhpur)	95
Srikanth (K.) <i>v.</i> Asst. CIT	(Chennai)	272
Sunil Malhotra <i>v.</i> Asst. CIT	(Delhi)	372
Taureg Properties and Security Services Ltd. <i>v.</i> CIT (Deputy)	(Delhi)	386

	PAGE
UMG Properties P. Ltd. <i>v.</i> Asst. CIT	(Delhi) 448
Voith Paper GmbH <i>v.</i> Deputy DIT	(Delhi) 589



TABLE OF CASES CITED

	PAGE
A. R. Real Estate Developers Pvt. Ltd. v. ITO (I. T. A. No. 804/Chennai/2019 dated September 18, 2019)	272
Abdul Majeed (P. S.) v. Agrl. ITO and STO [1994] 209 ITR 821 (Ker)	117
All Cargo Global Logistics Ltd. v. Dy. CIT [2012] 18 ITR (Trib) 106 (Mumbai) [SB]	117
Amarjit Singh Bakshi (HUF) v. Asst. CIT [2003] 86 ITD 13 (Delhi)	117
Andaman Timber Industries v. CCE [2016] 38 GSTR 117 (SC)	117, 436
Anglo French Textile Company Ltd. v. CIT [1953] 23 ITR 101 (SC)	589
Anglo-French Textile Co Ltd. v. CIT [1954] 25 ITR 27 (SC)	589
Angus Robertson v. George Day [1879] 5 AC 63	58
Anil Rai v. State of Bihar [2002] 3 BCR 360 (SC)	272
Annamalais Timber Trust and Co. v. CIT [1961] 41 ITR 781 (Mad)	589
Apollo Tyres Ltd. v. CIT [2002] 255 ITR 273 (SC) <i>applied</i>	528
Approva Systems Pvt. Ltd. v. Dy. CIT (I. T. A. No. 1051/Pune/2015 dated March 12, 2018)	528
Arun Kumar Bhansali v. Dy. CIT [2006] 10 SOT 46 (Bang) (URO)	95
Arvind Asmal Mehta v. ITO (I. T. A. No. 2799/Mum/2015 dated February 29, 2016)	117
Ashok Kumar Gupta v. Dy. CIT (I. T. A. Nos. 501-502/Kolkata/2013 dated June 2, 2015)	117
Ashok Manilal Thakkar v. Asst. CIT [2005] 279 ITR (AT) 143 (Ahd)	117
Ashok Pai (T.) v. CIT [2007] 292 ITR 11 (SC)	1
Ashwani Kumar Bharadwaj v. Dy. CIT [1998] 21 TW 358 (JP)	1
Ashwani Kumar v. ITO [1991] 39 ITD 183 (Delhi)	1
Asia Satellite Telecommunications Co. Ltd. v. DIT [2011] 238 CTR 233 (Delhi)	589
Assam Tea Co. v. ITO [2005] 92 ITD 85 (Amritsar) [SB]	117
Avadh Kishore Dass v. Ram Gopal [1979] AIR 1979 SC 861	95
Ayushi Patni v. Dy. CIT (I. T. A. No. 1424/Pune/2016 dated January 17, 2019) <i>followed</i>	427
Bagsu Devi Bafna v. CIT [1966] 62 ITR 506 (Cal)	117

viii	ITR'S TRIBUNAL TAX REPORTS	[VOL. 80
		PAGE
Baker Hughes Asia Pacific Ltd. v. Addl. DIT (I. T.) [2014] 34 ITR (Trib) 192 (Delhi)		589
Balakrishnan (N.) v. M. Krishnamurthy [1998] 7 SCC 123		1
Bangodaya Cotton Mills Ltd. v. CIT [2009] 21 DTR 200 (Cal)		117
Bastimal K. Jain v. ITO (I. T. A. No. 2896/Mum/2014, dated June 8, 2016)		427
Bawa Abhai Singh v. Dy. CIT [2002] 253 ITR 83 (Delhi)		272
Bellsea Ltd. v. Asst. DIT (I. T.) [2018] 66 ITR (Trib) (S.N.) 29 (Delhi)		589
Bhagirath Aggarwal v. CIT [2013] 351 ITR 143 (Delhi)		117
Bharat Sanchar Nigam Ltd. v. Union of India [2006] 282 ITR 273 (SC)		478, 589
Brij Bhushan Singal v. Asst. CIT (I. T. A. Nos. 1412-1414, 1476-1478, 1482, 1485-1487/Delhi/2018 dated October 31, 2018) <i>followed</i>		117
Calcutta Discount Co. Ltd. v. ITO [1961] 41 ITR 191 (SC)		272
Calcutta Jute Manufacturing Co. v. CTO [1997] 106 STC 433 (SC)		589
Cannon Industries (P.) Ltd. v. Dy. CIT [2015] 59 taxmann.com 65 (Mum-Trib)		117
Cape Brandy Syndicate v. IRC [1921] 1 KB 64		58, 589
CBDT v. Oberoi Hotels (India) P. Ltd. [1998] 231 ITR 148 (SC)		478
Central Bureau of Investigation v. V. C. Shukla [1998] AIR 1998 SC 1406		117
Central Province Manganese Ore Co. Ltd. v. CIT [1986] 160 ITR 961 (SC)		589
Chainroop Bohra v. Dy. CIT (I. T. A. Nos. 24 to 27/Kolkata/2013 dated October 9, 2015)		117
Chandan Gupta v. CIT [2015] 54 taxmann.com 10 (P&H)		117
Charan Singh v. Chandra Bhan Singh [1988] AIR 1988 SC 637		117
Cheminvest Ltd. v. CIT [2015] 378 ITR 33 (Delhi) <i>relied on</i>		58
Chuharmal v. CIT [1988] 172 ITR 250 (SC)		117
Collector, Land Acquisition v. Mst. Katiji [1987] 167 ITR 471 (SC) <i>followed</i>		1
Columbia Sportswear Company v. DIT [2012] 346 ITR 161 (SC) <i>distinguished</i>		478
Commerce Union Bank v. Tidwell 538 S.W.2d 405		555
Commissioner of Commercial Tax (Dy.) v. A. R. S. Thirumeninatha Nadar Firm [1968] 21 STC 184 (Mad)		589

2020]	TABLE OF CASES CITED	ix
		PAGE
Commissioner of Customs <i>v.</i> Pentamedia Graphics Ltd. [2006] (6) SCJ 601		555
CIT <i>v.</i> Abdul Mannan Shah Mohammed [2001] 248 ITR 614 (Bom)		410
CIT <i>v.</i> Abhinandan Investment Ltd. [2016] 6 ITR-OL 139 (Delhi)		341
CIT <i>v.</i> Aggarwal (S. M.) [2007] 293 ITR 43 (Delhi)		117
CIT <i>v.</i> Ahmedbhai Umarbhai and Co. [1950] 18 ITR 472 (SC)		589
CIT <i>v.</i> Ajax Products Ltd. [1965] 55 ITR 741 (SC)		589
CIT <i>v.</i> All Cargo Global Logistics Ltd. [2015] 374 ITR 645 (Bom)		117
CIT <i>v.</i> Ambassador Travels (P.) Ltd. [2009] 318 ITR 376 (Delhi)		58
CIT (Asst.) <i>v.</i> Amit Dayanand Irshid (I. T. A. No. 988 (Pune) of 2011 dated April 29, 2013)		117
CIT <i>v.</i> Amitabh Bachchan [2016] 384 ITR 200 (SC)		410
CIT <i>v.</i> Anil Khandelwal [2015] 93 CCH 42 (Del HC) ; MANU/DE/1326/2015)		117
CIT <i>v.</i> Anil Kumar Bhatia [2013] 352 ITR 493 (Delhi)		117
CIT <i>v.</i> Arvind Kumar Jain 2011 (9) TMI 363		58
CIT <i>v.</i> Arvinda Reddy (T.N.) [1979] 120 ITR 46 (SC)		117
CIT (Dy.) <i>v.</i> Asha V. Mehta (I. T. A. Nos. 6405/Mum/2012 and 6998/Mum/2012 dated September 21, 2016)		117
CIT <i>v.</i> Atlas Steel Co. Ltd. [1987] 164 ITR 401 (Cal)		589
CIT <i>v.</i> Atul Engineering Udyog [2014] 10 TMI 41 (All)		58
CIT <i>v.</i> Balasubramanian (P.) [2013] 354 ITR 116 (Mad)		117
CIT <i>v.</i> Beena K. Jain (Smt.) [1996] 217 ITR 363 (Bom)		427
CIT <i>v.</i> Bertrams Scott Ltd. [1987] 31 Taxman 444 (Cal)		589
CIT (Pr.) <i>v.</i> Best Infrastructure (India) Pvt. Ltd. [2017] 397 ITR 82 (Delhi)		117
CIT <i>v.</i> Bhanwarlal [1997] 225 ITR 870 (Raj)		95
CIT <i>v.</i> Bholanath Radha Krishan (I. T. A. No. 5149/Delhi/2012 dated April 5, 2013)		117
CIT <i>v.</i> Bholanath Poly Fab Pvt. Ltd. [2013] 355 ITR 290 (Guj)		699
CIT <i>v.</i> Biju Patnaik [1986] 160 ITR 674 (SC)		341
CIT <i>v.</i> Bradford Trading Co. Pvt. Ltd. [2003] 261 ITR 222 (Mad)		272
CIT <i>v.</i> Calcutta Stock Exchange Association Ltd. [1959] 36 ITR 222 (SC)		580
CIT <i>v.</i> Chandra Sekhar (M.) [1985] 151 ITR 433 (SC)		589
CIT <i>v.</i> Chemech Laboratories Ltd. (TCA No. 1492 of 2007 dated December 23, 2016, November 11, 2016) (Mad)		272

x

ITR'S TRIBUNAL TAX REPORTS

[VOL. 80

	PAGE
CIT <i>v.</i> Chetan Das Lachman Das [2012] 254 CTR 392 (Delhi)	117
CIT <i>v.</i> Continental Warehousing Corporation (Nhava Sheva) Ltd. [2015] 374 ITR 645 (Bom)	117
CIT <i>v.</i> Creative Dyeing and Printing (P.) Ltd. [2009] 318 ITR 476 (Delhi)	58
CIT <i>v.</i> Design and Automation Engineers (Bombay) (P.) Ltd. [2010] 323 ITR 632 (Bom)	410
CIT (Pr.) <i>v.</i> Devangi <i>alias</i> Rupa [2017] 394 ITR 184 (Guj)	117
CIT <i>v.</i> Dharam Pal Prem Chand Ltd. [2007] 295 ITR 105 (Delhi)	117
CIT (Dy.) <i>v.</i> Dharampal Satyapal Ltd. (I. T. A. Nos. 3877 to 3881/Delhi/2016 dated May 17, 2018)	117
CIT (Pr.) <i>v.</i> Dipak Jashvantlal Panchal [2017] 397 ITR 153 (Guj)	117
CIT <i>v.</i> Durga Prasad More [1971] 82 ITR 540 (SC)	117, 341, 464
CIT <i>v.</i> Dyaneswaran (A. N.) [2008] 297 ITR 135 (Mad)	117
CIT <i>v.</i> Eastern Commercial Enterprises [1994] 210 ITR 103 (Cal)	117
CIT (Jt.) <i>v.</i> Essar Oil Ltd. [2006] 7 SOT 216 (Mum)	478
CIT <i>v.</i> Excel Industries Ltd. [2013] 358 ITR 295 (SC)	410
CIT <i>v.</i> Fair Finvest Ltd. [2013] 357 ITR 146 (Delhi)	117
CIT (Dy.) <i>v.</i> G. S. Controls P. Ltd. (I. T. A. No. 1560/Delhi/2010 dated March 13, 2015)	117
CIT <i>v.</i> Gabriel India Ltd. [1993] 203 ITR 108 (Bom)	410
CIT (Asst.) <i>v.</i> Galderma India Pvt. Ltd. (I. T. A. Nos. 80 and 138/Mum/2016 dated April 2, 2018)	452
CIT <i>v.</i> Gangeshwari Metal Pvt. Ltd. [2014] 361 ITR 10 (Delhi)	117
CIT (Dy.) <i>v.</i> Gaurav Arora 2019 (3) TMI 1289 <i>relied on</i>	58
CIT (Asst.) <i>v.</i> Gee Ispat Pvt. Ltd. (I. T. A. Nos. 4256 to 4259/Delhi/2014 dated May 31, 2018)	117
CIT <i>v.</i> Gem Plus Jewellery India Ltd. [2011] 330 ITR 175 (Bom) <i>relied on</i>	528
CIT <i>v.</i> Godavaridevi Saraf (Smt.) [1978] 113 ITR 589 (Bom)	699
CIT <i>v.</i> Goel Songs Golden Estate Pvt. Ltd. (I. T. A. No. 212 of 2012 dated April 11, 2012) (Delhi)	117
CIT <i>v.</i> Gotla (J. H.) [1985] 156 ITR 323 (SC)	589
CIT <i>v.</i> Gulf Oil (Great Britain) Ltd. [1977] 108 ITR 874 (Bom)	589
CIT (Pr.) <i>v.</i> Gulmohar Green Golf and Country Club Ltd. [2017] 392 ITR 601 (Guj)	580
CIT <i>v.</i> Gurinder Singh Bawa [2016] 386 ITR 483 (Bom)	117
CIT <i>v.</i> Gurnam Singh [2010] 327 ITR 278 (P&H)	89

2020]	TABLE OF CASES CITED	xi
		PAGE
	CIT <i>v.</i> Harjeev Aggarwal [2016] 6 ITR-OL 504 (Delhi)	117
	CIT <i>v.</i> HCL Technologies Ltd. [2018] 404 ITR 719 (SC) <i>applied</i>	528
	CIT (Dy.) <i>v.</i> Himanshu B. Kanakia (I. T. A. No. 3187/Mum/2014 dated January 18, 2016)	117
	CIT <i>v.</i> Hindustan Housing and Land Development Trust Ltd. [1986] 161 ITR 524 (SC)	410
	CIT <i>v.</i> Hindustan Shipyard Ltd. [1977] 109 ITR 158 (AP)	589
	CIT (Dy.) <i>v.</i> Honda Siel Cars Ltd. [2013] 21 ITR (Trib) 497 (Delhi)	555
	CIT (Dy.) <i>v.</i> Housing Development and Finance Corp. Ltd. [2006] 98 ITD 319 (Mum)	341
	CIT (Asst.) <i>v.</i> Hukum Chand Jain [2011] 337 ITR 238 (Chhatisgarh)	117
	CIT <i>v.</i> Hyundai Heavy Industries Co. Ltd. [2007] 291 ITR 482 (SC)	589
	CIT <i>v.</i> IBC Knowledge Park P. Ltd. [2016] 385 ITR 346 (Karn)	117
	CIT <i>v.</i> Ideal Garden Complex P. Ltd. [2012] 340 ITR 609 (Mad)	272
	CIT <i>v.</i> Indrajit Singh Suri [2013] 33 taxmann.com 281 (Guj)	117
	CIT (Dy.) <i>v.</i> Jayachandran (T.) [2018] 406 ITR 1 (SC)	272
	CIT (Dy.) <i>v.</i> Jaypee Greens Ltd. (I. T. A. No. 3545-3547/Delhi/2009 dated March 9, 2010)	580
	CIT (Dy.) <i>v.</i> JSW Ltd. [2020] 79 ITR (Trib) 585 (Mumbai)	272
	CIT (Asst.) <i>v.</i> Jorawar Singh M. Rathod [2005] 148 Taxman 35 (Ahd) (Mag)	95
	CIT <i>v.</i> Kabul Chawla [2016] 380 ITR 573 (Delhi)	117
	CIT <i>v.</i> Kalyanasundaram (P. V.) [2006] 282 ITR 259 (Mad)	456
	CIT <i>v.</i> Kalyanasundaram (P. V.) [2007] 294 ITR 49 (SC)	456
	CIT (Asst.) <i>v.</i> Kamal Kishore Aggarwal and Sons (HUF) (I. T. A. No. 2504/Delhi/2011)	117
	CIT <i>v.</i> Kamal Wahal [2013] 351 ITR 4 (Delhi) <i>relied on</i>	89
	CIT <i>v.</i> Kaushalya (Smt.) [1995] 216 ITR 660 (Bom)	545
	CIT (Asst.) <i>v.</i> Kela Devi Agarwal (Smt.) (I. T. A. No. 75/Agra/2010 dated October 29, 2010)	117
	CIT <i>v.</i> Kelvinator of India Ltd. [2010] 320 ITR 561 (SC)	272, 699
	CIT (Asst.) <i>v.</i> Kishore Lal Balwani Rai [2007] 17 SOT 380 (Chd)	117
	CIT <i>v.</i> Krishnaveni Ammal [1986] 158 ITR 826 (Mad)	117
	CIT (Pr.) <i>v.</i> Kurele Paper Mills P. Ltd. [2016] 380 ITR 571 (Delhi)	117
	CIT <i>v.</i> Land Development Corporation [2009] 316 ITR 328 (Karn)	117
	CIT (Addl.) <i>v.</i> Lata Mangeshkar (Miss) [1974] 97 ITR 696 (Bom)	117

xii	ITR'S TRIBUNAL TAX REPORTS	[VOL. 80
		PAGE
	CIT <i>v.</i> M. K. Brothers [1987] 163 ITR 249 (Guj)	117
	CIT (Asst.) <i>v.</i> Madras Madurai Properties (P.) Ltd. 2010 (11) TMI 141. Chennai Tribunal	58
	CIT <i>v.</i> Mandalay Investment P. Ltd. [2011] 332 ITR 602 (SC)	272
	CIT <i>v.</i> Manjunatha Cotton and Ginning Factory [2013] 359 ITR 565 (Karn)	1, 545
	CIT <i>v.</i> Manu Engineering Works [1980] 122 ITR 306 (Guj)	1
	CIT (Asst.) <i>v.</i> Marvel Associates [2018] 65 ITR (Trib) (S.N.) 23 (Vizag) <i>followed</i>	1
	CIT <i>v.</i> Max India Ltd. [2007] 295 ITR 282 (SC)	410
	CIT (Pr.) <i>v.</i> Meenakshi Overseas (P.) Ltd. [2017] 395 ITR 677 (Delhi)	117
	CIT (Dy.) <i>v.</i> Meenakshi Agrawal (Smt.) (I. T. A. No. 265/Agra/2009 dated May 6, 2011)	117
	CIT (Pr.) <i>v.</i> Meeta Gutgutia Prop. Ferns 'N' Petals [2017] 395 ITR 526 (Delhi) <i>on appeal</i> [2018] 405 ITR (St.) 28 (SC)	117
	CIT <i>v.</i> Mediworld Publications P. Ltd. [2011] 337 ITR 178 (Delhi)	272
	CIT (Asst.) <i>v.</i> Meroform India Pvt. Ltd. [2018] 66 ITR (Trib) 306 (Delhi)	117
	CIT <i>v.</i> Mitsui Engineering and Ship Building Co. Ltd. [2003] 259 ITR 248 (Delhi) <i>followed</i>	589
	CIT <i>v.</i> Mohanakala (P.) [2007] 291 ITR 278 (SC)	117, 341
	CIT (Pr.) <i>v.</i> NRA Iron and Steel (P.) Ltd. [2019] 412 ITR 161 (SC)	117
	CIT (Pr.) <i>v.</i> Nandkishor Huaschand Jalan [2019] 412 ITR 357 (Guj)	699
	CIT (Dy.) <i>v.</i> Narendra Mohan Bagroy [2004] 90 ITD 90 (Patna)	272
	CIT <i>v.</i> Naresh Kumar Agarwal [2014] 369 ITR 171 (T&AP)	95
	CIT (Dy.) <i>v.</i> Netvision Web Technologies Ltd. [2013] 37 CCH 280 (Ahd. Trib.)	555
	CIT <i>v.</i> Neyveli Lignite Corporation Ltd. [2000] 243 ITR 459 (Mad)	589
	CIT <i>v.</i> Nova Prompters and Finlease (P.) Ltd. [2012] 342 ITR 169 (Delhi)	117
	CIT <i>v.</i> NR Portfolio Pvt. Ltd. [2014] 2 ITR-OL 68 (Delhi)	117
	CIT <i>v.</i> Oasis Hospitalities Pvt. Ltd. [2011] 333 ITR 119 (Delhi)	117
	CIT <i>v.</i> Orient Craft Ltd. [2013] 354 ITR 536 (Delhi)	272
	CIT <i>v.</i> P. V. S. Beedies P. Ltd. [1999] 237 ITR 13 (SC)	272
	CIT <i>v.</i> Paras Cotton Co. [2007] 288 ITR 211 (Raj)	117
	CIT (Asst.) <i>v.</i> Pentamedia Graphics Ltd. (I. T. A. No. 144/Mds/2011, dated September 9, 2011)	555

2020]	TABLE OF CASES CITED	xiii
		PAGE
	CIT (Asst.) <i>v.</i> Prabhat Oil Mills [1995] 52 TTJ 533 (Ahd)	117
	CIT <i>v.</i> Pradeep Kumar Gupta [2008] 303 ITR 95 (Delhi)	117
	CIT <i>v.</i> Priyanka Ship Breaking Co. (P.) Ltd. [2012] 26 taxmann.com 321 (Delhi)	117
	CIT <i>v.</i> Pushpa Malpani (Smt.) [2012] 20 taxmann.com 597 (Raj)	117
	CIT <i>v.</i> R. D. Aggarwal and Co. [1965] 56 ITR 20 (SC)	589
	CIT (Pr.) <i>v.</i> RMG Polyvinyl (I.) Ltd. [2017] 396 ITR 5 (Delhi)	117
	CIT (Pr.) <i>v.</i> Radhakrishna Vihar (I. T. T. A. No. 740 of 2011)	1
	CIT <i>v.</i> Rai Bahadur Jairam Valji [1959] 35 ITR 148 (SC)	272
	CIT (Asst.) <i>v.</i> Raj Kumar [2009] 318 ITR 462 (Delhi)	58
	CIT <i>v.</i> Raj Kumar Arora [2014] 367 ITR 517 (All)	117
	CIT (Asst.) <i>v.</i> Rajesh Jhaveri Stock Brokers P. Ltd. [2007] 291 ITR 500 (SC) <i>applied</i>	272
	CIT <i>v.</i> Rajesh Kumar [2008] 306 ITR 27 (Delhi)	117
	CIT <i>v.</i> Rakam Money Matters Pvt. Ltd. (I. T. A. No. 778 of 2015 dated October 13, 2015 (Delhi)	117
	CIT (Pr.) <i>v.</i> Ram Avtar Verma [2017] 395 ITR 252 (Delhi)	117
	CIT (Asst.) <i>v.</i> Ram Chand Keshav Dev (HUF) (I. T. A. No. 233/Agra/2010 dated December 26, 2011)	117
	CIT <i>v.</i> Ramachandra Rao (K.) [2015] 56 taxmann.com 163 (Karn)	461
	CIT (Dy.) <i>v.</i> Ratan Corporation [2005] 197 CTR 536 (Guj)	117
	CIT <i>v.</i> Reliance International Corp. (P.) Ltd. [2011] 196 Taxman 387 (Delhi)	117
	CIT <i>v.</i> Reliance Petroproducts (P.) Ltd. [2010] 322 ITR 158 (SC) <i>relied on</i>	360
	CIT (Dy.) <i>v.</i> Rohini Builders [2002] 256 ITR 360 (Guj)	117
	CIT <i>v.</i> S and S Power Switchgear Ltd. [2018] 92 taxmann.com 429 (Mad)	272
	CIT <i>v.</i> S. Khader Khan Son [2008] 300 ITR 157 (Mad)	95, 117
	CIT (Pr.) <i>v.</i> SNG Developers Ltd. [2018] 404 ITR 312 (Delhi)	699
	CIT (Dy.) <i>v.</i> Salasar Stock Broking Ltd. [2016] 47 ITR (Trib) 616 (Kol)	117
	CIT <i>v.</i> Sambandam Udaykumar [2012] 345 ITR 389 (Karn)	89
	CIT <i>v.</i> Sanjeev Kumar Jain [2009] 310 ITR 178 (P&H)	117
	CIT <i>v.</i> Sarada (P.) [1985] 154 ITR 387 (Mad)	58
	CIT <i>v.</i> Satya Dev Sharma [2017] 86 taxmann.com 150 (Raj)	464

xiv	ITR'S TRIBUNAL TAX REPORTS	[VOL. 80
		PAGE
CIT (Asst.) <i>v.</i> Satya Narayan Agarwalla [2002] 255 ITR (A.T.) 69 (Cal)		95
CIT (Pr.) <i>v.</i> Saumya Construction P. Ltd. [2016] 387 ITR 529 (Guj)		117
CIT <i>v.</i> Sedco Forex International Drilling Co. Ltd. [2003] 264 ITR 320 (Uttaranchal)		478
CIT <i>v.</i> Seksaria Biswan Sugar Factory Pvt. Ltd. [1992] 195 ITR 778 (Bom)		410
CIT (Dy.) <i>v.</i> Shah Rukh Khan [2018] 66 ITR (Trib) 168 (Mum)		545
CIT <i>v.</i> Sharda Sugar Industries Ltd. [1999] 239 ITR 393 (Bom)		410
CIT (Asst.) <i>v.</i> Shiv Shakti Flour Mills (P.) Ltd. [2014] 162 TIT (Gau) (TM) 568		699
CIT <i>v.</i> Shoorji Vallabhdas and Co. [1962] 46 ITR 144 (SC)		410
CIT <i>v.</i> Shyam R. Pawar [2015] 229 Taxman 256 (Bom)		117
CIT <i>v.</i> Sinhgad Technical Educational Society [2017] 397 ITR 344 (SC)		117
CIT <i>v.</i> Sitaldas Tirathdas [1961] 41 ITR 367 (SC)		272
CIT (Addl.) <i>v.</i> Skoda Exports, Praha [1988] 172 ITR 358 (AP)		589
CIT <i>v.</i> SMC Share Brokers Ltd. [2007] 288 ITR 345 (Delhi)		117
CIT (Asst.) <i>v.</i> Som Nath Maini [2006] 100 ITJ Chd 917 ; [2006] 7 SOT 202 (Chd)		117, 341
CIT <i>v.</i> Sood (R. L.) [2000] 245 ITR 727 (Delhi)		89
CIT <i>v.</i> SSA's Emerald Meadows [2015] 11 TMI 1620 (Karn) <i>followed</i>		545
CIT <i>v.</i> SSA's Emerald Meadows [2016] 386 ITR (St.) 13 (SC)		1
<i>do. v. do. followed</i>		545
CIT <i>v.</i> St. Francis Clay Décor Tiles [2016] 385 ITR 624 (Ker)		117
CIT <i>v.</i> Sugantha Ravindran (R.) [2013] 352 ITR 488 (Mad)		464
CIT <i>v.</i> Sumitra Devi (Smt.) [2014] 49 taxmann.com 37 (Raj)		117
CIT (Asst.) <i>v.</i> Sumitra Gaur (Smt.) [2012] 27 taxmann.com 107 (Jodhpur-Trib)		117
CIT <i>v.</i> Sun Engineering Works Pvt. Ltd. [1992] 198 ITR 297 (SC)		272
CIT <i>v.</i> Sunita Dhadda [2018] 403 ITR 309 (SC)		117
CIT (Asst.) <i>v.</i> Sushiladevi S. Agarwal (Mrs.) [1994] 49 TIT 663 (Ahd)		95
CIT <i>v.</i> Tata Elxsi Ltd. [2012] 349 ITR 98 (Karn)		528
CIT (Dy.) <i>v.</i> Tristar Consultants [2005] 272 ITR (AT) 88 (Bom)		478
CIT <i>v.</i> U. M. Shah [1973] 90 ITR 396 (Bom)		117
CIT <i>v.</i> Udit Narain Agarwal (I. T. A. No. 560 of 2009 dated December 12, 2012 (All))		117

2020]	TABLE OF CASES CITED	xv
		PAGE
	CIT <i>v.</i> Vegetable Products Ltd. [1973] 88 ITR 192 (SC)	117
	CIT <i>v.</i> Victor Electrodes Ltd. [2010] 329 ITR 271 (Delhi)	117
	CIT (Asst.) <i>v.</i> Victory Aqua Farm Ltd. [2015] 379 ITR 335 (SC)	580
	CIT <i>v.</i> Vikas Polymers [2012] 341 ITR 537 (Delhi)	410
	CIT <i>v.</i> Vimla D. Sonwane (Smt.) [1995] 212 ITR 489 (Bom)	410
	CIT (Asst.) <i>v.</i> Vireet Investment P. Ltd. [2017] 58 ITR (Trib) 313 (Delhi) [SB] <i>relied on</i>	555
	CIT <i>v.</i> Vrindavan Farms (P.) Ltd. [2015] 6 ITR-OL 502 (Delhi)	117
	CIT <i>v.</i> Zoom Communication P. Ltd. [2010] 327 ITR 510 (Delhi)	360, 448
	CompuServe Inc. <i>v.</i> Lindley 535 N.E. 2D 360	555
	Concord of India Insurance Co. Ltd. <i>v.</i> Nirmala Devi (Smt.) [1979] 118 ITR 507 (SC)	1
	Consolidated Photo and Finvest Ltd. <i>v.</i> Asst. CIT [2006] 281 ITR 394 (Delhi)	272
	Continental Construction Ltd. <i>v.</i> CIT [1992] 195 ITR 81 (SC)	478
	Convergys Customer Management Group Inc. <i>v.</i> Asst. DIT (I.T.) [2013] 26 ITR (Trib) 443 (Delhi)	589
	Craven <i>v.</i> White [1989] AC 398 (HL)	117
	Cushman and Wakefield (S.) Pte. Ltd., <i>In re</i> [2008] 305 ITR 208 (AAR)	478
	Dawjee Dadabhoj and Co. <i>v.</i> S. P. Jain [1957] 31 ITR 872 (Cal)	410
	Dayawanti (Smt.) <i>v.</i> CIT [2017] 390 ITR 496 (Delhi)	117
	DCW Ltd. <i>v.</i> Collector of Central Excise [1991] 52 ELT 142 (Delhi)	117
	Deepraj Hospital (P.) Ltd. <i>v.</i> ITO [2018] 65 ITR (Trib) 663 (Delhi)	117
	Delhi Stock Exchange Association Ltd. <i>v.</i> CIT [1961] 41 ITR 495 (SC)	580
	Devansh Exports <i>v.</i> Asst. CIT (I. T. A. No. 2178/Kolkata/2017 dated October 15, 2018)	117
	Devasahaya Nadar (T.) <i>v.</i> CIT [1964] 51 ITR 20 (Mad)	117
	Dhakeswari Cotton Mills Ltd. <i>v.</i> CIT [1954] 26 ITR 775 (SC)	117
	Dhanraj Mills Pvt. Ltd. <i>v.</i> Asst. CIT (I. T. A. Nos. 3830 and 3833/Mum/2009 dated March 21, 2017)	545
	Didwania (G. L.) <i>v.</i> ITO [1997] 224 ITR 687 (SC)	1
	Dinesh Jain (Dr.) <i>v.</i> ITO [2014] 363 ITR 210 (Bom)	95
	Director of Income-tax (IT) <i>v.</i> Alcatel Lucent USA Inc. [2014] 2 ITR-OL 276 (Delhi)	589

xvi	ITR'S TRIBUNAL TAX REPORTS	[VOL. 80
		PAGE
DIT (IT) <i>v.</i> Alcatel Lucent World Services Inc. [2014] 2 ITR-OL 276 (Delhi)		589
DIT (IT) <i>v.</i> Chiron Bearing GMBH and Co. [2013] 351 ITR 115 (Bom)		478
DIT <i>v.</i> E-Funds IT Solution [2014] 364 ITR 256 (Delhi)		589
DIT (Asst.) <i>v.</i> E-Funds IT Solution Inc [2017] 399 ITR 34 (SC)		589
DIT <i>v.</i> Ericsson A. B. [2012] 343 ITR 470 (Delhi)		589
DIT <i>v.</i> Ericsson A. B. [2017-TII-119-ITAT-Delhi-INTL]		589
DIT (IT) <i>v.</i> GE Packaged Power Inc. [2015] 373 ITR 65 (Delhi)		589
do. <i>v.</i> do. <i>followed</i>		478
DIT (IT) <i>v.</i> Hyosung Corporation (W. P. (C) No. 2765 of 2010 and C.M. No. 5515 of 2010—Delhi, dated March 25, 2011)		589
DIT <i>v.</i> Jacobs Civil Incorporated [2011] 330 ITR 578 (Delhi)		589
DIT <i>v.</i> LG Cable Ltd. [2011] 237 CTR 438 (Delhi) ; [2011] 197 Taxman 51 (Delhi)		589
DIT <i>v.</i> LS Cable Ltd. [2016] 243 Taxman 427 (Delhi)		589
DIT <i>v.</i> Maersk Co. Ltd. [2011] 334 ITR 79 (Uttarakhand)		589
DIT <i>v.</i> Mitsubishi Corporation [2011] 330 ITR 578 (Delhi)		589
DIT (IT) <i>v.</i> NGC Network Asia LLC [2009] 313 ITR 187 (Bom) <i>followed</i>		478
DIT <i>v.</i> Nokia Networks OY [2013] 358 ITR 259 (Delhi)		589
DIT <i>v.</i> WNS Global Services (UK) Ltd. [2013] 32 taxmann.com 54 (Bom)		478
DIT (It.) <i>v.</i> Wockhardt Hospitals Ltd. [2012] 13 ITR (Trib) 503 (Mum)		478
District of Columbia <i>v.</i> Universal Computer Assoc., Inc., 465 F.2d 615, 618 (D.C.)		555
Dwarka Gems Ltd. <i>v.</i> Dy. CIT (I. T. A. No. 71/Jaipur/2017/dated March 27, 2018)		117
Earthmoving Equipment Service Corporation <i>v.</i> Dy. CIT [2017] 166 ITD 113 (Mum-Trib)		545
Empee Holdings Ltd. <i>v.</i> Dy. CIT (I. T. A. No. 1503/Chennai/2014 dated November 7, 2019)		272
Ericsson Telephone Corporation India AB <i>v.</i> CIT [1997] 224 ITR 203 (AAR)		478
Escorts Ltd. <i>v.</i> Asst. CIT [2006] 8 SOT 167 ; [2007] 104 ITD 427 (Delhi)		555

2020]	TABLE OF CASES CITED	xvii
		PAGE
Faqir Chand Chaman Lal <i>v.</i> Asst. CIT [2004] 1 SOT 914 (Amritsar)		117
Farrah Marker <i>v.</i> ITO (I. T. A. No. 3801/Mum/2011 dated April 27, 2016)		117
Filatex India <i>v.</i> CIT [2015] 229 Taxman 555 (Delhi)		117
First National Bank of Fort Worth <i>v.</i> Bob Bullock 584 S.W.2d 548		555
First National Bank of Springfield <i>v.</i> Department of Revenue 421 NE2d 175		555
Formula One World Championship Ltd. <i>v.</i> CIT (IT) [2017] 394 ITR 80 (SC)		589
Francis Stanly alicc Stalin <i>v.</i> Intelligence Officer, Narcotic Control Bureau Thiruvanthapuram [2006] 13 SCC 210		117
G. Murugesan and Bros. <i>v.</i> CIT [1973] 88 ITR 432 (SC)		95
G. V. K. Industries Ltd. <i>v.</i> ITO [1997] 228 ITR 564 (AP)		589
Galderma India P. Ltd. <i>v.</i> Dy. CIT (I. T. A. No. 1139/Mumbai/2016, dated May 31, 2018)		452
Galileo International Inc. <i>v.</i> Dy. CIT [2008] 19 SOT 257 (Delhi)		589
Ganesh Chawala <i>v.</i> ITO [2008] 9 DTR (AT) 162 (Jaipur) <i>followed</i>		1
Ganeshmull Bijay Sing Baid (HUF) <i>v.</i> Dy. CIT [2015] 45 CCH 306 (Kolkata Trib)		117
Ganga Saran and Sons (P.) Ltd. <i>v.</i> ITO [1981] 130 ITR 1 (SC)		95
Ganpati Fincap Services (P.) Ltd. <i>v.</i> CIT [2017] 395 ITR 692 (Delhi)		117
Gautam (C. B.) <i>v.</i> Union of India [1993] 199 ITR 530 (SC)		117
Gautam Kumar Pincha <i>v.</i> ITO (I. T. A. No. 569/Kolkata/2017 dated November 15, 2017)		117
GE Energy Parts [2019-TII-01-HC-Delhi-INTL]		589
Gee Cee Cycle Balls Pvt. Ltd. <i>v.</i> ITO (I. T. A. No. 867/Delhi/2013 dated October 30, 2015)		117
Gillanders Arbuthnot and Co. Ltd. <i>v.</i> CIT [1964] 53 ITR 283 (SC)		272
Girilal and Co. <i>v.</i> ITO [2016] 387 ITR 122 (SC)		272
Godhra Electricity Co. Ltd. <i>v.</i> CIT [1997] 225 ITR 746 (SC)		410
Gopakumar (E. N.) <i>v.</i> CIT [2017] 390 ITR 131 (Ker)		117
Gosai (R. N.) A <i>v.</i> Yashpal Dhir (SLP (C) No. 4325 of 1992 dated October 23, 1992) (SC)		272
Govind Ram Chhugani <i>v.</i> Asst. CIT [2002] 77 TTJ 339 (Jodhpur)		117
Govindarajulu Mudaliar (A.) <i>v.</i> CIT [1958] 34 ITR 807 (SC)		341
Goyal (S. P.) <i>v.</i> Dy. CIT [2004] 269 ITR (A.T.) 59 (Mum)		117

	PAGE
Goyal Industries Ltd. v. Asst. CIT [2015] 372 ITR 514 (Guj)	117
Granite Gate Properties Pvt. Ltd. v. Asst. CIT (I. T. A. Nos. 7022 to 7024/Delhi/2017 dated May 29, 2018)	117
Grasim Industries Ltd. v. CIT [2010] 321 ITR 92 (Bom)	410
Green Valley Infracity Pvt. Ltd. v. ITO (I. T. A. No. 6272/Delhi/2016 dated May 31, 2018)	388
GTC Industries Ltd. v. Asst. CIT [1998] 60 TTJ (Bom-Trib) 308 ; 65 ITD 380 (Bom)	117
Guffic Chem. Pvt. Ltd. v. CIT [2011] 332 ITR 602 (SC) <i>applied</i>	272
Gunda Subbayya v. CIT [1939] 7 ITR 21 (Mad)	117
Gurudevdatla VKSSS Maryadit v. State of Maharashtra [2001] AIR 2001 SC 1980	58
H. M. Publishers Holdings Ltd., <i>In re</i> [2018] 405 ITR 441 (AAR)	272
Hami Aspi Balsara (Mrs.) v. Asst. CIT [2016] 126 ITD 100 (Mumbai)	272
Hardayal Charitable and Educational Trust v. CIT [2013] 355 ITR 534 (All)	380
Harish Kumar (HUF) 2019-TIOL-1200-ITAT-MAD	117
Hero Honda Motors Ltd. v. Jt. CIT [2006] 103 ITD 157 (Delhi)	555
Hersh W. Chadha v. Dy. DIT (I.T.) [2011] 43 SOT 544 (Delhi)	117
Hinduja Foundation v. ITO (W. P. No. 2866 of 2018 dated February 15, 2019) [2019] 262 Taxman 111 (Bom)	272
Hindustan Construction Co. Ltd. v. Union of India (W. P. C. No. 1074 of 2019 dated November 27, 2019)	410
Hindustan Shipyard Ltd. v. State of Andhra Pradesh [2000] 119 STC 533 (SC)	589
Honda Siel Power Products Ltd. v. Dy. CIT [2012] 340 ITR 64 (SC)	272
Honeywell Information Sysa., Inc. v. Board of Assessment Appeals, [1980] 7 Computer L. Serv. Rep. (Bigelow) 486, 491 (Colo. Dist Ct. 1975)	555
Hosanna Ministries v. ITO [2017] 152 DTR 8 (Mad) <i>followed</i>	1
HPCL Mittal Energy Ltd. v. Addl. CIT [2018] 97 taxmann.com 3 (Amritsar-Trib) (TM)	1
Hukum Chand Mills Ltd. v. CIT [1976] 103 ITR 548 (SC)	589
Hybrid Rice International Pvt. Ltd. v. Dy. CIT (I. T. A. No. 285/Delhi/2007 dated September 24, 2014)	545
Hylam Ltd. v. CIT [1973] 87 ITR 310 (AP)	555
Hyosung Corporation, <i>In re</i> [2009] 314 ITR 343 (AAR)	589

2020]	TABLE OF CASES CITED	xix
		PAGE
Income-tax Officer <i>v.</i> Aarti Mittal (Smt.) [2014] 41 taxmann.com 118 (Hyd-Trib)		117
ITO <i>v.</i> Arora Alloys Ltd. [2011] 12 ITR (Trib) 263 (Chd)		117
ITO <i>v.</i> Bachu Lal Kapoor Kewal Ram [1966] 60 ITR 74 (SC)		117
ITO <i>v.</i> Bibi Rani Bansal (Smt.) [2011] 44 SOT 500 (Agra) (TM)		117
ITO (I. T.) <i>v.</i> De Beers India Minerals P. Ltd. [2008] 297 ITR (A.T.) 176 (Bang)		478
ITO <i>v.</i> Indravadan Jain (HUF) (I. T. A. Nos. 4861, 5168/Mum/2014 dated May 27, 2016)		117
ITO <i>v.</i> Lakhmani Mewal Das [1976] 103 ITR 437 (SC)		117
ITO <i>v.</i> Neelam Chawla (Smt.) (I. T. A. No. 5335/Delhi/2004, dated December 31, 2007)		117
ITO <i>v.</i> Pallavi Garg (Smt.) (I. T. A. No. 192/Agra/2009 and C. O. No. 34/Agra/2009 dated April 8, 2011)		117
ITO <i>v.</i> PCL Exports (I. T. A. No. 3563/Delhi/2009 dated March 22, 2011) <i>followed</i>		528
ITO <i>v.</i> Rajan Kalimuthu (TS-289-ITAT-2019(Chny)		545
ITO <i>v.</i> Shamim M. Bharwani [2016] 69 taxmann.com 65 (Mumbai) ; [2015] 170 TTJ 238 (Mumbai)		117, 341
ITO (I.T.) <i>v.</i> Shiv Sunil Khanna (I. T. A. No. 5857/Mum/2016 dated May 14, 2018)		427
ITO <i>v.</i> Softline Creations (P.) Ltd. (I. T. A. No. 744/Delhi/2012 dated February 10, 2016)		117
ITO <i>v.</i> Synthetic Hydrocarbon (I. T. A. No. 5188/Mum/2011 dated September 12, 2012)		117
ITO <i>v.</i> Tara Chand Jain [2015] 155 ITD 956 (Jaipur-Trib.)		464
ITO, IT/WT <i>v.</i> Nawab Mir Barkat Ali Khan Bahadur [1974] 97 ITR 239 (SC)		95
Indure Ltd. <i>v.</i> Commercial Tax Officer [2010] 34 VST 509 (SC) <i>followed</i>		589
Infotech Software Dealers <i>v.</i> Union of India W. P. Nos. 3811 and 18886 of 2009 dated August 24, 2010 (Mad)		555
IRC <i>v.</i> Challenge Corporation Ltd. [1987] 2 WLR 24 (PC)		117
Intertek Testing Services India P. Ltd., <i>In re</i> [2008] 307 ITR 418 (AAR)		478
Ishikawajima-Harima Heavy Industries Ltd. <i>v.</i> DIT [2007] 288 ITR 408 (SC) <i>distinguished</i>		589

xx	ITR'S TRIBUNAL TAX REPORTS	[VOL. 80
		PAGE
Ishwar Chand Jindal <i>v.</i> Asst. CIT [2015] 61 taxmann.com 428 (Delhi-Trib)		58
ISRO Satellite Centre [ISAC], <i>In re</i> [2008] 307 ITR 59 (AAR)		478
Jagdamba Trading Co. <i>v.</i> ITO [2007] 107 TTJ 398 (Jodhpur)		117
Jagjit Pal Singh Anand <i>v.</i> CIT [2010] 320 ITR 106 (Delhi)		95
Jagpal Singh <i>v.</i> ITO [2010] 186 Taxman 26 (Delhi) (Mag)		89
Jai Kumar Jain <i>v.</i> Asst. CIT [2006] 99 TTJ 744 (Jaipur)		117
Jai Steel (India) <i>v.</i> Asst. CIT [2013] 1 ITR-OL 371 (Raj)		117
Jayanti Lal Patel <i>v.</i> Asst. CIT [1997] 20 TW 546 (Raj)		1
Jayaram Paper Mills Ltd. <i>v.</i> CIT [2010] 321 ITR 56 (Mad)		272
Jhantala Investments Ltd. <i>v.</i> Asst. CIT [2000] 73 ITD 123 (Mum)		117
Jodha Mal Kuthiala (R. B.) <i>v.</i> CIT [1971] 82 ITR 570 (SC)		589
K. C. Builders <i>v.</i> Asst. CIT [2004] 265 ITR 562 (SC)		1
K. T. M. S. Mohammed <i>v.</i> Union of India [1992] 197 ITR 196 (SC)		117
Kailashben Manharlal Chokshi <i>v.</i> CIT [2010] 328 ITR 411 (Guj)		95
Kale Khan Mohammad Hanif <i>v.</i> CIT [1963] 50 ITR 1 (SC)		341
Kamlesh Mundra <i>v.</i> ITO (I. T. A. No. 6248/Mum/2012 dated March 4, 2016)		117
Kantilal C. Shah <i>v.</i> Asst. CIT [2011] 133 ITD 57 (Ahd)		117
Kanungo and Co. <i>v.</i> Collector of Central Excise [1983] 13 ELT 1486 (SC)		117
Keshavji Ravji and Co. <i>v.</i> CIT [1990] 183 ITR 1 (SC)		58
Khatri Projects Pvt. Ltd. <i>v.</i> ITO (I. T. A. No. 4353/Delhi/2016 dated December 16, 2016)		117
Kishanlal Agarwalla <i>v.</i> Collector of Land Customs [1967] AIR 1967 Cal 80		117
Kishinchand Chellaram <i>v.</i> CIT [1980] 125 ITR 713 (SC)		117
Kishore H. Galaiya <i>v.</i> ITO [2012] 24 taxmann.com 11 (Mumbai)		461
Kota Dall Mill <i>v.</i> Dy. CIT (I. T. A. Nos. 997 to 1002/Jaipur/2018 dated December 31, 2018)		117
Krishna Enterprises <i>v.</i> Addl. CIT [2017] 88 taxmann.com 849 (Mumbai-Trib.)		464
Kumar (G.) <i>v.</i> Samuthiradevi (dated December 19, 2012 (Mad) [2013] 1 MLJ 513 (Mad)		272
Kusum Lata Thakral (Smt.) <i>v.</i> CIT [2010] 327 ITR 424 (P&H)		117

2020]	TABLE OF CASES CITED	xxi
		PAGE
Lakshman Exports Ltd. <i>v.</i> CCE [2005] 10 SCC 634		117
Lalchand Bhagat Ambica Ram <i>v.</i> CIT [1959] 37 ITR 288 (SC)		117
Landbase India Ltd. <i>v.</i> Asst. CIT (I. T. A. Nos. 1030-31/Delhi/2019 dated August 26, 2019) <i>followed</i>		580
Larsen and Toubro Ltd. <i>v.</i> State of A. P. [2016] 88 VST 422 (T&AP); [2015-TIOL-3055-HC-AP-CT]		589
do. <i>v.</i> do. <i>followed</i>		589
Laser Soft Infosystems Ltd. <i>v.</i> ITO (I. T. A. No. 107/Mds/2012 dated January 31, 2013)		555
Laxmanbhai S. Patel (Heirs and LRs of late) <i>v.</i> CIT [2010] 327 ITR 290 (Guj)		117
LS Cable Ltd., <i>In re</i> [2011] 337 ITR 35 (AAR)		589
M. Narayanan and Bros <i>v.</i> Asst. CIT [2011] 339 ITR 192 (Mad)		95
Madathil Brothers <i>v.</i> Dy. CIT [2008] 301 ITR 345 (Mad)		117
Madras Gymkhana Club <i>v.</i> Dy. CIT [2010] 328 ITR 348 (Mad)		272
Mahabir Commercial Co. Ltd. <i>v.</i> CIT [1972] 86 ITR 417 (SC)		589
Mahesh Kumar Jain <i>v.</i> Asst. CIT (I. T. A. No. 630/JP/17 dated November 27, 2017)		1
Makemytrip (India) (P.) Ltd. <i>v.</i> Dy. CIT [2012] 51 SOT 19 (Delhi) ; [2012] 147 TTJ (Delhi) 231; [2012] 72 DTR (Delhi) (Trib) 466		555
Mala Builders (P.) Ltd. <i>v.</i> Asst. CIT [2016] 51 ITR (Trib) 272 (Chandigarh)		117
Malabar Industrial Co. Ltd. <i>v.</i> CIT [2000] 243 ITR 83 (SC)		410
Maruti Udyog Ltd. <i>v.</i> Dy. CIT [2005] 92 ITD 119		555
McDowell and Co. Ltd. <i>v.</i> CTO [1985] 154 ITR 148 (SC)		117, 341
Mir Gulam Ali Khan (Late) <i>v.</i> CIT [1987] 165 ITR 228 (AP)		89
MOIL Ltd. <i>v.</i> CIT [2017] 396 ITR 244 (Bom)		410
Moradabad Toll Road Co. Ltd <i>v.</i> Asst. CIT [2014] 369 ITR 403 (Delhi)		580
Morgan Stanley Asia (Singapore) Pte. <i>v.</i> Dy. DIT (I.T.) [2018] 68 ITR (Trib) 275 (Mum)		478
Morgan Stanley International Incorporated <i>v.</i> Dy. DIT (I.T.) [2015] 4 ITR (Trib)-OL 625 (Mum)		478
Moti Adhesive P. Ltd. <i>v.</i> ITO (I. T. A. No. 3133/Delhi/2018 dated June 25, 2018)		117
Moti Lal Padampat Udyog Ltd. <i>v.</i> CIT [2007] 293 ITR 565 (All)		117

xxii	ITR'S TRIBUNAL TAX REPORTS	[VOL. 80
		PAGE
Motorola Inc. <i>v.</i> Dy. CIT [2005] 95 ITD 269 (Delhi) [SB]		589
Mukesh Jesangbhai Patel <i>v.</i> ITO [2013] 29 taxmann.com 389 (Guj) <i>followed</i>		1
Mysore Minerals Ltd. <i>v.</i> CIT [1999] 239 ITR 775 (SC)		117
Nanubhai D. Desai <i>v.</i> Asst. CIT [2014] 162 TTJ (Ahd.)(SB) 673		699
Nath International Sales <i>v.</i> Union of India [1992] AIR 1992 Delhi 295		117
National Petroleum Construction Co. <i>v.</i> DIT (IT) [2016] 383 ITR 648 (Delhi)		589
New Delhi Television Ltd. <i>v.</i> Dy. CIT [2020] 116 taxmann.com 151 (SC)		272
Nirmala Agarwal <i>v.</i> Asst. CIT [2018] 64 ITR (Trib) 658 (Jaipur)		117
Nokia Networks OY <i>v.</i> Jt. CIT [2018] 65 ITR (Trib) 23 (Delhi)		589
North East Datacom, Inc. <i>v.</i> City of Wallingford, 563 A2d 688		555
Nova Computing Servs., Inc. <i>v.</i> Askew [1980] 16 Computer L. Serv. Rep. (Bigelow) 18, 27 (Fla. Div. Admin. Hearings 1976)		555
Obulapuram Mining Co. (P.) Ltd. <i>v.</i> Dy. CIT [2016] 160 ITD 224 (Bang-Trib)		117
Om Prakash Joshi <i>v.</i> ITO [2009] 34 SOT 33 (Jodhpur) (URO)		95
Otters Club <i>v.</i> DIT (Exemptions) [2017] 392 ITR 244 (Bom)		272
Padam Chand Pungliya <i>v.</i> Asst. CIT [2019] 71 ITR (Trib) 562 (Jaipur)		1
Padma Ram Bharali <i>v.</i> CIT [1977] 110 ITR 54 (Gauhati)		1
Paduchuri Jeevan Prashant <i>v.</i> ITO (I. T. A. No. 452/Hyd/2015 dated August 19, 2016)		117
Pangamban Kalanjoy Singh <i>v.</i> State of Manipur [1956] AIR 1956 SC 9		95
Paper Products Ltd. <i>v.</i> CCE [2001] 247 ITR 128 (SC)		464
Parashuram Pottery Works Co. Ltd. <i>v.</i> ITO [1977] 106 ITR 1 (SC)		410
Parimisetti Seetharamamma <i>v.</i> CIT [1965] 57 ITR 532 (SC)		341
Paul Mathews and Sons <i>v.</i> CIT [2003] 263 ITR 101 (Ker)		95
Pavankumar M. Sanghvi <i>v.</i> ITO [2017] 59 ITR (Trib) 389 (Ahd)		341
Percy Peshotan Batlivala <i>v.</i> ITO 2012 (9) TMI 154. ITAT Delhi		58
Perfect Thread Mills Ltd. <i>v.</i> Dy. CIT [2020] 77 ITR (Trib) 603 (Mum- bai)		272

2020]	TABLE OF CASES CITED	xxiii
		PAGE
Phool Chand Bajrang Lal <i>v.</i> ITO [1993] 203 ITR 456 (SC)		699
Pirani and Co. <i>v.</i> Asst. CIT [2001] 250 ITR 467 (AP)		95
Pradeep Amrutlal Runwal <i>v.</i> TRO [2014] 47 taxmann.com 293 (Pune-Trib)		117
Prakash Chand Nahta <i>v.</i> CIT [2008] 301 ITR 134 (MP)		117
Prakash Nath Khanna <i>v.</i> CIT [2004] 266 ITR 1 (SC)		58
Prarthana Construction (P.) Ltd. <i>v.</i> Dy. CIT [2001] 118 Taxman 112 (Ahd) (Mag) ; [2001] 70 TTJ 122 (Ahd)		117
Price Waterhouse Coopers Pvt. Ltd. <i>v.</i> CIT [2012] 348 ITR 306 (SC) <i>applied</i>		360
Priyanka Chopra (Ms.) <i>v.</i> Dy. CIT [2018] 169 ITD 144 (Mum)		117
Prudential Assurance Co. Ltd. <i>v.</i> DIT [2010] 324 ITR 381 (Bom) <i>dis-</i> <i>tinguished</i>		478
Pullangode Rubber Produce Co. Ltd. <i>v.</i> State of Kerala [1973] 91 ITR 18 (SC)		95
Purushottam Das Mhnani <i>v.</i> Dy. CIT 2014 (12)TMI 801. ITAT Kol- kata		58
R. K. Synthetics <i>v.</i> ITO [2004] 3 SOT 268 (Jodhpur)		95
R. W. Promotions (P.) Ltd. <i>v.</i> Asst. CIT [2015] 376 ITR 342 (Bom)		117
Radha Daga (Smt.) <i>v.</i> Asst. CIT 2014 (6) TMI 642, ITAT Chennai		58
Radhasoami Satsang <i>v.</i> CIT [1992] 193 ITR 321 (SC)		410, 478
Rajendra Kumar <i>v.</i> Dy. CIT (I. T. A. No. 1959/Delhi/2017 dated February 27, 2020)		95
Rajesh Kumar <i>v.</i> Dy. CIT [2006] 287 ITR 91 (SC)		117
Rajesh Sunderdas Vaswani <i>v.</i> Asst. CIT [2016] 76 taxmann.com 311 (Guj)		117
Rajeshwari (M. K.) (Smt.) <i>v.</i> ITO [2018] 99 taxmann.com 339 (Bang)		117
Rajiv Arora <i>v.</i> Union of India [2009] AIR 2009 SC 1100		117
Rajnish Jain <i>v.</i> CIT [2018] 402 ITR 12 (All)		117
Rakesh C. Rastogi <i>v.</i> Appropriate Authority [2002] 253 ITR 94 (SC)		367
Rakesh Gupta <i>v.</i> CIT [2018] 405 ITR 213 (P&H)		117
Rama Traders <i>v.</i> First ITO [1988] 25 ITD 599 (Patna) (TM)		117
Ramesh D. Tainwala <i>v.</i> ITO (TS-594-ITAT-2011(Mum)		272
Ramesh Verma <i>v.</i> Dy. CIT [2017] 163 ITD 421 (Chandigarh-Trib.)		464
Rameshwar Lal Mali <i>v.</i> CIT [2002] 256 ITR 536 (Raj)		95

xxiv	ITR'S TRIBUNAL TAX REPORTS	[VOL. 80
		PAGE
Ramita Mahendra Mehta (Smt.) v. ITO (I. T. A. No. 4535/Mum/2014 September 13, 2017)		427
Ramprasad Agarwal v. ITO [2018] 100 taxmann.com 172 (Mum ITAT)		117
Ran Mal Bhansali v. Asst. CIT [2012] 25 taxmann.com 149 (JodhpurTrib.)		464
Ranjana R. Deshmukh (Smt.) v. ITO (I. T. A. No. 697/Mum/2017 dated November 9, 2018)		427
Rashmi Wadhwa (Smt.) v. Dy. CIT (I. T. A. No. 5184/Delhi/2014 dated January 18, 2016)		117
Raveendran (G.) v. CIT [2015] 375 ITR 326 (Mad)		272
Raymond Ltd. v. Dy. CIT [2006] 86 ITD 791 (Mum)		478
Reliance Corporation v. ITO [2017] 55 ITR (Trib) 69 (Mumbai)		117
Rheinbraun Engineering and Wasser GmbH [1915/Bom/96]		589
Rishabh Agro Industries Ltd. v. P. N. B. Capital Services Ltd. [2000] 101 Comp Cas 284 (SC)		58
Riveria Properties P. Ltd. v. ITO (I. T. A. No. 250/Mumbai/2013, dated October 27, 2017)		456
Rohini Builders v. Dy. CIT [2002] 76 TTJ 521 (Ahd)		117
Rohit Pulp and Paper Mills Ltd. v. Collector of Central Excise [1991] AIR 1991 SC 754		58
Rolls Royce Industrial Power Ltd. v. Asst. CIT [2010] 6 ITR (Trib) 722 (Delhi) <i>distinguished</i>		478
Rolls Royce Plc v. DIT (IT) [2011] 339 ITR 147 (Delhi)		589
Roxar Maximum Reservoir Performance WLL, <i>In re</i> [2012] 349 ITR 189 (AAR)		589
S. A. Builders Ltd. v. CIT (Appeals) [2007] 288 ITR 1 (SC)		272, 436
Samsung Heavy Industries Co. Ltd. v. DIT [2017] 10 ITR-OL 673 (Uttarakhand)		589
Sanjay Aggarwal v. Dy. CIT [2014] 150 ITD 692 (Delhi)		117
Sanjay Bimalchand Jain v. ITO (I. T. A. No. 61/Nagpur/2013 dated July 18, 2016)		117
Sanjay Bimalchand Jain v. Pr. CIT [2018] 89 taxmann.com 196 (Bom)		117
Sanjay Mehta v. Asst. CIT (I. T. A. No. 1089/Kolkata/2018 dated September 28, 2018)		117
Sarthak Securities Co. P. Ltd. v. ITO [2010] 329 ITR 110 (Delhi)		117

2020]	TABLE OF CASES CITED	xxv
		PAGE
Sarwan Singh Rattan Singh <i>v.</i> State of Punjab [1957] AIR 1957 SC 637		95
Satellite Engineering Ltd. <i>v.</i> Union of India [1983] 14 ELT 2177 (Bom)		117
Satish Chand Agarwal <i>v.</i> ITO [2018] 64 ITR (Trib) 713 (Jaipur)		95
Sedco Forex International Drilling Inc <i>v.</i> Dy. CIT [2000] 72 ITD 415 (Delhi)		589
Seth Pushalal Mansinghka (P.) Ltd. <i>v.</i> CWT [1967] 66 ITR 159 (SC)		589
Shanghai Electronics Group Company Ltd. [2017-TII-119-ITAT-DEL-INTL]		589
Shanghai Electric Group Co. Ltd. <i>v.</i> Dy. CIT (I. T. A. Nos. 224 to 227/Delhi/2015 dated July 14, 2017) <i>followed</i>		589
Shanghai Electric Group Co. Ltd. <i>v.</i> Dy. CIT [2018] 10 ITR (Trib)-OL 339 (Delhi)		589
Shashichand Jain <i>v.</i> Union of India [1995] 213 ITR 184 (Bom)		1
Sheth Akshay Pushpavadan <i>v.</i> Dy. CIT [2010] 130 TTJ 42 (Ahd. UO)		117
Sheveta Construction Co. Pvt. Ltd. <i>v.</i> ITO (D. B. I. T. A. No. 534 of 2008 dated December 6, 2016)		1
Shiv Charan Singh <i>v.</i> Chandra Bhan Singh [1988] AIR 1988 SC 637		436
Shivsagar Veg. Restaurant <i>v.</i> Asst. CIT [2009] 317 ITR 433 (Bom)		272
Shree Chand Soni <i>v.</i> Dy. CIT [2006] 101 TTJ (Jodhpur) 1028		95
Shreeram Durga Prasad (R. B.) and Fatechand Nursing Das <i>v.</i> Settlement Commission (IT and WT) [1989] 176 ITR 169 (SC)		117
Siraigo Pharma (P.) Ltd. <i>v.</i> Dy. CIT (I. T. A. No. 1010/Jaipur/2013 dated January 13, 2016)		117
Skoda Export <i>v.</i> Addl. CIT [2003-TII-34-HC-AP-INTL]		589
Smita P. Patil (Smt.) <i>v.</i> Asst. CIT [2014] 159 TTJ 182 (Pune)		117
Sowcar Janaki (Smt.) <i>v.</i> ITO [2013] 27 ITR (Trib) 226 (Chennai)		464
Sreelekha Banerjee <i>v.</i> CIT [1963] 49 ITR (SC) 112		341
Sri Krishna <i>v.</i> Kurukshetra University [1976] AIR 1976 SC 376		117
Sridevi (A.) (Smt.) <i>v.</i> ITO [2018] 409 ITR 502 (Mad)		272
St Albans City and District Council <i>v.</i> International Computers Ltd. 1997 FSR 251		555
State of Alabama <i>v.</i> Central Computer Services, Inc. 349 So.2d 1156		555
State of Bombay <i>v.</i> Hospital Mazdoor Sabha [1960] AIR 1960 SC 610		58

xxvi	ITR'S TRIBUNAL TAX REPORTS	[VOL. 80
		PAGE
State of Gujarat <i>v.</i> Chem-Dyes Corporation [1991] 83 STC 488 (Guj)		589
State of J & K <i>v.</i> Bakshi Gulam Mohd. [1967] AIR 1967 SC 122		117
State of Karnataka <i>v.</i> Bangalore Soft Drinks P. Ltd. [2000] 117 STC 413 (SC)		589
State of M. P. <i>v.</i> Chintaman Sadashiva Waishampayan [1961] AIR 1961 SC 1623		117
State of Madras <i>v.</i> Gannon Dunkerley and Co. (Madras) Ltd. [1958] 9 STC 353 (SC)		589
State of Tamil Nadu <i>v.</i> Kandaswami (M. K.) [1975] AIR 1975 SC 1871		589
State <i>v.</i> Central Computer Servs., Inc., 349 So. 2d 1160, 1162 (Ala. 1977)		555
Straptex (India) (P.) Ltd. <i>v.</i> Dy. CIT [2003] 84 ITD 320 (Mum)		117
Sudarshan Chemical Industries Ltd. <i>v.</i> Asst. CIT [2008] 114 TTJ (Pune) 131; [2008] 110 ITD 171 (Pune)		555
Sumati Dayal <i>v.</i> CIT [1995] 214 ITR 801 (SC)	1, 117, 341, 464	
Sundaram Finance Ltd. <i>v.</i> CIT [2018] 403 ITR 407 (Mad)		545
Sundaram Finance Ltd. <i>v.</i> CIT [2018] 408 ITR (St.) 57 (SC)		545
Sunita Dhadda (Smt.) <i>v.</i> Dy. CIT [2013] 33 taxmann.com 639 (Jaipur-Trib.)		117
Surendra M. Khandhar <i>v.</i> Asst. CIT [2010] 321 ITR 254 (Bom)		95
Suresh Nanda <i>v.</i> Asst. CIT [2012] 31 CCH 494 (Del Trib)		117
Swamy (R. K.) <i>v.</i> Asst. CIT [2004] 88 ITD 185 (Chennai)		272
Tanmac India <i>v.</i> Dy. CIT [2017] 78 taxmann.com 155 (Mad)		272
Tarulata Shyam (Smt.) <i>v.</i> CIT [1977] 108 ITR 345 (SC)		58
Tata Consultancy Services <i>v.</i> State of Andhra Pradesh [2004] 271 ITR 401 (SC)		555
Tata Iron and Steel Co. Ltd. <i>v.</i> Sarkar (S. R.) [1960] 11 STC 655 (SC)		589
Techno Shares and Stocks Ltd. <i>v.</i> CIT [2010] 327 ITR 323 (SC)		117
Tenzing Match Works <i>v.</i> Dy. CIT [2019] 419 ITR 338 (Mad)		272
The North Wales Police <i>v.</i> Evans [1982] 1 WLR 1155		117
Trimurti Engineering Works <i>v.</i> ITO [2012] 138 ITD 189 (Delhi)		545
U. A. E. Exchange Centre Ltd. <i>v.</i> Union of India [2009] 313 ITR 94 (Delhi)		589
UAE Exchange Centre LLC, <i>In re</i> [2004] 268 ITR 9 (AAR)		589

2020]	TABLE OF CASES CITED	xxvii
		PAGE
Udit Kalra v. ITO (I. T. A. No. 220 of 2019 dated March 8, 2019 (Delhi))		117
Union of India v. Azadi Bachao Andolan [2003] 263 ITR 706 (SC)		117, 478
Union of India v. Onkar S. Kanwar [2002] 258 ITR 761 (SC)		117
Union of India v. Tulsiram Patel [1985] AIR 1985 SC 1416		117
United Christmas Celebration Committee Charitable Trust v. ITO [2017] 249 Taxman 372 (Mad) <i>followed</i>		1
Usha Beltron Ltd. v. State of Punjab [2005] 7 SCC 58		589
V3S Infratech Ltd. v. Asst. CIT [2019] 104 taxmann.com 403 (Delhi)		117
Valliappan (M. V.) v. ITO [1988] 170 ITR 238 (Mad)		117
Van Oord Atlanta B. V. v. Asst. DIT [2007] 112 TTJ 229 (Kol)		589
Vasanthi Visweswaran (Smt.) v. Asst. CIT [2002] 257 ITR 94 (Mad)		95
Vasantraj Birawat v. Asst. CIT [2015] 39 ITR (Trib) 450 (Mumbai-Trib)		117
Venkata Dilip Kumar v. CIT [2019] 419 ITR 298 (Mad)		461
Vijay Laxmi Dhaddha (Smt.) v. ITO [2009] 20 DTR (AT) 365 (Jaipur)		464
Vijay Vishin Meghani v. Dy. CIT [2017] 398 ITR 250 (Bom) <i>followed</i>		1
Vinit Ranawat v. Asst. CIT [2017] 88 taxmann.com 428 (Pune-Trib)		117
Vinod Solanki v. Union of India (Civil Appeal No. 7407 of 2008 dated December 18, 2008)		117
Walchand and Co. v. CIT [1975] 100 ITR 598 (Bom)		58
Wockhardt Ltd. v. Asst. CIT [2011] 10 taxmann.com 208 (Mum)		478
Ziauddin A. Siddique v. Jt. CIT (I. T. A. Nos. 4699 and 4700 (Mum) of 2011 dated April 25, 2014)		341

CASES AFFIRMED/OVERRULED/REVERSED IN ITR AND ITR-OL

City Centre Mall Nashik P. Ltd. *v.* Asst. CIT [2017] 8 ITR (Trib)-OL 128 (Mumbai) **affirmed** in Principal CIT *v.* City Centre Mall Nashik Pvt. Ltd. [2020] 424 ITR 85 (Bom)

CIT (Dy.) *v.* Cholamandalam MS General Insurance Co. Ltd. [2012] 12 ITR (Trib)-OL 540 (Chennai) **affirmed** in CIT (LTU) *v.* Cholamandalam MS General Insurance Co. Ltd. [2020] 424 ITR 272 (Mad)

Commissioner of Income-tax (Asst.) *v.* India Cements Ltd. [2008] 306 ITR (A.T.) 298 (Chennai) **affirmed** in CIT *v.* India Cements Ltd. [2020] 424 ITR 410 (Mad)

JSW Steel Ltd. *v.* Asst. CIT [2010] 5 ITR (Trib) 31 (Bang) **affirmed** in CIT *v.* JSW Steel Limited [2020] 424 ITR 227 (Karn)

Valvoline Cummins P. Ltd. *v.* Deputy CIT [2019] 14 ITR (Trib)-OL 140 (Delhi) **affirmed** in Principal CIT *v.* Valvoline Cummins Pvt. Ltd. [2020] 424 ITR 162 (Delhi)

**THE
ITR'S TRIBUNAL TAX REPORTS
SHORT NOTES CASES
VOLUME 80 — 2020**

SUBJECT INDEX OF SHORT NOTES CASES

<p>Advance tax—Default in payment of tax—Interest—Levy automatic with no discretion to Assessing Officer or assessee in computation thereof—Minimum alternate tax credit allowed to assessee which assessee not entitled to—Interest chargeable—Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 234B—FISERV INDIA P. LTD. <i>v.</i> ASST. CIT (Delhi) . . .</p>	3
<p>Amortisation of preliminary expenses—Voluntary retirement scheme—Expenses incurred prior to insertion of section 35DDA—Assessee governed by earlier provisions—Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 35DDA—FOSECO INDIA LTD. <i>v.</i> DEPUTY CIT (Pune) . . .</p>	29
<p>Appeal to Commissioner (Appeals)—Commissioner (Appeals) dismissing appeal ex parte without discussing merits of addition made by Assessing Officer—Not proper—Commissioner (Appeals) should have called for assessment records and thereafter passed order on merits—Assessee should have pursued appeal diligently—Matter remanded for disposal afresh—Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 251—AP GARMENTS P. LTD. <i>v.</i> DEPUTY CIT (Kolkata) . . .</p>	42
<p>Arm's length price—See INTERNATIONAL TRANSACTIONS</p>	19, 45
<p>Bogus purchases—Business expenditure—No ad hoc addition for bogus purchases should be made—Addition should be made of difference between gross profit rate on genuine purchases and gross profit rate on hawala purchases—Details for facilitating calculation of gross profit rates of genuine and hawala purchases not available—Assessing Officer to decide afresh—ANIL JAIRAM GOEL <i>v.</i> ITO (Pune) . . .</p>	47
<p>Business expenditure—Bogus purchases—No ad hoc addition for bogus purchases should be made—Addition should be made of difference between gross profit rate on genuine purchases and gross profit rate on hawala purchases—Details for facilitating calculation of gross profit rates of genuine and hawala purchases not available—Assessing Officer to</p>	

ii	ITR'S TRIBUNAL TAX REPORTS	[VOL. 80
		PAGE
	Business expenditure (<i>Contd.</i>)—	
	decide afresh—Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 37—ANIL JAIRAM GOEL <i>v.</i> ITO (Pune) . . .	47
	—Business of trading, testing and installation of scientific instruments—Business promotion expenses—Not practically possible to furnish complete list of gifts to various customers furnished to demonstrate that particular sales order received as a result of particular gift—Live link not necessarily to prove—No personal element involved in expenditure—Reasonableness of quantum of expenditure vis-a-vis turnover would have to be justified—Restriction of 40 per cent. of initial total disallowance—Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 37—RAJEEV VERMA <i>v.</i> ASST. CIT (Delhi) . . .	12
	—Disallowance—Payments liable to deduction of tax at source—Payments towards advertisement charges to franchisee of newspaper—Work includes advertising—Contract entered into by assessee and franchisee—Assessee liable for deduction of tax at source on payment towards advertising—Income-tax Act, 1961, ss. 40(a)(ia), 194C—MEHRA EYETECH P. LTD. <i>v.</i> ADDL. CIT (Mumbai) . . .	35
	—Disallowance—Payments liable to deduction of tax at source—Transaction duly considered in income-tax returns of payee—No disallowance could be made—Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 40(a)(ia)—MEHRA EYETECH P. LTD. <i>v.</i> ADDL. CIT (Mumbai) . . .	35
	—Disallowance—Payments liable to deduction of tax at source—Whether payees included receipts in their income-tax returns—Assessing Officer to verify income-tax returns of respective payees in light of second proviso to section 40(a)(ia) read with section 201(1)—Income-tax Act, 1961, ss. 40(a)(ia), 194C, 201(1)—MEHRA EYETECH P. LTD. <i>v.</i> ADDL. CIT (Mumbai) . . .	35
	—Employees stock option plan expenses—Commissioner (Appeals) directing Assessing Officer to consider claim in line of Special Bench decision—No infirmity—Commissioner (Appeals) does not have any power to direct Assessing Officer to allow such claim for earlier years—Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 37—ASST. CIT <i>v.</i> INDIABULLS VENTURES LTD. (Delhi) . . .	5
	Business loss —Heads of income—Income from other sources—Assessee working as whole time managing director of company and earning salary income—Assessee owning unit in hotel in U. S. A. but not intending to run it himself but giving unit to be run by managing company—Unit cannot be considered to be business undertaking of assessee—Loss from unit in hotel falls “income from other sources”—ROHIT KAPUR <i>v.</i> ADDL. CIT (Delhi) . . .	7
	Business promotion expenses —Business of trading, testing and installation of scientific instruments—Not practically possible to furnish complete list of gifts to various customers furnished to demonstrate that particular sales order received as a result of particular gift—Live link not necessarily to prove—No personal element involved in expenditure—	

2020]	GENERAL INDEX (SHORT NOTES)	iii
	Business promotion expenses (<i>Contd.</i>)—	PAGE
	Reasonableness of quantum of expenditure vis-a-vis turnover would have to be justified—Restriction of 40 per cent. of initial total disallowance—RAJEEV VERMA <i>v.</i> ASST. CIT (Delhi) . . .	12
	Capital gains —Exemption—Assessee neither depositing amount in capital gains account nor using net consideration for purchase of land before prescribed date—Not entitled to exemption—Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 54B—BHAGWAN KESHU SAKHARE <i>v.</i> ITO (Pune) . . .	10
	Cash credits —Excise contractor—Demand drafts taken from certain parties—Assessee giving details of income-tax assessment of person who had given demand drafts—Not furnishing permanent account number not a reason for making addition—Additions confirmed in cases of parties in respect of whom assessee furnished evidence and addition deleted in cases where assessee failed to furnish evidence—Income-tax Act, 1961, ss. 68, 69A—VENKATESH K. I. <i>v.</i> ASST. CIT (Bangalore) . . .	40
	—Undisclosed bank accounts—Cross deposits and withdrawals—Withdrawal from banks not spent for any other purpose or invested in any immovable or movable property—Combined peak credit of both bank accounts to be taken to work out element of undisclosed investments for undisclosed transactions—Assessment year in which fact of undisclosed bank accounts discovered—Undisclosed investment taxed separately—No material to show assessee doing any other business other than grocery business—Assessee doing grocery business through these undisclosed bank accounts—Entire addition made by authorities not justified—Assessing Officer to restrict addition—Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 68—SMT. LAXMI YADAV <i>v.</i> ITO (Kolkata) . . .	22
	Charitable purpose —Exemption—Assessee a statutory body established for acquisition of land for industrial infrastructure—Assessee not driven primarily by profit motive but doing charity through advancement of an object of general public utility—Assessee operating on no profit basis—Proviso to section 2(15) not applicable to the case of assessee—Entitled to exemption—Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 11—ASST. CIT <i>v.</i> KARNATAKA INDUSTRIAL AREAS DEVELOPMENT BOARD (Bangalore) . . .	1
	—Trust entitled carry forward deficit of current year and to set it off against income of subsequent years—Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 11(1)(a)—ITO (EXEMPTION) <i>v.</i> DR. BHAI MOHAN SINGH FOUNDATION (Delhi) . . .	27
	Computer software —Depreciation—Eligible for depreciation at sixty per cent.—Assessing Officer to verify amount of actual cost of asset on which depreciation to be allowed—ASST. CIT <i>v.</i> INDIABULLS VENTURES LTD. (Delhi) . . .	5
	Concealment of income —See PENALTY . . .	30, 44
	Deduct tax at source —Failure to deduct tax at source—Penalty—Leave travel concession—Assessee considering leave travel concession	

	PAGE
Deduct tax at source (<i>Contd.</i>)—	
exempt in hands of employees and Department accepting this—Assessee depositing outstanding demand with interest after survey and clarification by Tribunal in subsequent years—Reasonable cause for not deducting tax at source—Penalty not warranted— STATE BANK OF INDIA v. ADDL. CIT (Bangalore) . . .	11
Deduction of tax at source —Payments liable to deduction of tax at source—Business expenditure—Disallowance—Payments towards advertisement charges to franchisee of newspaper—Work includes advertising—Contract entered into by assessee and franchisee—Assessee liable for deduction of tax at source on payment towards advertising— MEHRA EYTECH P. LTD. v. ADDL. CIT (Mumbai) . . .	35
—Payments liable to deduction of tax at source—Business expenditure—Disallowance—Transaction duly considered in income-tax returns of payee—No disallowance could be made— MEHRA EYTECH P. LTD. v. ADDL. CIT (Mumbai) . . .	35
—Payments liable to deduction of tax at source—Business expenditure—Disallowance—Whether payees included receipts in their income-tax returns—Assessing Officer to verify income-tax returns of respective payees in light of second proviso to section 40(a)(ia) read with section 201(1)— MEHRA EYTECH P. LTD. v. ADDL. CIT (Mumbai) . . .	35
Deemed dividend —See DIVIDEND . . .	14
Deeming fiction —Income-tax—General principles—Basic facts to apply deeming fiction must be established—Deeming fiction cannot be applied on basis of assumption, presumption or suspicion— ASST. CIT v. GURDEEP SINGH (Chandigarh) . . .	14
Depreciation —Computer software—Eligible for depreciation at sixty per cent.—Assessing Officer to verify amount of actual cost of asset on which depreciation to be allowed—Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 32— ASST. CIT v. INDIABULLS VENTURES LTD. (Delhi) . . .	5
Dividend —Deemed dividend—Assessee shareholder in two closely held companies—Department not establishing beyond doubt that assessee having substantial interest in subjected company on date of advancement of loan by that company to another—Assessee holder of only one share in that company on date of loan—Fact evidenced by annual return filed before Registrar of Companies—Deeming fiction cannot be applied—Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 2(22)(e)— ASST. CIT v. GURDEEP SINGH (Chandigarh) . . .	14
Employees stock option plan expenses —Business expenditure—Commissioner (Appeals) directing Assessing Officer to consider claim in line of Special Bench decision—No infirmity—Commissioner (Appeals) does not have any power to direct Assessing Officer to allow such claim for earlier years— ASST. CIT v. INDIABULLS VENTURES LTD. (Delhi) . . .	5

2020]	GENERAL INDEX (SHORT NOTES)	v
		PAGE
	Excise contractor —Cash credits—Demand drafts taken from certain parties—Assessee giving details of income-tax assessment of person who had given demand drafts—Not furnishing permanent account number not a reason for making addition—Additions confirmed in cases of parties in respect of whom assessee furnished evidence and addition deleted in cases where assessee failed to furnish evidence— <i>VENKATESH K. I. v. ASST. CIT</i> (Bangalore) . . .	40
	Exempt income —See INCOME	24, 38
	Exemption —Capital gains—Assessee neither depositing amount in capital gains account nor using net consideration for purchase of land before prescribed date—Not entitled to exemption— <i>BHAGWAN KESHU SAKHARE v. ITO</i> (Pune) . . .	10
	—Charitable purpose—Assessee a statutory body established for acquisition of land for industrial infrastructure—Assessee not driven primarily by profit motive but doing charity through advancement of an object of general public utility—Assessee operating on no profit basis—Proviso to section 2(15) not applicable to the case of assessee—Entitled to exemption— <i>ASST. CIT v. KARNATAKA INDUSTRIAL AREAS DEVELOPMENT BOARD</i> (Bangalore) . . .	1
	—Industrial undertaking in special economic zone—Special Economic Zones Act, 2005 overriding effect over provisions contained in any other Act—Trading covered by services under 2005 Act—Assessee entitled to deduction— <i>DEPUTY CIT v. DUTYFREE DISTRIBUTION SERVICES PVT. LTD.</i> (Mumbai) . . .	32
	Heads of income —Income from other sources—Business loss—Assessee working as whole time managing director of company and earning salary income—Assessee owning unit in hotel in U. S. A. but not intending to run it himself but giving unit to be run by managing company—Unit cannot be considered to be business undertaking of assessee—Loss from unit in hotel falls “income from other sources”—Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 57— <i>ROHIT KAPUR v. ADDL. CIT</i> (Delhi) . . .	7
	—Income from other sources—Business loss—Assessee working as whole time managing director of company and earning salary income—Loss arising from limited liability companies in U. S. A.—Assessee as whole time director could not have made capital outlay for business—Loss from partnerships to be treated as falling under “income from other sources”—Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 57— <i>ROHIT KAPUR v. ADDL. CIT</i> (Delhi) . . .	7
	Income —Disallowance of expenditure incurred in relation to earning exempt income—Only exempt income yielding investments to be considered to compute disallowance—Disallowance to be made under normal provisions as well as under section 115JB—Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 14A—Income-tax Rules, 1962, r. 8D(2)(ii)— <i>BAHAR AGROCHEM AND FEEDS P. LTD. v. DEPUTY CIT</i> (Mumbai) . . .	24

Income (<i>Contd.</i>)—	PAGE
—Disallowance of expenditure incurred in relation to exempt income—No exempt income reported by assessee—No disallowance could be made—Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 14A—Income-tax Rules, 1962, r. 8D— <i>ASST. CIT v. CHADHA PAPERS LTD.</i> (Delhi) . . .	38
Income from other sources —Heads of income—Business loss—Assessee working as whole time managing director of company and earning salary income—Assessee owning unit in hotel in U. S. A. but not intending to run it himself but giving unit to be run by managing company—Unit cannot be considered to be business undertaking of assessee—Loss from unit in hotel falls “income from other sources”— <i>ROHIT KAPUR v. ADDL. CIT</i> (Delhi) . . .	7
—Shares issued at premium—Valuation of shares—Fair market value—Net asset value or discounted cash flow method—Actuals of revenue to be considered and not profits projected in future years—Primary onus to prove correctness of valuation report is on assessee—Assessee to satisfy correctness of projections, discounting factor and terminal value, etc., with help of empirical data or industry norm and/or scientific data, scientific method, scientific study and applicable guidelines regarding discounted cash flow method of valuation—Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 56(2)(viib)— <i>FLUTURA BUSINESS SOLUTIONS PVT. LTD. v. ITO</i> (Bangalore) . . .	33
Income-tax —General principles—Deeming fiction—Basic facts to apply deeming fiction must be established—Deeming fiction cannot be applied on basis of assumption, presumption or suspicion— <i>ASST. CIT v. GURDEEP SINGH</i> (Chandigarh) . . .	14
—General principles—Rule of consistency—Department accepting position in preceding years—Rule of consistency applies where no change in facts and circumstances—Income-tax Act, 1961— <i>ROHIT KAPUR v. ADDL. CIT</i> (Delhi) . . .	7
Income-tax survey —Stock discrepancy and unexplained cash offered for taxation in earlier year but commitment retracted—Once statements accepted by survey team tax should be calculated for relevant year accepted by assessee—Two views impermissible under Act—Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 133A— <i>LAXMI NARAYAN JEWELLERY v. ITO</i> (Cuttack) . . .	17
Industrial undertaking in special economic zone —Exemption—Special Economic Zones Act, 2005 overriding effect over provisions contained in any other Act—Trading covered by services under 2005 Act—Assessee entitled to deduction—Special Economic Zones Act, 2005, s. 51—Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 10AA— <i>DEPUTY CIT v. DUTYFREE DISTRIBUTION SERVICES PVT. LTD.</i> (Mumbai) . . .	32
Interest —Advance tax—Default in payment of tax—Levy automatic with no discretion to Assessing Officer or assessee in computation thereof—Minimum alternate tax credit allowed to assessee which assessee	

2020]	GENERAL INDEX (SHORT NOTES)	vii
		PAGE
Interest (<i>Contd.</i>)—		
not entitled to—Interest chargeable—FISERV INDIA P. LTD. <i>v.</i> ASST. CIT (Delhi) . . .		3
Interest on borrowed capital —Assessee accepting deposits and paying interest thereon at 12.2 per cent. and advancing loans charging interest at 7.25 per cent. and 10 per cent.—No material to show interest higher than amount shown by assessee in his account—Point for further investigation but not basis or foundation for disallowance of interest expenditure—Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 36(1)(iii)—SUBHAKARAN SAMPATLALL (HUF) <i>v.</i> ITO (Kolkata) . . .		26
—Intercorporate deposits agreement with assessee and its subsidiaries—Amount invested to be considered amount invested for business purposes and for commercial expediency—Interest deductible—Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 36(1)(iii)—SKG WOODEN WORKS PVT. LTD. <i>v.</i> ITO (Delhi) . . .		28
International transactions —Transfer pricing—Arm's length price—Advertising, marketing and promotion expenses—Not international transaction—Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 92CA—JOINT CIT <i>v.</i> GENERAL MILLS INDIA P. LTD. (Mumbai) . . .		45
—Transfer pricing—Arm's length price—Software development services—Outstanding receivables—Assessee during relevant period not availing of any loan from associated enterprises or unrelated third parties and not incurring any interest cost—That outstandings not received within 90 days as stipulated in agreement between assessee and its associated enterprise—Not basis for holding existence of international transaction between assessee and its associated enterprise especially where working capital adjustment allowed to assessee—No adjustment called for—Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 92B—VALUELABS LLP <i>v.</i> ASST. CIT (Hyderabad) . . .		19
Leave travel concession —Penalty—Failure to deduct tax at source—Assessee considering leave travel concession exempt in hands of employees and Department accepting this—Assessee depositing outstanding demand with interest after survey and clarification by Tribunal in subsequent years—Reasonable cause for not deducting tax at source—Penalty not warranted—STATE BANK OF INDIA <i>v.</i> ADDL. CIT (Bangalore) . . .		11
Penalty —Concealment of income—Assessing Officer not mentioning specific offence committed by assessee in assessment order—Initiating penalty under one limb and levying penalty under other limb of provision—Penalty deleted—Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 271(1)(c)—JAYANT B. PATEL HUF <i>v.</i> DEPUTY CIT (Mumbai) . . .		44
—Concealment of income—Genuineness and bona fides of expenditure not doubted—Claim of assessee supported by various decisions and documentary evidence—Penalty could not be levied where a bona fide claim of assessee rejected by Department—Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 271(1)(c)—SMT. KUMUDINI V. GAVIT <i>v.</i> ITO (Pune) . . .		30

	PAGE
Penalty (<i>Contd.</i>)—	
—Concealment of income—Penalty in search cases—Immunity from penalty—Conditions for immunity—Manner of earning undisclosed income found in search substantiated, income declared in return filed under section 153A and tax paid thereon—Conditions for immunity satisfied—Penalty deleted—Income-tax Act, 1961, ss. 153A, 271(1)(c)— <i>JAYANT B. PATEL HUF v. DEPUTY CIT</i> (Mumbai) . . .	44
—Failure to deduct tax at source—Leave travel concession—Assessee considering leave travel concession exempt in hands of employees and Department accepting this—Assessee depositing outstanding demand with interest after survey and clarification by Tribunal in subsequent years—Reasonable cause for not deducting tax at source—Penalty not warranted—Income-tax Act, 1961, ss. 271C, 273B— <i>STATE BANK OF INDIA v. ADDL. CIT</i> (Bangalore) . . .	11
Penalty in search cases —See PENALTY . . .	44
Reassessment —Validity—Unexplained money—Cash deposits in assessee's bank account—Order sheet recorded by Assessing Officer accepting assessee's explanation for cash deposit—Reasons recorded for reassessment not mentioning earlier order-sheet entries—Reasons for reopening of assessment recorded by concealing order-sheet entries—Reassessment not valid—Income-tax Act, 1961, ss. 147, 148— <i>GULSHAN HARBANS DHINGRA v. ITO</i> (Delhi) . . .	21
Rectification of mistakes —Mistake apparent from record—Incorrect set off of minimum alternate tax credit—Prima facie mistake apparent from record—Not a debatable issue—Rectifiable order—Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 154— <i>FISERV INDIA P. LTD. v. ASST. CIT</i> (Delhi) . . .	3
Software development services —International transactions—Transfer pricing—Arm's length price—Outstanding receivables—Assessee during relevant period not availing of any loan from associated enterprises or unrelated third parties and not incurring any interest cost—That outstandings not received within 90 days as stipulated in agreement between assessee and its associated enterprise—Not basis for holding existence of international transaction between assessee and its associated enterprise especially where working capital adjustment allowed to assessee—No adjustment called for— <i>VALUELABS LLP v. ASST. CIT</i> (Hyderabad) . . .	19
Transfer pricing —See INTERNATIONAL TRANSACTIONS	19, 45
Undisclosed bank accounts —Cash credits—Cross deposits and withdrawals—Withdrawal from banks not spent for any other purpose or invested in any immovable or movable property—Combined peak credit of both bank accounts to be taken to work out element of undisclosed investments for undisclosed transactions—Assessment year in which fact of undisclosed bank accounts discovered—Undisclosed investment taxed separately—No material to show assessee doing any other business other than grocery business—Assessee doing grocery business through these	

2020]	GENERAL INDEX (SHORT NOTES)	ix
	Undisclosed bank accounts (<i>Contd.</i>)—	PAGE
	undisclosed bank accounts—Entire addition made by authorities not justified—Assessing Officer to restrict addition—SMT. LAXMI YADAV <i>v.</i> ITO (Kolkata) . . .	22
	Unexplained money —Reassessment—Validity—Cash deposits in assessee's bank account—Order sheet recorded by Assessing Officer accepting assessee's explanation for cash deposit—Reasons recorded for reassessment not mentioning earlier order-sheet entries—Reasons for reopening of assessment recorded by concealing order-sheet entries—Reassessment not valid—GULSHAN HARBANS DHINGRA <i>v.</i> ITO (Delhi) . . .	21
	Valuation of shares —Income from other sources—Shares issued at premium—Fair market value—Net asset value or discounted cash flow method—Actuals of revenue to be considered and not profits projected in future years—Primary onus to prove correctness of valuation report is on assessee—Assessee to satisfy correctness of projections, discounting factor and terminal value, etc., with help of empirical data or industry norm and/or scientific data, scientific method, scientific study and applicable guidelines regarding discounted cash flow method of valuation—FLUTURA BUSINESS SOLUTIONS PVT. LTD. <i>v.</i> ITO (Bangalore) . . .	33
	Voluntary retirement scheme —Amortisation of preliminary expenses—Expenses incurred prior to insertion of section 35DDA—Assessee governed by earlier provisions—FOSECO INDIA LTD. <i>v.</i> DEPUTY CIT (Pune) . . .	29

End of Volume 80

SECTIONWISE INDEX OF SHORT NOTES CASES

ACTS :

Income-tax Act, 1961 :

- S. 2(22)(e)**—Dividend—Deemed dividend—Assessee shareholder in two closely held companies—Department not establishing beyond doubt that assessee having substantial interest in subjected company on date of advancement of loan by that company to another—Assessee holder of only one share in that company on date of loan—Fact evidenced by annual return filed before Registrar of Companies—Deeming fiction cannot be applied—*ASST. CIT v. GURDEEP SINGH* (Chandigarh) . . . 14
- S. 10AA**—Industrial undertaking in special economic zone—Exemption—Special Economic Zones Act, 2005 overriding effect over provisions contained in any other Act—Trading covered by services under 2005 Act—Assessee entitled to deduction—*DEPUTY CIT v. DUTYFREE DISTRIBUTION SERVICES PVT. LTD.* (Mumbai) . . . 32
- S. 11**—Charitable purpose—Exemption—Assessee a statutory body established for acquisition of land for industrial infrastructure—Assessee not driven primarily by profit motive but doing charity through advancement of an object of general public utility—Assessee operating on no profit basis—Proviso to section 2(15) not applicable to the case of assessee—Entitled to exemption—*ASST. CIT v. KARNATAKA INDUSTRIAL AREAS DEVELOPMENT BOARD* (Bangalore) . . . 1
- S. 11(1)(a)**—Charitable purpose—Trust entitled carry forward deficit of current year and to set it off against income of subsequent years—*ITO (EXEMPTION) v. DR. BHAI MOHAN SINGH FOUNDATION* (Delhi) . . . 27
- S. 14A**—Income—Disallowance of expenditure incurred in relation to earning exempt income—Only exempt income yielding investments to be considered to compute disallowance—Disallowance to be made under normal provisions as well as under section 115JB—*BAHAR AGROCHEM AND FEEDS P. LTD. v. DEPUTY CIT* (Mumbai) . . . 24
- Income—Disallowance of expenditure incurred in relation to exempt income—No exempt income reported by assessee—No disallowance could be made—*ASST. CIT v. CHADHA PAPERS LTD.* (Delhi) . . . 38
- S. 32**—Depreciation—Computer software—Eligible for depreciation at sixty per cent.—Assessing Officer to verify amount of actual cost of asset on which depreciation to be allowed—*ASST. CIT v. INDIABULLS VENTURES LTD.* (Delhi) . . . 5
- S. 35DDA**—Amortisation of preliminary expenses—Voluntary retirement scheme—Expenses incurred prior to insertion of section 35DDA—Assessee governed by earlier provisions—*FOSECO INDIA LTD. v. DEPUTY CIT* (Pune) . . . 29

2020]	GENERAL INDEX (SHORT NOTES)	xi
		PAGE
	S. 36(1)(iii) —Interest on borrowed capital—Assessee accepting deposits and paying interest thereon at 12.2 per cent. and advancing loans charging interest at 7.25 per cent. and 10 per cent.—No material to show interest higher than amount shown by assessee in his account—Point for further investigation but not basis or foundation for disallowance of interest expenditure— SUBHAKARAN SAMPATLALL (HUF) v. ITO (Kolkata) . . .	26
	—Interest on borrowed capital—Intercompany deposits agreement with assessee and its subsidiaries—Amount invested to be considered amount invested for business purposes and for commercial expediency—Interest deductible— SKG WOODEN WORKS PVT. LTD. v. ITO (Delhi) . . .	28
	S. 37 —Business expenditure—Bogus purchases—No ad hoc addition for bogus purchases should be made—Addition should be made of difference between gross profit rate on genuine purchases and gross profit rate on hawala purchases—Details for facilitating calculation of gross profit rates of genuine and hawala purchases not available—Assessing Officer to decide afresh— ANIL JAIRAM GOEL v. ITO (Pune) . . .	47
	—Business expenditure—Business of trading, testing and installation of scientific instruments—Business promotion expenses—Not practically possible to furnish complete list of gifts to various customers furnished to demonstrate that particular sales order received as a result of particular gift—Live link not necessarily to prove—No personal element involved in expenditure—Reasonableness of quantum of expenditure vis-a-vis turnover would have to be justified—Restriction of 40 per cent. of initial total disallowance— RAJEEV VERMA v. ASST. CIT (Delhi) . . .	12
	—Business expenditure—Employees stock option plan expenses—Commissioner (Appeals) directing Assessing Officer to consider claim in line of Special Bench decision—No infirmity—Commissioner (Appeals) does not have any power to direct Assessing Officer to allow such claim for earlier years— ASST. CIT v. INDIABULLS VENTURES LTD. (Delhi) . . .	5
	S. 40(a)(ia) —Business expenditure—Disallowance—Payments liable to deduction of tax at source—Payments towards advertisement charges to franchisee of newspaper—Work includes advertising—Contract entered into by assessee and franchisee—Assessee liable for deduction of tax at source on payment towards advertising— MEHRA EYETECH P. LTD. v. ADDL. CIT (Mumbai) . . .	35
	—Business expenditure—Disallowance—Payments liable to deduction of tax at source—Transaction duly considered in income-tax returns of payee—No disallowance could be made— MEHRA EYETECH P. LTD. v. ADDL. CIT (Mumbai) . . .	35
	—Business expenditure—Disallowance—Payments liable to deduction of tax at source—Whether payees included receipts in their income-tax returns—Assessing Officer to verify income-tax returns of respective	

xii	ITR'S TRIBUNAL TAX REPORTS	[VOL. 80
		PAGE
payees in light of second proviso to section 40(a)(ia) read with section 201(1)—MEHRA EYTECH P. LTD. v. ADDL. CIT (Mumbai) . . .		35
S. 54B —Capital gains—Exemption—Assessee neither depositing amount in capital gains account nor using net consideration for purchase of land before prescribed date—Not entitled to exemption—BHAGWAN KESHU SAKHARE v. ITO (Pune) . . .		10
S. 56(2)(viib) —Income from other sources—Shares issued at premium—Valuation of shares—Fair market value—Net asset value or discounted cash flow method—Actuals of revenue to be considered and not profits projected in future years—Primary onus to prove correctness of valuation report is on assessee—Assessee to satisfy correctness of projections, discounting factor and terminal value, etc., with help of empirical data or industry norm and/or scientific data, scientific method, scientific study and applicable guidelines regarding discounted cash flow method of valuation—FLUTURA BUSINESS SOLUTIONS PVT. LTD. v. ITO (Bangalore) . . .		33
S. 57 —Heads of income—Income from other sources—Business loss—Assessee working as whole time managing director of company and earning salary income—Assessee owning unit in hotel in U. S. A. but not intending to run it himself but giving unit to be run by managing company—Unit cannot be considered to be business undertaking of assessee—Loss from unit in hotel falls “income from other sources”—ROHIT KAPUR v. ADDL. CIT (Delhi) . . .		7
—Heads of income—Income from other sources—Business loss—Assessee working as whole time managing director of company and earning salary income—Loss arising from limited liability companies in U. S. A.—Assessee as whole time director could not have made capital outlay for business—Loss from partnerships to be treated as falling under “income from other sources”—ROHIT KAPUR v. ADDL. CIT (Delhi) . . .		7
S. 68 —Cash credits—Excise contractor—Demand drafts taken from certain parties—Assessee giving details of income-tax assessment of person who had given demand drafts—Not furnishing permanent account number not a reason for making addition—Additions confirmed in cases of parties in respect of whom assessee furnished evidence and addition deleted in cases where assessee failed to furnish evidence—VENKATESH K. I. v. ASST. CIT (Bangalore) . . .		40
—Cash credits—Undisclosed bank accounts—Cross deposits and withdrawals—Withdrawal from banks not spent for any other purpose or invested in any immovable or movable property—Combined peak credit of both bank accounts to be taken to work out element of undisclosed investments for undisclosed transactions—Assessment year in which fact of undisclosed bank accounts discovered—Undisclosed investment taxed separately—No material to show assessee doing any other business other than grocery business—Assessee doing grocery business through these undisclosed bank accounts—Entire addition made by authorities not		

2020]	GENERAL INDEX (SHORT NOTES)	xiii
		PAGE
justified—Assessing Officer to restrict addition—SMT. LAXMI YADAV <i>v.</i> ITO (Kolkata) . . .		22
S. 69A —Cash credits—Excise contractor—Demand drafts taken from certain parties—Assessee giving details of income-tax assessment of per- son who had given demand drafts—Not furnishing permanent account number not a reason for making addition—Additions confirmed in cases of parties in respect of whom assessee furnished evidence and addition deleted in cases where assessee failed to furnish evidence—VENKATESH K. I. <i>v.</i> ASST. CIT (Bangalore) . . .		40
S. 92B —International transactions—Transfer pricing—Arm's length price—Software development services—Outstanding receivables—Asses- see during relevant period not availing of any loan from associated enter- prises or unrelated third parties and not incurring any interest cost—That outstandings not received within 90 days as stipulated in agreement between assessee and its associated enterprise—Not basis for holding existence of international transaction between assessee and its associated enterprise especially where working capital adjustment allowed to asses- see—No adjustment called for—VALUELABS LLP <i>v.</i> ASST. CIT (Hyderabad) . . .		19
S. 92CA —International transactions—Transfer pricing—Arm's length price—Advertising, marketing and promotion expenses—Not interna- tional transaction—JOINT CIT <i>v.</i> GENERAL MILLS INDIA P. LTD. (Mumbai) . . .		45
S. 133A —Income-tax survey—Stock discrepancy and unexplained cash offered for taxation in earlier year but commitment retracted—Once statements accepted by survey team tax should be calculated for relevant year accepted by assessee—Two views impermissible under Act—LAXMI NARAYAN JEWELLERY <i>v.</i> ITO (Cuttack) . . .		17
S. 147 —Reassessment—Validity—Unexplained money—Cash deposits in assessee's bank account—Order sheet recorded by Assessing Officer accepting assessee's explanation for cash deposit—Reasons recorded for reassessment not mentioning earlier order-sheet entries—Reasons for reopening of assessment recorded by concealing order-sheet entries—Reas- sessment not valid—GULSHAN HARBANS DHINGRA <i>v.</i> ITO (Delhi) . . .		21
S. 148 —Reassessment—Validity—Unexplained money—Cash deposits in assessee's bank account—Order sheet recorded by Assessing Officer accepting assessee's explanation for cash deposit—Reasons recorded for reassessment not mentioning earlier order-sheet entries—Reasons for reo- pening of assessment recorded by concealing order-sheet entries—Reas- sessment not valid—GULSHAN HARBANS DHINGRA <i>v.</i> ITO (Delhi) . . .		21
S. 153A —Penalty—Concealment of income—Penalty in search cases—Immunity from penalty— Conditions for immunity—Manner of earning undisclosed income found in search substantiated, income declared in return filed under section 153A and tax paid thereon—		

xiv	ITR'S TRIBUNAL TAX REPORTS	[VOL. 80
		PAGE
Conditions for immunity satisfied—Penalty deleted—JAYANT B. PATEL HUF <i>v.</i> DEPUTY CIT (Mumbai) . . .		44
S. 154 —Rectification of mistakes—Mistake apparent from record— Incorrect set off of minimum alternate tax credit—Prima facie mistake apparent from record—Not a debatable issue—Rectifiable order—FISERV INDIA P. LTD. <i>v.</i> ASST. CIT (Delhi) . . .		3
S. 194C —Business expenditure—Disallowance—Payments liable to deduction of tax at source—Payments towards advertisement charges to franchisee of newspaper—Work includes advertising—Contract entered into by assessee and franchisee—Assessee liable for deduction of tax at source on payment towards advertising—MEHRA EYETECH P. LTD. <i>v.</i> ADDL. CIT (Mumbai) . . .		35
—Business expenditure—Disallowance—Payments liable to deduc- tion of tax at source—Whether payees included receipts in their income- tax returns—Assessing Officer to verify income-tax returns of respective payees in light of second proviso to section 40(a)(ia) read with section 201(1)—MEHRA EYETECH P. LTD. <i>v.</i> ADDL. CIT (Mumbai) . . .		35
S. 201(1) —Business expenditure—Disallowance—Payments liable to deduction of tax at source—Whether payees included receipts in their income-tax returns—Assessing Officer to verify income-tax returns of respective payees in light of second proviso to section 40(a)(ia) read with section 201(1)—MEHRA EYETECH P. LTD. <i>v.</i> ADDL. CIT (Mumbai) . . .		35
S. 234B —Advance tax—Default in payment of tax—Interest—Levy automatic with no discretion to Assessing Officer or assessee in compu- tation thereof—Minimum alternate tax credit allowed to assessee which assessee not entitled to—Interest chargeable—FISERV INDIA P. LTD. <i>v.</i> ASST. CIT (Delhi) . . .		3
S. 251 —Appeal to Commissioner (Appeals)—Commissioner (Appeals) dismissing appeal ex parte without discussing merits of addition made by Assessing Officer—Not proper—Commissioner (Appeals) should have called for assessment records and thereafter passed order on merits—Assessee should have pursued appeal diligently—Matter remanded for disposal afresh—AP GARMENTS P. LTD. <i>v.</i> DEPUTY CIT (Kolkata) . . .		42
S. 271(1)(c) —Penalty—Concealment of income—Assessing Officer not mentioning specific offence committed by assessee in assessment order—Initiating penalty under one limb and levying penalty under other limb of provision—Penalty deleted—JAYANT B. PATEL HUF <i>v.</i> DEPUTY CIT (Mumbai) . . .		44
—Penalty—Concealment of income—Genuineness and bona fides of expenditure not doubted—Claim of assessee supported by various deci- sions and documentary evidence—Penalty could not be levied where a bona fide claim of assessee rejected by Department—SMT. KUMUDINI V. GAVIT <i>v.</i> ITO (Pune) . . .		30

2020]	GENERAL INDEX (SHORT NOTES)	xv
		PAGE
	—Penalty—Concealment of income—Penalty in search cases—Immunity from penalty— Conditions for immunity—Manner of earning undisclosed income found in search substantiated, income declared in return filed under section 153A and tax paid thereon—Conditions for immunity satisfied—Penalty deleted—JAYANT B. PATEL HUF <i>v.</i> DEPUTY CIT (Mumbai) . . .	44
	S. 271C —Penalty—Failure to deduct tax at source—Leave travel concession—Assessee considering leave travel concession exempt in hands of employees and Department accepting this—Assessee depositing outstanding demand with interest after survey and clarification by Tribunal in subsequent years—Reasonable cause for not deducting tax at source—Penalty not warranted—STATE BANK OF INDIA <i>v.</i> ADDL. CIT (Bangalore) . . .	11
	S. 273B —Penalty—Failure to deduct tax at source—Leave travel concession—Assessee considering leave travel concession exempt in hands of employees and Department accepting this—Assessee depositing outstanding demand with interest after survey and clarification by Tribunal in subsequent years—Reasonable cause for not deducting tax at source—Penalty not warranted—STATE BANK OF INDIA <i>v.</i> ADDL. CIT (Bangalore) . . .	11
	Special Economic Zones Act, 2005 :	
	S. 51 —Industrial undertaking in special economic zone—Exemption—Special Economic Zones Act, 2005 overriding effect over provisions contained in any other Act—Trading covered by services under 2005 Act—Assessee entitled to deduction—DEPUTY CIT <i>v.</i> DUTYFREE DISTRIBUTION SERVICES PVT. LTD. (Mumbai) . . .	32
	RULES :	
	Income-tax Rules, 1962 :	
	R. 8D —Income—Disallowance of expenditure incurred in relation to exempt income—No exempt income reported by assessee—No disallowance could be made—ASST. CIT <i>v.</i> CHADHA PAPERS LTD. (Delhi) . . .	38
	R. 8D(2)(ii) —Income—Disallowance of expenditure incurred in relation to earning exempt income—Only exempt income yielding investments to be considered to compute disallowance—Disallowance to be made under normal provisions as well as under section 115JB—BAHAR AGROCHEM AND FEEDS P. LTD. <i>v.</i> DEPUTY CIT (Mumbai) . . .	24

End of Volume 80

**THE
ITR'S TRIBUNAL TAX REPORTS
VOLUME 80 — 2020**

SUBJECT INDEX

- Accrual**—Business income—Membership fees—Assessee receiving fees in earlier years pertaining to subsequent years—Amount taxable in year to which it pertains—**LANDBASE INDIA LTD. v. ASST. CIT** (Delhi) . . . 580
- Advance tax**—Interest—Failure by payer to deduct tax at source—Interest cannot be imposed on assessee—Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 234B—**GENERAL MOTORS OVERSEAS CORPORATION v. ASST. CIT (INTERNATIONAL TAXATION)** (Mumbai) . . . 478
- Interest—Non-resident—Assessee accepting existence of permanent establishment—Assessee not entitled to contend that it was responsibility of deductor to deduct tax at source—Assessee liable for interest—Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 234B—**VOITH PAPER GMBH v. DEPUTY DIT** (Delhi) . . . 589
- Appeal to Commissioner (Appeals)**—Enhancement of income—Remand by Tribunal—Inspector of Income-tax not competent to issue enhancement notice—Failure by Commissioner (Appeals) to issue fresh notice for enhancement—Order enhancing income not sustainable—Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 251(2)—**GREEN VALLEY INFRACITY P. LTD. v. ITO** (Delhi) . . . 388
- Arbitration award**—Revision—Erroneous and prejudicial to Revenue—General principles—Interest on arbitration award reduced while computing taxable income—Assessee consistently offering interest income on arbitration awards to tax only in year of actual receipt—Recognition of revenue accepted by Department in earlier years—Assessing Officer taking possible view after proper application of mind—Assessment order not erroneous or prejudicial to interests of Revenue—Revision not sustainable in eyes of law—**AFCONS INFRASTRUCTURE LIMITED v. PRINCIPAL CIT** (Mumbai) . . . 410
- Assessment**—Dividend income—Assessee filing no evidence—Addition justified—Commission receipt—Commissioner (Appeals) corrected

2020]	GENERAL INDEX	xvii
		PAGE
	Assessment (<i>Contd.</i>)—	
	mistake—Restriction justified—Family settlement—Source of receipt accepted—No addition—Income-tax Act, 1961—G. ASHOK REDDY <i>v.</i> ITO (Hyderabad) . . .	550
	—Income from undisclosed sources—Ex parte assessment—Assessee not interested in pursuing appeal and failing to explain assessment before Assessing Officer and Commissioner (Appeals)—No new facts or circumstances placed on record and addition made unrebutted—Addition justified—AJAY BALDEVBHAI PATEL <i>v.</i> ITO (Ahmedabad) . . .	367
	Assessment in search cases —See SEARCH AND SEIZURE . . .	117
	Bogus purchases —Business expenditure—Source of purchase not outside books of account and corresponding sales not disputed—No rejection of books of account—Suppression of gross profit on purchases—Profit element of such amount should be added as income—BELMARKS METAL WORKS <i>v.</i> ITO (Delhi) . . .	699
	Book profits —Company—Assessee not showing additional revenue in its books—Assessing Officer cannot tinker with book profit—DEPUTY CIT <i>v.</i> YAHOO SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT P. LTD. (Bangalore) . . .	528
	Burden of proof —Search and seizure—Income from undisclosed sources—Department must establish unrecorded transaction or investment whose nature and source not explained—Real estate business—Property transaction—Assessee duly explaining nature and source of transaction and declaring it in its return—Not income from undisclosed sources but income from business—SATYA NARAYAN CHOUDHARY <i>v.</i> ASST. CIT (Jodhpur) . . .	95
	Business expenditure —Bogus purchases—Source of purchase not outside books of account and corresponding sales not disputed—No rejection of books of account—Suppression of gross profit on purchases—Profit element of such amount should be added as income—Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 37—BELMARKS METAL WORKS <i>v.</i> ITO (Delhi) . . .	699
	—Disallowance—Corporate social responsibility expenses—All payments forming part of corporate social responsibility expenses do not form part of profit and loss account for computing business income—Deductions allowed to corporate social responsibility projects under sections 30 to 36, 80G(1) and (2)—Assessee cannot be denied benefit of claim under Chapter VI-A—Matter remanded—Income-tax Act, 1961, ss. 37(1), 80G—FIRST AMERICAN (INDIA) PVT. LTD. <i>v.</i> ASST. CIT (Bangalore) . . .	538
	Business income —Accrual—Membership fees—Assessee receiving fees in earlier years pertaining to subsequent years—Amount taxable in year to which it pertains—LANDBASE INDIA LTD. <i>v.</i> ASST. CIT (Delhi) . . .	580
	Business loss —Loss on account of cancellation of purchase orders—Not necessary for assessee in each case to resort to litigation—Assessee to	

xviii

ITR'S TRIBUNAL TAX REPORTS

[VOL. 80

	PAGE
Business loss (<i>Contd.</i>)—	
maintain business relation with parties incurring losses—Bona fides of assessee in carrying on business activities not doubted—Loss deductible—Income-tax Act, 1961— RAMESH KUMAR AGARWAL v. ITO (Delhi) . . .	436
Capital gains —Cost of acquisition—Valuation of land and building—Existence of rental agreement, receipt of rent and rental advance not in dispute—Valuation should be on basis of rent capitalisation method—Income-tax Act, 1961— SMT. R. ROSALIN VASANTHI v. ITO (Chennai) . . .	525
—Exemption—Long-term capital gains—Sale of residential property and acquisition of another property from sale proceeds—New property acquired in son's name—Payment by assessee for purchase of new property within stipulated time as prescribed—Exemption not to be denied on ground no return filed by assessee—Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 54— BHAGWAN SWROOP PATHAK v. ITO (Delhi) . . .	89
—Exemption—Sale of capital asset and investment in residential house—As on date of transfer of original asset assessee not owning more than one residential house—Capital gains duly deposited in capital gains savings account—Completion of construction within two years from date of sale of original asset—Assessee entitled to exemption—Income-tax Act, 1961, ss. 54, 54F— SUNIL MALHOTRA v. ASST. CIT (Delhi) . . .	372
—Exemption—Sale of residential house and purchase or construction of house for residence within stipulated time—Failure to deposit unutilised portion of consideration in capital gains scheme—Procedural requirement—Benefit not to be denied if mandatory requirement fully complied with within time-limit prescribed—Assessing Officer to allow total investments if utilised for construction of house within time-limit—Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 54F— KASI VISWANATHAN RAMANATHAN v. ITO (Chennai) . . .	461
—Long-term capital gains—Full value of consideration—Provision deeming value "assessable" by stamp valuation authority as full value of consideration—"Assessable" introduced with effect from 1-10-2009 prospective in nature—Assessee selling property by agreement to sell dated 1-4-2009—Deemed sale consideration as per stamp valuation authorities could not be invoked for determining long-term capital gains arising from sale of property—Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 50C— SMT. ALKA JAIN v. ASST. CIT (Delhi) . . .	464
—Long-term capital gains—Sale of capital asset and investment in residential house—Residential house purchased within two years from the date of transfer of original asset—Assessee entitled to exemption—Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 54F— RAJIV MADHOK v. ASST. CIT (Delhi) . . .	427
Capital or revenue receipt —Non-compete fee—Capital receipt—Income-tax Act, 1961— K. SRIKANTH v. ASST. CIT (Chennai) . . .	272
—Security deposit—Capital receipt—Income-tax Act, 1961— LAND-BASE INDIA LTD. v. ASST. CIT (Delhi) . . .	580

2020]	GENERAL INDEX	xix
		PAGE
Cash credits —Unexplained cash credits—Long-term capital gains from sale of shares—Additions based on detailed enquiries by Investigation Wing and Securities and Exchange Board of India—Scrip a penny stock, purchased at a low price—Off market purchases, back dated and not reported on exchange—Purchases in physical form, and dematerialised only subsequently—Investee a penny stock company with no credentials sale rates artificially hiked, with no real buyer—Additions justified—Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 68— BHAGWATIBEN VINODKUMAR SURANI v. ITO (Ahmedabad) . . .		341
Charitable purpose —Registration—Assessee required to provide all details regarding constitution of its members, funds received and utilised towards charitable activities since inception—Assessee not providing any details of activities for period from its establishment till date of application for registration—Commissioner to decide afresh on verification of objects and genuineness of activities in accordance with law in light of documents which assessee is required to submit before him—Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 12AA— MARIAM EDUCATION SOCIETY v. CIT (EXEMPTION) (Delhi) . . .		380
Company —Book profits—Assessee not showing additional revenue in its books—Assessing Officer cannot tinker with book profit—Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 115JB— DEPUTY CIT v. YAHOO SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT P. LTD. (Bangalore) . . .		528
Concealment of income —See PENALTY 1, 360, 448, 452		
Corporate social responsibility expenses —Business expenditure—Disallowance—All payments forming part of corporate social responsibility expenses do not form part of profit and loss account for computing business income—Deductions allowed to corporate social responsibility projects under sections 30 to 36, 80G(1) and (2)—Assessee cannot be denied benefit of claim under Chapter VI-A—Matter remanded— FIRST AMERICAN (INDIA) PVT. LTD. v. ASST. CIT (Bangalore) . . .		538
—Donations—Special deduction—Some payments forming part of expenses deductible for computing total taxable income—Assessing Officer to verify nature of payments qualifying exemption— FIRST AMERICAN (INDIA) PVT. LTD. v. ASST. CIT (Bangalore) . . .		538
Data link charges, telecommunication charges and foreign travel expenses —Expenditure incurred towards data link charges, telecommunication charges and foreign travel expenses attributable to delivery of computer software for providing technical services outside India—Exemption—Export—Excludible both from export turnover and total turnover— DEPUTY CIT v. YAHOO SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT P. LTD. (Bangalore) . . .		528
Deemed dividend —Transactions between assessee and subject companies in nature of trading transactions—Outside the ambit of deemed dividend— DEPUTY CIT v. FUTURZ NEXT SERVICES P. LTD. (Delhi) . . .		58

xx	ITR'S TRIBUNAL TAX REPORTS	[VOL. 80
		PAGE
Depreciation —Assessee owning golf course and using for purpose of business as a tool of its business—Plant—Assessee entitled to depreciation—Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 32— LANDBASE INDIA LTD. v. ASST. CIT (Delhi) . . .		580
—Rate of depreciation—Higher rate of depreciation—Digital content—Assessee developing animation software and stored in computer for use in films—Digital content copyrighted material and intangible asset and not computer software—Entitled to depreciation at twenty-five per cent. and not sixty per cent.—Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 32—Income-tax Rules, 1962, Appex. I, Part A, entry III(5)— PENTAMEDIA GRAPHICS LTD. v. DEPUTY CIT (Chennai) . . .		555
—Transfer—Full value of consideration received by assessee before executing sale deed—Seller agreeing to pay and discharge outstanding dues and liabilities in respect of share in premises—Not that assessee not transferred property during relevant period—Excess depreciation—Written down value of block of assets should be reduced by sum received not stamp value—Excess depreciation not allowable—Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 32— DEPUTY CIT v. FUTURZ NEXT SERVICES P. LTD. (Delhi) . . .		58
Digital content —Rate of depreciation—Higher rate of depreciation—Assessee developing animation software and stored in computer for use in films—Digital content copyrighted material and intangible asset and not computer software—Entitled to depreciation at twenty-five per cent. and not sixty per cent.— PENTAMEDIA GRAPHICS LTD. v. DEPUTY CIT (Chennai) . . .		555
Dividend —Deemed dividend—Transactions between assessee and subject companies in nature of trading transactions—Outside the ambit of deemed dividend—Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 2(22)(e)— DEPUTY CIT v. FUTURZ NEXT SERVICES P. LTD. (Delhi) . . .		58
Dividend income —Assessment—Assessee filing no evidence—Addition justified—Commission receipt—Commissioner (Appeals) corrected mistake—Restriction justified—Family settlement—Source of receipt accepted—No addition— G. ASHOK REDDY v. ITO (Hyderabad) . . .		550
Donations —Special deduction—Corporate social responsibility expenses—Some payments forming part of expenses deductible for computing total taxable income—Assessing Officer to verify nature of payments qualifying exemption—Income-tax Act, 1961, ss. 37(1), 80G— FIRST AMERICAN (INDIA) PVT. LTD. v. ASST. CIT (Bangalore) . . .		538
Eligible profits —See EXEMPTION . . .		528
Ex parte assessment —See INCOME FROM UNDISCLOSED SOURCES . . .		367
Exempt income —See INCOME		58, 555
Exemption —Capital gains—Exemption—Sale of residential house and purchase or construction of house for residence within stipulated time—Failure to deposit unutilised portion of consideration in capital gains		

2020]	GENERAL INDEX	xxi
		PAGE
Exemption (<i>Contd.</i>)—		
scheme—Procedural requirement—Benefit not to be denied if mandatory requirement fully complied with within time-limit prescribed—Assessing Officer to allow total investments if utilised for construction of house within time-limit—KASI VISWANATHAN RAMANATHAN <i>v.</i> ITO (Chennai) . . .		461
—Capital gains—Long-term capital gains—Sale of residential property and acquisition of another property from sale proceeds—New property acquired in son's name—Payment by assessee for purchase of new property within stipulated time as prescribed—Exemption not to be denied on ground no return filed by assessee—BHAGWAN SWROOP PATHAK <i>v.</i> ITO (Delhi) . . .		89
—Capital gains—Sale of capital asset and investment in residential house—As on date of transfer of original asset assessee not owning more than one residential house—Capital gains duly deposited in capital gains savings account—Completion of construction within two years from date of sale of original asset—Assessee entitled to exemption—SUNIL MALHOTRA <i>v.</i> ASST. CIT (Delhi) . . .		372
—Export—Eligible profits—Profits enhanced owing to disallowance of payments for failure to deduct tax at source—Enhanced profits to be considered for deduction—Income-tax Act, 1961, ss. 10A, 40(a)(ia)—DEPUTY CIT <i>v.</i> YAHOO SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT P. LTD. (Bangalore) . . .		528
—Export—Expenditure incurred towards data link charges, telecommunication charges and foreign travel expenses attributable to delivery of computer software for providing technical services outside India—Excludible both from export turnover and total turnover—Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 10A—DEPUTY CIT <i>v.</i> YAHOO SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT P. LTD. (Bangalore) . . .		528
—Export—Export proceeds received in India beyond time-limit but within time allowed by Reserve Bank circular—To be considered for deduction—Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 10A—DEPUTY CIT <i>v.</i> YAHOO SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT P. LTD. (Bangalore) . . .		528
Export —Exemption—Eligible profits—Profits enhanced owing to disallowance of payments for failure to deduct tax at source—Enhanced profits to be considered for deduction—DEPUTY CIT <i>v.</i> YAHOO SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT P. LTD. (Bangalore) . . .		528
—Exemption—Expenditure incurred towards data link charges, telecommunication charges and foreign travel expenses attributable to delivery of computer software for providing technical services outside India—Excludible both from export turnover and total turnover—DEPUTY CIT <i>v.</i> YAHOO SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT P. LTD. (Bangalore) . . .		528
—Exemption—Export proceeds received in India beyond time-limit but within time allowed by Reserve Bank circular—To be considered for deduction—DEPUTY CIT <i>v.</i> YAHOO SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT P. LTD. (Bangalore) . . .		528

Fees for technical services —Non-resident—Technical experts on deputation to India—No categorical or conclusive finding given by Authority for Advance Rulings in respect of nature of services rendered by experts—Ruling given by Authority not binding either on Department or Tribunal—Transfer of key employees having requisite knowledge, experience and expertise of technology from one tax jurisdiction to another tax jurisdiction is transfer of technology and not mere transfer of employees—Fees for included services taxable—Assessee not entitled to deduction in terms of section 44D— GENERAL MOTORS OVERSEAS CORPORATION v. ASST. CIT (INTERNATIONAL TAXATION) (Mumbai) . . .	478
Furnishing inaccurate particulars of income —See PENALTY 1, 360, 448, 452	
Golf course —Depreciation—Assessee owning golf course and using for purpose of business as a tool of its business—Plant—Assessee entitled to depreciation—Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 32— LANDBASE INDIA LTD. v. ASST. CIT (Delhi) . . .	580
Income —Disallowance of expenditure in relation to earning exempt income—Condition precedent—Actual receipt of exempt income during relevant previous year—Assessee not earning any exempt income during relevant period—No disallowance—Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 14A—Income-tax Rules, 1962, r. 8D— DEPUTY CIT v. FUTURZ NEXT SERVICES P. LTD. (Delhi) . . .	58
—Disallowance of expenditure in relation to exempt income—Foreign investments yielding dividend income which suffered taxation in India—Section 14A not applicable once income chargeable to tax—Investments in Indian companies not yielding exempt income—Excludible—Assessing Officer to decide on merits—Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 14A—Income-tax Rules, 1962, r. 8D(2)(iii)— PENTAMEDIA GRAPHICS LTD. v. DEPUTY CIT (Chennai) . . .	555
—Inclusions—Sale consideration received for sale of shares—Taxable—Income-tax Act, 1961, ss. 60 to 64— K. SRIKANTH v. ASST. CIT (Chennai) . . .	272
Income deemed to accrue or arise in India —Non-resident—Taxability in India—Contracts for supply and service of machinery in connection with setting up of plant—Machinery components imported in India custom-made plant for buyer—Assessee entrusted work of commissioning of plant in view of expertise in field—Supply and service contracts representing a single, composite turnkey works contract—Assessee's activities in India representing real and intimate relationship between activities of assessee done outside India and those done inside India—Business connection in India—Income deemed to accrue or arise in India—Thirty-five per cent. of profit attributed to permanent establishment— VOITH PAPER GMBH v. DEPUTY DIT (Delhi) . . .	589
Income from undisclosed sources —Assessment—Ex parte assessment—Assessee not interested in pursuing appeal and failing to explain	

2020]	GENERAL INDEX	xxiii
	Income from undisclosed sources (<i>Contd.</i>)—	PAGE
	assessment before Assessing Officer and Commissioner (Appeals)—No new facts or circumstances placed on record and addition made unrebutted—Addition justified—Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 144— AJAY BALDEVBHAI PATEL v. ITO (Ahmedabad) . . .	367
	—Search and seizure—Burden of proof—Department must established unrecorded transaction or investment whose nature and source not explained—Real estate business—Property transaction—Assessee duly explaining nature and source of transaction and declaring it in its return—Not income from undisclosed sources but income from business— SATYA NARAYAN CHOUDHARY v. ASST. CIT (Jodhpur) . . .	95
	Interest —Advance tax—Failure by payer to deduct tax at source—Interest cannot be imposed on assessee— GENERAL MOTORS OVERSEAS CORPORATION v. ASST. CIT (INTERNATIONAL TAXATION) (Mumbai) . . .	478
	—Advance tax—Non-resident—Assessee accepting existence of permanent establishment—Assessee not entitled to contend that it was responsibility of deductor to deduct tax at source—Assessee liable for interest— VOITH PAPER GMBH v. DEPUTY DIT (Delhi) . . .	589
	Long-term capital gains —Exemption—Sale of residential property and acquisition of another property from sale proceeds—New property acquired in son's name—Payment by assessee for purchase of new property within stipulated time as prescribed—Exemption not to be denied on ground no return filed by assessee— BHAGWAN SWROOP PATHAK v. ITO (Delhi) . . .	89
	—Full value of consideration—Provision deeming value "assessable" by stamp valuation authority as full value of consideration—"Assessable" introduced with effect from 1-10-2009 prospective in nature—Assessee selling property by agreement to sell dated 1-4-2009—Deemed sale consideration as per stamp valuation authorities could not be invoked for determining long-term capital gains arising from sale of property— SMT. ALKA JAIN v. ASST. CIT (Delhi) . . .	464
	—Sale of capital asset and investment in residential house—Residential house purchased within two years from the date of transfer of original asset—Assessee entitled to exemption— RAJIV MADHOK v. ASST. CIT (Delhi) . . .	427
	Loss —Loss on account of cancellation of purchase orders—Business loss—Not necessary for assessee in each case to resort to litigation—Assessee to maintain business relation with parties incurring losses—Bona fides of assessee in carrying on business activities not doubted—Loss deductible— RAMESH KUMAR AGARWAL v. ITO (Delhi) . . .	436
	Membership fees —Business income—Accrual—Assessee receiving fees in earlier years pertaining to subsequent years—Amount taxable in year to which it pertains— LANDBASE INDIA LTD. v. ASST. CIT (Delhi) . . .	580

	PAGE
Non-competee fee —Capital or revenue receipt—Capital receipt—K. SRIKANTH <i>v.</i> ASST. CIT (Chennai) . . .	272
Non-resident —Advance tax—Interest—Assessee accepting existence of permanent establishment—Assessee not entitled to contend that it was responsibility of deductor to deduct tax at source—Assessee liable for interest—VOITH PAPER GMBH <i>v.</i> DEPUTY DIT (Delhi) . . .	589
—Fees for technical services—Technical experts on deputation to India—No categorical or conclusive finding given by Authority for Advance Rulings in respect of nature of services rendered by experts—Ruling given by Authority not binding either on Department or Tribunal—Transfer of key employees having requisite knowledge, experience and expertise of technology from one tax jurisdiction to another tax jurisdiction is transfer of technology and not mere transfer of employees—Fees for included services taxable—Assessee not entitled to deduction in terms of section 44D—Income-tax Act, 1961—GENERAL MOTORS OVERSEAS CORPORATION <i>v.</i> ASST. CIT (INTERNATIONAL TAXATION) (Mumbai) . . .	478
—Taxability in India—Income deemed to accrue or arise in India—Contracts for supply and service of machinery in connection with setting up of plant—Machinery components imported in India custom-made plant for buyer—Assessee entrusted work of commissioning of plant in view of expertise in field—Supply and service contracts representing a single, composite turnkey works contract—Assessee's activities in India representing real and intimate relationship between activities of assessee done outside India and those done inside India—Business connection in India—Income deemed to accrue or arise in India—Thirty-five per cent. of profit attributed to permanent establishment—Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 9(1)(i)—Double Taxation Avoidance Agreement between India and Austria, art. 5(2)—VOITH PAPER GMBH <i>v.</i> DEPUTY DIT (Delhi) . . .	589
Notice —See REASSESSMENT	272, 386
Penalty —Concealment of income—Furnishing inaccurate particulars of income—Addition on basis of which penalty levied finally deleted by appellate authorities—Nothing surviving to levy penalty—Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 271(1)(c)—DEPUTY CIT <i>v.</i> GALDERMA INDIA PVT. LTD. (Mumbai) . . .	452
—Concealment of income—Furnishing inaccurate particulars of income—Assessee taking loan against property and paying interest and capitalising expenditure up to date of acquisition but inadvertently claiming it in return as interest—Penalty not leviable—Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 271(1)(c)—UMG PROPERTIES P. LTD. <i>v.</i> ASST. CIT (Delhi) . . .	448
—Concealment of income—Furnishing inaccurate particulars of income—Gratuity expenses—Assessing Officer getting information about disallowance and provision for gratuity from tax audit report—Exhibition expenses—Genuineness of expenses not doubted—Not a case of furnishing inaccurate particulars of income—Penalty not leviable—Income-tax	

2020]	GENERAL INDEX	XXV
		PAGE
	Penalty (<i>Contd.</i>)—	
	Act, 1961, s. 271(1)(c)—ARROW DIGITAL P. LTD. <i>v.</i> DEPUTY CIT (Ahmedabad) . . .	360
	—Concealment of income—Furnishing inaccurate particulars of income—Whether proceedings for concealment of income or furnishing inaccurate particulars uncertain both at time of initiation of penalty proceedings and at time of passing penalty proceedings—Assessing Officer not specifying how assessee found guilty of both concealment of income as well as furnishing inaccurate particulars of income—Penalty not valid—Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 271(1)(c)—LAXMAN NAINANI <i>v.</i> DEPUTY CIT (Jaipur) . . .	1
	—Penalty in search cases—Undisclosed income—Penalty not mandatory and depends upon specific facts and circumstances of each case—Assessing Officer to record clear and specific finding to this effect and cannot be solely guided by surrender made by assessee during course of search—No finding in penalty order that undisclosed income found and surrendered during course of search falling under definition of “undisclosed income”—Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 271AAB—LAXMAN NAINANI <i>v.</i> DEPUTY CIT (Jaipur) . . .	1
	—Whether for concealment or for furnishing of inaccurate particulars of income—Failure by Assessing Officer to state specific charge of penalty—Not sufficient to levy penalty—Penalty deleted—Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 271(1)(c)—HINDON FORGE P. LTD. <i>v.</i> DEPUTY CIT (Delhi) . . .	545
	Rate of depreciation —Higher rate of depreciation—Digital content—Assessee developing animation software and stored in computer for use in films—Digital content copyrighted material and intangible asset and not computer software—Entitled to depreciation at twenty-five per cent. and not sixty per cent.—PENTAMEDIA GRAPHICS LTD. <i>v.</i> DEPUTY CIT (Chennai) . . .	555
	Reassessment —Notice—Assessing Officer not signing notice or mentioning assessment year—Notice illegal—Reassessment proceedings not valid—Income-tax Act, 1961, ss. 147, 148—DEPUTY CIT <i>v.</i> TAUREG PROPERTIES AND SECURITY SERVICES LTD. (Delhi) . . .	386
	—Notice within four years—No scrutiny assessment—Non-compete fee received utilised to repay bank loan availed of by company of which assessee director and guarantor—Assessee claiming a huge exemption of income by making incomplete, untrue and wrong claim—Primary facts not completely, correctly and truly disclosed in return—Reassessment valid—Income-tax Act, 1961, ss. 147, 148—K. SRIKANTH <i>v.</i> ASST. CIT (Chennai) . . .	272
	—Validity—Categorical information containing assessee’s name with details of sellers later found to be hawala operators providing accommodation bogus bills—Assessing Officer after receiving information independently applying his mind and recording reasons why purchases not genuine—Reassessment valid—Income-tax Act, 1961, ss. 147, 148—BEL-MARKS METAL WORKS <i>v.</i> ITO (Delhi) . . .	699

	PAGE
Recovery of tax —Stay of demand—Assessee receiving huge cash from sale of property over and above sale value recorded in registered sale deed which was not offered for taxation—No case made out by assessee for stay of demand on all grounds of prima facie case, balance of convenience, irreparable loss and financial difficulties—Stay petition dismissed—Income-tax Act, 1961— <i>SMT. G. HEMALATHA v. ASST. CIT</i> (Chennai) . . .	456
Residential house —Sale of residential house and purchase or construction of house for residence within stipulated time—Capital gains—Exemption—Failure to deposit unutilised portion of consideration in capital gains scheme—Procedural requirement—Benefit not to be denied if mandatory requirement fully complied with within time-limit prescribed—Assessing Officer to allow total investments if utilised for construction of house within time-limit— <i>KASI VISWANATHAN RAMANATHAN v. ITO</i> (Chennai) . . .	461
Residential property —Sale of residential property and acquisition of another property from sale proceeds—Capital gains—Exemption—Long-term capital gains—New property acquired in son's name—Payment by assessee for purchase of new property within stipulated time as prescribed—Exemption not to be denied on ground no return filed by assessee— <i>BHAGWAN SWROOP PATHAK v. ITO</i> (Delhi) . . .	89
Revision —Erroneous and prejudicial to Revenue—General principles—Arbitration award—Interest on arbitration award reduced while computing taxable income—Assessee consistently offering interest income on arbitration awards to tax only in year of actual receipt—Recognition of revenue accepted by Department in earlier years—Assessing Officer taking possible view after proper application of mind—Assessment order not erroneous or prejudicial to interests of Revenue—Revision not sustainable in eyes of law—Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 263— <i>AFCONS INFRASTRUCTURE LIMITED v. PRINCIPAL CIT</i> (Mumbai) . . .	410
Search and seizure —Assessment in search cases—Regular assessment framed prior to search and seizure operation—No incriminating material found during search or survey—Assessing Officer considering statement of third parties as incriminating material for making addition—No opportunity to cross-examine those parties provided to assessee—Addition unsustainable—Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 153A— <i>SANJAY SINGHAL v. DEPUTY CIT</i> (Chandigarh) . . .	117
—Income from undisclosed sources—Burden of proof—Department must establish unrecorded transaction or investment whose nature and source not explained—Real estate business—Property transaction—Assessee duly explaining nature and source of transaction and declaring it in its return—Not income from undisclosed sources but income from business—Income-tax Act, 1961, ss. 69, 69A— <i>SATYA NARAYAN CHOUDHARY v. ASST. CIT</i> (Jodhpur) . . .	95

2020]	GENERAL INDEX	xxvii
		PAGE
Search and seizure (<i>Contd.</i>)—		
—Unexplained investment—Condition precedent—Statement retracted while filing return on account of fact that no such investment made—No evidence that amount spent more than amount declared in construction activities before Assessing Officer—Addition not established with corroborating evidence of investment by assessee in construction activities—No incriminating material for warranting addition—Income-tax Act, 1961, ss. 69, 69A— SATYA NARAYAN CHOUDHARY v. ASST. CIT (Jodhpur) . . .		95
Security deposit —Capital or revenue receipt—Capital receipt— LANDBASE INDIA LTD. v. ASST. CIT (Delhi) . . .		580
Special deduction —Donations—Corporate social responsibility expenses—Some payments forming part of expenses deductible for computing total taxable income—Assessing Officer to verify nature of payments qualifying exemption— FIRST AMERICAN (INDIA) PVT. LTD. v. ASST. CIT (Bangalore) . . .		538
Stay of demand —Recovery of tax—Assessee receiving huge cash from sale of property over and above sale value recorded in registered sale deed which was not offered for taxation—No case made out by assessee for stay of demand on all grounds of prima facie case, balance of convenience, irreparable loss and financial difficulties—Stay petition dismissed— SMT. G. HEMALATHA v. ASST. CIT (Chennai) . . .		456
Taxability in India —See NON-RESIDENT . . .		589
Technical experts on deputation to India —Non-resident—Fees for technical services—No categorical or conclusive finding given by Authority for Advance Rulings in respect of nature of services rendered by experts—Ruling given by Authority not binding either on Department or Tribunal—Transfer of key employees having requisite knowledge, experience and expertise of technology from one tax jurisdiction to another tax jurisdiction is transfer of technology and not mere transfer of employees—Fees for included services taxable—Assessee not entitled to deduction in terms of section 44D— GENERAL MOTORS OVERSEAS CORPORATION v. ASST. CIT (INTERNATIONAL TAXATION) (Mumbai) . . .		478
Transfer —Depreciation—Full value of consideration received by assessee before executing sale deed—Seller agreeing to pay and discharge outstanding dues and liabilities in respect of share in premises—Not that assessee not transferred property during relevant period—Excess depreciation—Written down value of block of assets should be reduced by sum received not stamp value—Excess depreciation not allowable— DEPUTY CIT v. FUTURZ NEXT SERVICES P. LTD. (Delhi) . . .		58
Unexplained cash credits —Long-term capital gains from sale of shares—Additions based on detailed enquiries by Investigation Wing and Securities and Exchange Board of India—Scrip a penny stock, purchased		

xxviii

ITR'S TRIBUNAL TAX REPORTS

[VOL. 80

	PAGE
Unexplained cash credits (<i>Contd.</i>)—	
at a low price—Off market purchases, back dated and not reported on exchange—Purchases in physical form, and dematerialised only subsequently—Investee a penny stock company with no credentials sale rates artificially hiked, with no real buyer—Additions justified— BHAGWATIBEN VINODKUMAR SURANI v. ITO (Ahmedabad) . . .	341
Unexplained investment —Search and seizure—Condition precedent—Statement retracted while filing return on account of fact that no such investment made—No evidence that amount spent more than amount declared in construction activities before Assessing Officer—Addition not established with corroborating evidence of investment by assessee in construction activities—No incriminating material for warranting addition— SATYA NARAYAN CHOUDHARY v. ASST. CIT (Jodhpur) . . .	95
Valuation of land and building —Capital gains—Cost of acquisition—Existence of rental agreement, receipt of rent and rental advance not in dispute—Valuation should be on basis of rent capitalisation method— SMT. R. ROSALIN VASANTHI v. ITO (Chennai) . . .	525

End of Volume 80

SECTIONWISE INDEX

Double Taxation Avoidance Agreement between India and Austria :

Art. 5(2)—Non-resident—Taxability in India—Income deemed to accrue or arise in India—Contracts for supply and service of machinery in connection with setting up of plant—Machinery components imported in India custom-made plant for buyer—Assessee entrusted work of commissioning of plant in view of expertise in field—Supply and service contracts representing a single, composite turnkey works contract—Assessee's activities in India representing real and intimate relationship between activities of assessee done outside India and those done inside India—Business connection in India—Income deemed to accrue or arise in India—Thirty-five per cent. of profit attributed to permanent establishment—*VOITH PAPER GMBH v. DEPUTY DIT* (Delhi) . . . 589

ACTS:

Income-tax Act, 1961 :

S. 2(22)(e)—Dividend—Deemed dividend—Transactions between assessee and subject companies in nature of trading transactions—Outside the ambit of deemed dividend—*DEPUTY CIT v. FUTURZ NEXT SERVICES P. LTD.* (Delhi) . . . 58

S. 9(1)(i)—Non-resident—Taxability in India—Income deemed to accrue or arise in India—Contracts for supply and service of machinery in connection with setting up of plant—Machinery components imported in India custom-made plant for buyer—Assessee entrusted work of commissioning of plant in view of expertise in field—Supply and service contracts representing a single, composite turnkey works contract—Assessee's activities in India representing real and intimate relationship between activities of assessee done outside India and those done inside India—Business connection in India—Income deemed to accrue or arise in India—Thirty-five per cent. of profit attributed to permanent establishment—*VOITH PAPER GMBH v. DEPUTY DIT* (Delhi) . . . 589

S. 10A—Exemption—Export—Eligible profits—Profits enhanced owing to disallowance of payments for failure to deduct tax at source—Enhanced profits to be considered for deduction—*DEPUTY CIT v. YAHOO SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT P. LTD.* (Bangalore) . . . 528

—Exemption—Export—Expenditure incurred towards data link charges, telecommunication charges and foreign travel expenses attributable to delivery of computer software for providing technical services outside India—Excludible both from export turnover and total turnover—

xxx	ITR'S TRIBUNAL TAX REPORTS	[VOL. 80
		PAGE
	DEPUTY CIT <i>v.</i> YAHOO SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT P. LTD.	
	(Bangalore) . . .	528
	—Exemption—Export—Export proceeds received in India beyond time-limit but within time allowed by Reserve Bank circular—To be considered for deduction—DEPUTY CIT <i>v.</i> YAHOO SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT P. LTD.	528
	(Bangalore) . . .	528
	S. 12AA —Charitable purpose—Registration—Assessee required to provide all details regarding constitution of its members, funds received and utilised towards charitable activities since inception—Assessee not providing any details of activities for period from its establishment till date of application for registration—Commissioner to decide afresh on verification of objects and genuineness of activities in accordance with law in light of documents which assessee is required to submit before him—	
	MARIAM EDUCATION SOCIETY <i>v.</i> CIT (EXEMPTION) (Delhi) . . .	380
	S. 14A —Income—Disallowance of expenditure in relation to earning exempt income—Condition precedent—Actual receipt of exempt income during relevant previous year—Assessee not earning any exempt income during relevant period—No disallowance—DEPUTY CIT <i>v.</i> FUTURZ NEXT SERVICES P. LTD.	58
	(Delhi) . . .	58
	—Income—Disallowance of expenditure in relation to exempt income—Foreign investments yielding dividend income which suffered taxation in India—Section 14A not applicable once income chargeable to tax—Investments in Indian companies not yielding exempt income—Excludible—Assessing Officer to decide on merits—PENTAMEDIA GRAPHICS LTD. <i>v.</i> DEPUTY CIT	555
	(Chennai) . . .	555
	S. 32 —Depreciation—Assessee owning golf course and using for purpose of business as a tool of its business—Plant—Assessee entitled to depreciation—LANDBASE INDIA LTD. <i>v.</i> ASST. CIT (Delhi) . . .	580
	(Delhi) . . .	580
	—Depreciation—Rate of depreciation—Higher rate of depreciation—Digital content—Assessee developing animation software and stored in computer for use in films—Digital content copyrighted material and intangible asset and not computer software—Entitled to depreciation at twenty-five per cent. and not sixty per cent.—PENTAMEDIA GRAPHICS LTD. <i>v.</i> DEPUTY CIT	555
	(Chennai) . . .	555
	—Depreciation—Transfer—Full value of consideration received by assessee before executing sale deed—Seller agreeing to pay and discharge outstanding dues and liabilities in respect of share in premises—Not that assessee not transferred property during relevant period—Excess depreciation—Written down value of block of assets should be reduced by sum received not stamp value—Excess depreciation not allowable—DEPUTY CIT <i>v.</i> FUTURZ NEXT SERVICES P. LTD.	58
	(Delhi) . . .	58

2020]	GENERAL INDEX	xxxii
		PAGE
	S. 37 —Business expenditure—Bogus purchases—Source of purchase not outside books of account and corresponding sales not disputed—No rejection of books of account—Suppression of gross profit on purchases—Profit element of such amount should be added as income— BELMARKS METAL WORKS v. ITO (Delhi) . . .	699
	S. 37(1) —Business expenditure—Disallowance—Corporate social responsibility expenses—All payments forming part of corporate social responsibility expenses do not form part of profit and loss account for computing business income—Deductions allowed to corporate social responsibility projects under sections 30 to 36, 80G(1) and (2)—Assessee cannot be denied benefit of claim under Chapter VI-A—Matter remanded— FIRST AMERICAN (INDIA) PVT. LTD. v. ASST. CIT (Bangalore) . . .	538
	—Donations—Special deduction—Corporate social responsibility expenses—Some payments forming part of expenses deductible for computing total taxable income—Assessing Officer to verify nature of payments qualifying exemption— FIRST AMERICAN (INDIA) PVT. LTD. v. ASST. CIT (Bangalore) . . .	538
	S. 40(a)(ia) —Exemption—Export—Eligible profits—Profits enhanced owing to disallowance of payments for failure to deduct tax at source—Enhanced profits to be considered for deduction— DEPUTY CIT v. YAHOO SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT P. LTD. (Bangalore) . . .	528
	S. 50C —Capital gains—Long-term capital gains—Full value of consideration—Provision deeming value “assessable” by stamp valuation authority as full value of consideration—“Assessable” introduced with effect from 1-10-2009 prospective in nature—Assessee selling property by agreement to sell dated 1-4-2009—Deemed sale consideration as per stamp valuation authorities could not be invoked for determining long-term capital gains arising from sale of property— SMT. ALKA JAIN v. ASST. CIT (Delhi) . . .	464
	S. 54 —Capital gains—Exemption—Long-term capital gains—Sale of residential property and acquisition of another property from sale proceeds—New property acquired in son’s name—Payment by assessee for purchase of new property within stipulated time as prescribed—Exemption not to be denied on ground no return filed by assessee— BHAGWAN SWROOP PATHAK v. ITO (Delhi) . . .	89
	—Capital gains—Exemption—Sale of capital asset and investment in residential house—As on date of transfer of original asset assessee not owning more than one residential house—Capital gains duly deposited in capital gains savings account—Completion of construction within two years from date of sale of original asset—Assessee entitled to exemption— SUNIL MALHOTRA v. ASST. CIT (Delhi) . . .	372

	PAGE
S. 54F —Capital gains—Exemption—Sale of capital asset and investment in residential house—As on date of transfer of original asset assessee not owning more than one residential house—Capital gains duly deposited in capital gains savings account—Completion of construction within two years from date of sale of original asset—Assessee entitled to exemption— SUNIL MALHOTRA v. ASST. CIT (Delhi) . . .	372
—Capital gains—Exemption—Sale of residential house and purchase or construction of house for residence within stipulated time—Failure to deposit unutilised portion of consideration in capital gains scheme—Procedural requirement—Benefit not to be denied if mandatory requirement fully complied with within time-limit prescribed—Assessing Officer to allow total investments if utilised for construction of house within time-limit— KASI VISWANATHAN RAMANATHAN v. ITO (Chennai) . . .	461
—Capital gains—Long-term capital gains—Sale of capital asset and investment in residential house—Residential house purchased within two years from the date of transfer of original asset—Assessee entitled to exemption— RAJIV MADHOK v. ASST. CIT (Delhi) . . .	427
S. 60 to 64 —Income—Inclusions—Sale consideration received for sale of shares—Taxable— K. SRIKANTH v. ASST. CIT (Chennai) . . .	272
S. 68 —Cash credits—Unexplained cash credits—Long-term capital gains from sale of shares—Additions based on detailed enquiries by Investigation Wing and Securities and Exchange Board of India—Scrip a penny stock, purchased at a low price—Off market purchases, back dated and not reported on exchange—Purchases in physical form, and dematerialised only subsequently—Investee a penny stock company with no credentials sale rates artificially hiked, with no real buyer—Additions justified— BHAGWATIBEN VINODKUMAR SURANI v. ITO (Ahmedabad) . . .	341
S. 69 —Search and seizure—Income from undisclosed sources—Burden of proof—Department must establish unrecorded transaction or investment whose nature and source not explained—Real estate business—Property transaction—Assessee duly explaining nature and source of transaction and declaring it in its return—Not income from undisclosed sources but income from business— SATYA NARAYAN CHOUDHARY v. ASST. CIT (Jodhpur) . . .	95
—Search and seizure—Unexplained investment—Condition precedent—Statement retracted while filing return on account of fact that no such investment made—No evidence that amount spent more than amount declared in construction activities before Assessing Officer—Addition not established with corroborating evidence of investment by assessee in construction activities—No incriminating material for warranting addition— SATYA NARAYAN CHOUDHARY v. ASST. CIT (Jodhpur) . . .	95

2020]	GENERAL INDEX	xxxiii
		PAGE
S. 69A —Search and seizure—Income from undisclosed sources—Burden of proof—Department must establish unrecorded transaction or investment whose nature and source not explained—Real estate business—Property transaction—Assessee duly explaining nature and source of transaction and declaring it in its return—Not income from undisclosed sources but income from business— SATYA NARAYAN CHOUDHARY v. ASST. CIT (Jodhpur) . . .		95
—Search and seizure—Unexplained investment—Condition precedent—Statement retracted while filing return on account of fact that no such investment made—No evidence that amount spent more than amount declared in construction activities before Assessing Officer—Addition not established with corroborating evidence of investment by assessee in construction activities—No incriminating material for warranting addition— SATYA NARAYAN CHOUDHARY v. ASST. CIT (Jodhpur) . . .		95
S. 80G —Business expenditure—Disallowance—Corporate social responsibility expenses—All payments forming part of corporate social responsibility expenses do not form part of profit and loss account for computing business income—Deductions allowed to corporate social responsibility projects under sections 30 to 36, 80G(1) and (2)—Assessee cannot be denied benefit of claim under Chapter VI-A—Matter remanded— FIRST AMERICAN (INDIA) PVT. LTD. v. ASST. CIT (Bangalore) . . .		538
—Donations—Special deduction—Corporate social responsibility expenses—Some payments forming part of expenses deductible for computing total taxable income—Assessing Officer to verify nature of payments qualifying exemption— FIRST AMERICAN (INDIA) PVT. LTD. v. ASST. CIT (Bangalore) . . .		538
S. 115JB —Company—Book profits—Assessee not showing additional revenue in its books—Assessing Officer cannot tinker with book profit— DEPUTY CIT v. YAHOO SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT P. LTD. (Bangalore) . . .		528
S. 144 —Income from undisclosed sources—Assessment—Ex parte assessment—Assessee not interested in pursuing appeal and failing to explain assessment before Assessing Officer and Commissioner (Appeals)—No new facts or circumstances placed on record and addition made unrebutted—Addition justified— AJAY BALDEVBHAI PATEL v. ITO (Ahmedabad) . . .		367
S. 147 —Reassessment—Notice—Assessing Officer not signing notice or mentioning assessment year—Notice illegal—Reassessment proceedings not valid— DEPUTY CIT v. TAUREG PROPERTIES AND SECURITY SERVICES LTD. (Delhi) . . .		386

—Reassessment—Notice within four years—No scrutiny assessment—Non-compete fee received utilised to repay bank loan availed of by company of which assessee director and guarantor—Assessee claiming a huge exemption of income by making incomplete, untrue and wrong claim—Primary facts not completely, correctly and truly disclosed in return—Reassessment valid—K. SRIKANTH <i>v.</i> ASST. CIT (Chennai) . . .	272
—Reassessment—Validity—Categorical information containing assessee's name with details of sellers later found to be hawala operators providing accommodation bogus bills—Assessing Officer after receiving information independently applying his mind and recording reasons why purchases not genuine—Reassessment valid—BELMARKS METAL WORKS <i>v.</i> ITO (Delhi) . . .	699
S. 148 —Reassessment—Notice—Assessing Officer not signing notice or mentioning assessment year—Notice illegal—Reassessment proceedings not valid—DEPUTY CIT <i>v.</i> TAUREG PROPERTIES AND SECURITY SERVICES LTD. (Delhi) . . .	386
—Reassessment—Notice within four years—No scrutiny assessment—Non-compete fee received utilised to repay bank loan availed of by company of which assessee director and guarantor—Assessee claiming a huge exemption of income by making incomplete, untrue and wrong claim—Primary facts not completely, correctly and truly disclosed in return—Reassessment valid—K. SRIKANTH <i>v.</i> ASST. CIT (Chennai) . . .	272
—Reassessment—Validity—Categorical information containing assessee's name with details of sellers later found to be hawala operators providing accommodation bogus bills—Assessing Officer after receiving information independently applying his mind and recording reasons why purchases not genuine—Reassessment valid—BELMARKS METAL WORKS <i>v.</i> ITO (Delhi) . . .	699
S. 153A —Search and seizure—Assessment in search cases—Regular assessment framed prior to search and seizure operation—No incriminating material found during search or survey—Assessing Officer considering statement of third parties as incriminating material for making addition—No opportunity to cross-examine those parties provided to assessee—Addition unsustainable—SANJAY SINGHAL <i>v.</i> DEPUTY CIT (Chandigarh) . . .	117
S. 234B —Advance tax—Interest—Failure by payer to deduct tax at source—Interest cannot be imposed on assessee—GENERAL MOTORS OVERSEAS CORPORATION <i>v.</i> ASST. CIT (INTERNATIONAL TAXATION) (Mumbai) . . .	478

2020]	GENERAL INDEX	XXXV
		PAGE
	—Advance tax—Interest—Non-resident—Assessee accepting existence of permanent establishment—Assessee not entitled to contend that it was responsibility of deductor to deduct tax at source—Assessee liable for interest— <i>VOITH PAPER GMBH v. DEPUTY DIT</i> (Delhi) . . .	589
	S. 251(2) —Appeal to Commissioner (Appeals)—Enhancement of income—Remand by Tribunal—Inspector of Income-tax not competent to issue enhancement notice—Failure by Commissioner (Appeals) to issue fresh notice for enhancement—Order enhancing income not sustainable— <i>GREEN VALLEY INFRACITY P. LTD. v. ITO</i> (Delhi) . . .	388
	S. 263 —Revision—Erroneous and prejudicial to Revenue—General principles—Arbitration award—Interest on arbitration award reduced while computing taxable income—Assessee consistently offering interest income on arbitration awards to tax only in year of actual receipt—Recognition of revenue accepted by Department in earlier years—Assessing Officer taking possible view after proper application of mind—Assessment order not erroneous or prejudicial to interests of Revenue—Revision not sustainable in eyes of law— <i>AFCONS INFRASTRUCTURE LIMITED v. PRINCIPAL CIT</i> (Mumbai) . . .	410
	S. 271(1)(c) —Penalty—Concealment of income—Furnishing inaccurate particulars of income—Addition on basis of which penalty levied finally deleted by appellate authorities—Nothing surviving to levy penalty— <i>DEPUTY CIT v. GALDERMA INDIA PVT. LTD.</i> (Mumbai) . . .	452
	—Penalty—Concealment of income—Furnishing inaccurate particulars of income—Assessee taking loan against property and paying interest and capitalising expenditure up to date of acquisition but inadvertently claiming it in return as interest—Penalty not leviable— <i>UMG PROPERTIES P. LTD. v. ASST. CIT</i> (Delhi) . . .	448
	—Penalty—Concealment of income—Furnishing inaccurate particulars of income—Gratuity expenses—Assessing Officer getting information about disallowance and provision for gratuity from tax audit report—Exhibition expenses—Genuineness of expenses not doubted—Not a case of furnishing inaccurate particulars of income—Penalty not leviable— <i>ARROW DIGITAL P. LTD. v. DEPUTY CIT</i> (Ahmedabad) . . .	360
	—Penalty—Concealment of income—Furnishing inaccurate particulars of income—Whether proceedings for concealment of income or furnishing inaccurate particulars uncertain both at time of initiation of penalty proceedings and at time of passing penalty proceedings—Assessing Officer not specifying how assessee found guilty of both concealment of income as well as furnishing inaccurate particulars of income—Penalty not valid— <i>LAXMAN NAINANI v. DEPUTY CIT</i> (Jaipur) . . .	1
	—Penalty—Whether for concealment or for furnishing of inaccurate particulars of income—Failure by Assessing Officer to state specific charge	

	PAGE
of penalty—Not sufficient to levy penalty—Penalty deleted— HINDON FORGE P. LTD. v. DEPUTY CIT (Delhi) . . .	545
S. 271AAB —Penalty—Penalty in search cases—Undisclosed income—Penalty not mandatory and depends upon specific facts and circumstances of each case—Assessing Officer to record clear and specific finding to this effect and cannot be solely guided by surrender made by assessee during course of search—No finding in penalty order that undisclosed income found and surrendered during course of search falling under definition of “undisclosed income”— LAXMAN NAINANI v. DEPUTY CIT (Jaipur) . . .	1
Appex. I, Part A, entry III(5) —Depreciation—Rate of depreciation—Higher rate of depreciation—Digital content—Assessee developing animation software and stored in computer for use in films—Digital content copyrighted material and intangible asset and not computer software—Entitled to depreciation at twenty-five per cent. and not sixty per cent.— PENTAMEDIA GRAPHICS LTD. v. DEPUTY CIT (Chennai) . . .	555
RULES :	
Income-tax Rules, 1962 :	
R. 8D —Income—Disallowance of expenditure in relation to earning exempt income—Condition precedent—Actual receipt of exempt income during relevant previous year—Assessee not earning any exempt income during relevant period—No disallowance— DEPUTY CIT v. FUTURZ NEXT SERVICES P. LTD. (Delhi) . . .	58
R. 8D(2)(iii) —Income—Disallowance of expenditure in relation to exempt income—Foreign investments yielding dividend income which suffered taxation in India—Section 14A not applicable once income chargeable to tax—Investments in Indian companies not yielding exempt income—Excludible—Assessing Officer to decide on merits— PENTAMEDIA GRAPHICS LTD. v. DEPUTY CIT (Chennai) . . .	555

End of Volume 80