

THE INCOME TAX REPORTS

THE DIRECT TAX LAW WEEKLY

FOUNDED IN 1933

BY

A. N. AIYAR

[English Cases which appear are reprinted from The Law Reports and The Weekly Law Reports by special permission of the Incorporated Council of Law Reporting for England and Wales, London, U. K.]

EDITORS :

LAKSHMI R. SUNDARESAN, M.A., B.A.L.

T. A. RAMAKRISHNAN, B.Sc., B.L.
Advocate, High Court, Madras.

AMBUJAM VENKATARAMAN, M. A., LL.B.
Advocate, High Court, Madras.

T. N. CHANDRASHEKAR, B.Sc., B.L., M.B.A.
Advocate, High Court, Madras.

MODE OF CITATION

Statutes : [2020] 425 ITR (St.)

Reports : [2020] 425 ITR

VOLUME 425

2020

COMPANY LAW INSTITUTE OF INDIA PRIVATE LTD.,
2, VAITHYARAM STREET,
CHENNAI-600 017.

© Company Law Institute of India Pvt. Ltd.

Reporters :

<i>Supreme Court</i>	... Mrs. Rajani Krishnaprasad, Advocate
<i>Allahabad High Court</i>	... Praveen Kumar Misra, Advocate
<i>(Lucknow Bench)</i>	... D. D. Chopra, Advocate
<i>Andhra Pradesh High Court</i>	... S. Dwarakanath, Advocate
<i>Bombay High Court</i>	... Dr. Birendra Saraf, Advocate
<i>Bombay High Court</i>	
<i>(Nagpur Bench)</i>	... C. J. Thakar & N. J. Thakar, Advocates
<i>(Panaji Bench : Goa)</i>	... Mrs. Shanta G. Amonkar, Advocate
<i>Calcutta High Court</i>	... Dilip Roy Chowdhury, Advocate
<i>Chhattisgarh High Court</i>	... Neelabh Dubey, Advocate
<i>Delhi High Court</i>	... Mrs. Rajani Krishnaprasad, Advocate
<i>Gauhati High Court</i>	... Dr. Ashok K. Saraf, Advocate
<i>Gujarat High Court</i>	... Manish K. Kaji, Advocate
<i>Himachal Pradesh High Court</i>	... Kapil Dev Sood & Sanjeev Sood, Advocates
<i>Jammu & Kashmir High Court</i>	...
<i>Jharkhand High Court</i>	... K. K. Jhunjunwala, Advocate
<i>Karnataka High Court</i>	... K. V. Siva Prasad, Advocate
<i>Kerala High Court</i>	... Ajay V. Anand, Advocate
<i>Madhya Pradesh High Court</i>	... Sumit Nema, Senior Advocate
<i>Madhya Pradesh High Court</i>	
<i>(Gwalior Bench)</i>	... D. D. Bansal, Advocate
<i>(Indore Bench)</i>	... Mohammed Altaf Khan, Advocate
<i>Madras High Court</i>	... Ramasamy, K., Advocate
<i>Orissa High Court</i>	... Siddhartha Ray, Advocate
<i>Patna High Court</i>	... Sushanta Kumar Das, Advocate
<i>Punjab & Haryana High Court</i>	... Dr. N. L. Sharda, Advocate
<i>Rajasthan High Court</i>	... Lekh Raj Mehta, Advocate
<i>Rajasthan High Court</i>	
<i>(Jaipur Bench)</i>	... Narendra Kumar Baid, Advocate
<i>Sikkim High Court</i>	... N. K. P. Saraf, Advocate
<i>Uttarakhand High Court</i>	... Surendra Kumar Posti, Advocate

CONTENTS

	PAGES
1. TABLE OF CASES REPORTED . . .	i — iv
2. TABLE OF CASES CITED . . .	v — xviii
3. INDEX TO JOURNAL SECTION . . .	xix
4. INDEX TO STATUTES, RULES, CIRCULARS AND NOTIFICATIONS . . .	xix — xx
5. ERRATA . . .	iv
6. JOURNAL SECTION . . .	1 — 32
7. STATUTES, RULES, CIRCULARS AND NOTIFICATIONS . . .	1 — 32
8. REPORTS OF CASES . . .	1 — 704
9. SUBJECT INDEX . . .	i — xxv
10. SECTIONWISE INDEX . . .	xxvi — xlviii



Disclaimer : While every effort has been made to ensure that this journal/book is free from errors or omissions, the authors, editors, publishers, printers, the company and/or its directors and other officers, shall not be liable in any manner whatsoever for any action taken or omitted to be taken, opinions expressed, advice rendered or accepted based on any materials or information published in this journal/book.

Views expressed by the editors in the notes and comments and by authors in the articles published in the Journal section are exclusively their own.

Published by S. Ayyappan on behalf of Company Law Institute of India Pvt. Ltd.,
No. 2 (old No. 36), Vaithyaram Street, T. Nagar, Chennai-600 017
and printed by him at Company Law Institute Press, No. 2 (old No. 36),
Vaithyaram Street, T. Nagar, Chennai-600 017.

THE
INCOME TAX REPORTS
VOLUME 425 — 2020

TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

		PAGE
Afonso Real Estate Developers <i>v.</i> CIT	(Bom)	153
Anand Developers <i>v.</i> Asst. CIT	(Bom)	261
Anila Rasiklal Mehta <i>v.</i> Union of India	(Bom)	545
Arun Munshaw HUF <i>v.</i> ITO	(Guj)	79
Asian Tubes Pvt. Ltd. <i>v.</i> Deputy CIT	(Guj)	613
B. Nanji and Co. <i>v.</i> Deputy CIT	(Guj)	286
Beaver Estates Pvt. Ltd. <i>v.</i> Asst. CIT	(Ker)	99
Board of Control for Cricket in Sri Lanka <i>v.</i> DIT (International Taxation)	(SC)	312
Braganza Construction Pvt. Ltd. <i>v.</i> Asst. CIT	(Bom)	115
City Union Bank Limited <i>v.</i> Asst. CIT	(Mad)	475
CIT <i>v.</i> A. R. Builders and Developers P. Ltd.	(Mad)	272
CIT (LTU) <i>v.</i> ABB Ltd.	(Karn)	677
CIT (Exemptions) <i>v.</i> Addor Foundation	(Guj)	516
CIT (Principal) <i>v.</i> Alag Securities Pvt. Ltd.	(Bom)	658
CIT (Principal) <i>v.</i> Anthony John Pereira	(Bom)	134
CIT <i>v.</i> Banjara Developers and Constructions Pvt. Ltd.	(Karn)	673
CIT, LTU <i>v.</i> Bosch Limited	(Karn)	667
CIT <i>v.</i> GMR Industries Ltd.	(Karn)	504

ii	INCOME TAX REPORTS	[VOL. 425
		PAGE
CIT (Principal) <i>v.</i> Ghanshyam Dungarbhai Sutaria	(Guj)	601
CIT (Principal) <i>v.</i> ITAT	(Bom)	581
CIT <i>v.</i> ITSC	(Mad)	568
CIT (Principal) <i>v.</i> Kohinoor Project Pvt. Ltd.	(Bom)	700
CIT (Principal) <i>v.</i> Mohan Bhagwatprasad Agrawal	(Guj)	119
CIT (Exemptions) <i>v.</i> Mumbai Metropolitan Region Development Authority	(Bom)	166
CIT (Principal) <i>v.</i> National Stock Exchange	(Bom)	588
CIT (Principal) <i>v.</i> Shankarlal Nebhumal Uttam- chandani	(Guj)	235
CIT (International Taxation) <i>v.</i> Taj TV Ltd.	(Bom)	141
Devendra Kumar Singh <i>v.</i> Asst. CIT	(Delhi)	222
DIT (Exemptions) <i>v.</i> St. Ann's Education Society	(Karn)	642
EID Parry (India) Limited <i>v.</i> Asst. CIT	(Mad)	508
Ericsson India Private Limited <i>v.</i> Addl. CIT	(Delhi)	186
FCI OEN Connectors Ltd. <i>v.</i> Deputy CIT	(Ker)	128
International Flavours and Fragrances India Private Limited <i>v.</i> Deputy CIT (LTU)	(Mad)	450
ISPAT Projects Ltd. <i>v.</i> CIT	(Cal)	459
Kakaria Housing and Infrastructure Ltd. <i>v.</i> Deputy CIT	(Guj)	103
Kasi Viswanathan (B.) <i>v.</i> ITO	(Mad)	538
KBR Infratech Ltd. <i>v.</i> Asst. CIT	(Karn)	268
Kemfin Services Pvt. Ltd. <i>v.</i> Asst. CIT	(Karn)	684
Kerala Kaumudi Pvt. Ltd. <i>v.</i> CIT	(Ker)	202

2020]	TABLE OF CASES REPORTED	iii
		PAGE
Kumar Nirman and Nivesh Pvt. Ltd. <i>v.</i> Asst. CIT	(Karn)	486
Marble Centre International P. Ltd. <i>v.</i> Asst. CIT	(Karn)	654
Marico Ltd. <i>v.</i> Asst. CIT	(Bom)	177
Nagaraj (K. M.) <i>v.</i> Deputy CIT	(Karn)	533
Nagaraj and Company Pvt. Ltd. <i>v.</i> Asst. CIT	(Mad)	412
Niranjan Chimanlal Jani <i>v.</i> Deputy CIT	(Guj)	162
Paiva Manufacturing Co. <i>v.</i> ITO	(Ker)	640
Pankajbhai Jaysukhlal Shah <i>v.</i> Asst. CIT	(Guj)	70
PILCOM <i>v.</i> CIT	(SC)	312
Rajesh T. Shah <i>v.</i> TRO	(Bom)	443
Ramnath and Co. <i>v.</i> CIT	(SC)	337
Royal Infrastructure <i>v.</i> Deputy CIT	(Guj)	491
RPG Marble Pvt. Ltd. <i>v.</i> Asst. CIT	(Karn)	654
Shiv Raj Gupta <i>v.</i> CIT	(SC)	420
Shriram Capital Limited (No. 1) <i>v.</i> DIT (International Taxation)	(Mad)	207
Shriram Capital Limited (No. 2) <i>v.</i> DIT (International Taxation)	(Mad)	628
Stephen (J.) <i>v.</i> ITO	(Mad)	561
System India Castings <i>v.</i> Principal CIT	(Chhattisgarh)	158
Tamil Nadu Leather Tanners Exporters Importers Association <i>v.</i> Deputy DIT (Exemptions)	(Mad)	63
Tata Communications Limited <i>v.</i> Deputy CIT	(Bom)	279
Union of India <i>v.</i> Exide Industries Limited	(SC)	1
Union of India <i>v.</i> U. A. E. Exchange Centre	(SC)	30

iv	INCOME TAX REPORTS	[VOL. 425
		PAGE
Vardhman Holdings Ltd. <i>v.</i> CIT	(P&H)	253
Vodafone Idea Limited <i>v.</i> Asst. CIT	(Bom)	691
Yokogawa India Ltd. <i>v.</i> Deputy CIT (LTU)	(Karn)	648

ERRATA IN VOLUME 424 ITR

In the Reports section,—
at pages 272 and 273,
for “Deputy CIT *v.* Cholamandalam MS General Insurance Co. Ltd.
[2012] 12 ITR (Trib)-OL 540 (Chennai)”
read “Deputy CIT *v.* Cholamandalam MS General Insurance Co. Ltd.
[2018] 12 ITR (Trib)-OL 540 (Chennai)”.

TABLE OF CASES CITED

	PAGE
Abhiram Singh <i>v.</i> C. D. Commachen [2017] 2 SCC 629	337
ACG Associated Capsules Pvt. Ltd. <i>v.</i> CIT [2012] 343 ITR 89 (SC)	253
Adani Exports <i>v.</i> Deputy CIT (Assessments) [1999] 240 ITR 224 (Guj)	103
Adani Infrastructure and Developers (P.) Ltd. <i>v.</i> Asst. CIT [2019] 101 taxmann.com 256 (Guj)	103
Aditya Medisales Ltd. <i>v.</i> Deputy CIT [2016] 242 Taxman 228 (Guj) <i>followed</i>	684
Ajmera Housing Corporation <i>v.</i> CIT [2010] 326 ITR 642 (SC)	235
Akshar Developers <i>v.</i> ITSC [2019] 13 ITR-OL 453 (Guj)	568
AMD Metplast Pvt. Ltd. <i>v.</i> Deputy CIT [2012] 341 ITR 563 (Delhi)	613
Anant Mills Co. Ltd. <i>v.</i> State of Gujarat [1975] 2 SCC 175 <i>relied on</i>	1
Anchor Pressings (P.) Ltd. <i>v.</i> CIT [1986] 161 ITR 159 (SC)	412
Andaleeb Sehgal <i>v.</i> Union of India [2011] AIR 2011 Delhi 29 [FB]	516
Anglo-French Textile Co. Ltd. <i>v.</i> CIT [1953] 23 ITR 101 (SC)	30
Apollo Tyres Ltd. <i>v.</i> CIT [2002] 255 ITR 273 (SC)	648
Appa Rao (K.) <i>v.</i> CIT [1962] 46 ITR 511 (Mad)	286
Arabian Express Line Ltd. of United Kingdom <i>v.</i> Union of India [1995] 212 ITR 31 (Guj)	30
Aroni Commercials Ltd. <i>v.</i> Asst. CIT [2014] 367 ITR 405 (Bom)	177
Asianet Star Communications Private Limited <i>v.</i> Asst. CIT [2020] 422 ITR 47 (Mad)	538
Assam Company Ltd. <i>v.</i> State of Assam [2001] 248 ITR 567 (SC)	581
Atherton <i>v.</i> British Insulated and Helsby Cables Ltd. [1925] 10 TC 155	286
Auto and Metal Engineers <i>v.</i> Union of India [1998] 229 ITR 399 (SC)	222
Badridas Daga <i>v.</i> CIT [1958] 34 ITR 10 (SC)	677
Bajaj Tempo Ltd. <i>v.</i> CIT [1992] 196 ITR 188 (SC)	337
Bhagwad Swarup Shri Shri Devraha Baba Memorial Shri Hari Parmarth Dham Trust <i>v.</i> CIT [2008] 299 ITR (AT) 161 (Delhi) [SB]	516
Bhanumati <i>v.</i> State of Uttar Pradesh [2010] 12 SCC 1	1
Bharat Co-op. Bank (Mumbai) Ltd. <i>v.</i> Employees Union [2007] 4 SCC 685	207, 628
Bharat Earth Movers <i>v.</i> CIT [2000] 245 ITR 428 (SC)	1
Bharathi (V. K.) <i>v.</i> CIT [2019] 102 taxmann.com 55 (Karn)	272

vi	INCOME TAX REPORTS	[VOL. 425
		PAGE
Bhavnagar University <i>v.</i> Palitana Sugar Mill (P.) Ltd. [2003] 2 SCC 111		516
Biswanath Ghosh <i>v.</i> Gobinda Ghosh [2014] 11 SCC 605 <i>relied on</i>		420
Bombay Steam Navigation Co. (1953) Pvt. Ltd. <i>v.</i> CIT [1965] 56 ITR 52 (SC)		286
Bombay Stock Exchange Ltd. <i>v.</i> Deputy DIT (Exemption) [2014] 365 ITR 181 (Bom)		261
Bright Enterprises Pvt. Ltd. <i>v.</i> CIT [2016] 381 ITR 107 (P&H)		286
Brooke Bond India Ltd. <i>v.</i> CIT [1997] 225 ITR 798 (SC)		504
Calcutta Discount Co. Ltd. <i>v.</i> ITO [1961] 41 ITR 191 (SC)	79, 261, 538	
Cape Brandy Syndicate <i>v.</i> Inland Revenue Commissioners [1921] 1 KB 64 (CA) <i>relied on</i>		337
Cape Brandy Syndicate <i>v.</i> IRC [1921] 1 KB 64		235
CBDT <i>v.</i> Oberoi Hotels (India) (P.) Ltd. [1998] 231 ITR 148 (SC)		337
Cheminvest Ltd. <i>v.</i> CIT [2015] 378 ITR 33 (Delhi) <i>followed</i>		700
Chet Ram Vashist <i>v.</i> Municipal Corporation of Delhi [1981] AIR 1981 SC 653		516
Chhail Behari Lal <i>v.</i> CIT [1960] 39 ITR 696 (All)		286
Chief Constable of North Wales Police <i>v.</i> Evans [1982] 1 W. L. R. 1155		235
Chief Inspector of Mines <i>v.</i> Karam Chand Thapar [1961] AIR 1961 SC 838		516
Chinai and Co. Pvt. Ltd. <i>v.</i> CIT [1994] 206 ITR 616 (Bom)		286
Cliantha Research Ltd. <i>v.</i> Deputy CIT [2013] 35 taxmann.com 61 (Guj)		103
Cliantha Research Ltd. <i>v.</i> Deputy CIT [2014] 225 Taxman 102 (Guj) (Mag.) <i>applied</i>		491
Clifford Chance <i>v.</i> Deputy CIT [2009] 318 ITR 237 (Bom)		207
Coca Cola India Inc. <i>v.</i> Addl. CIT [2011] 336 ITR 1 (SC)		475
Collector of Central Excise <i>v.</i> Parle Exports (P.) Ltd. [1990] 183 ITR 624 (SC) ; [1989] 75 STC 105 (SC)		337
Commissioner (Joint) <i>v.</i> Kalanithi Maran [2014] 366 ITR 453 (Mad)		538
Commissioner of Central Excise <i>v.</i> Hari Chand Shri Gopal [2011] 6 GSTR 369 (SC)		337
Commissioner of Customs <i>v.</i> Dilip Kumar and Co. [2018] 6 GSTR-OL 46 (SC) <i>applied</i>		337
Commissioner of Income-tax <i>v.</i> Abhinandan Investment Ltd. [2016] 6 ITR-OL 139 (Delhi)		684
CIT <i>v.</i> Ajanta Electricals [1995] 215 ITR 114 (SC)		516
CIT <i>v.</i> Amba Impex [2006] 282 ITR 144 (Guj)		459
CIT <i>v.</i> Amber Exports (India) [2010] 326 ITR 455 (Bom)		459

2020]	TABLE OF CASES CITED	vii
		PAGE
	CIT <i>v.</i> Amritaben R. Shah [1999] 238 ITR 777 (Bom)	286
	CIT <i>v.</i> Baby Marine Exports [2007] 290 ITR 323 (SC) <i>disapproved</i>	337
	CIT <i>v.</i> Bagmane Developers Pvt. Ltd. [2017] 392 ITR 379 (Karn)	684
	CIT (Deputy) <i>v.</i> Beardsell Ltd. [2000] 244 ITR 256 (Mad)	508
	CIT <i>v.</i> Bharat Hotels Ltd. [2019] 410 ITR 417 (Delhi)	119
	CIT <i>v.</i> Bhupen Champak Lal Dalal [2001] 248 ITR 830 (SC) <i>applied</i>	99
	CIT <i>v.</i> Bilahari Investments Pvt. Ltd. [2008] 299 ITR 1 (SC) <i>applied</i>	673
	CIT <i>v.</i> Birla Cotton Spg. and Wvg. Mills Ltd. [1971] 82 ITR 166 (SC)	286, 420
	CIT <i>v.</i> Builders Association of India (I. T. A. No. 92 of 2016, dated 4-5-2018)	166
	CIT <i>v.</i> Career Launcher India Ltd. [2013] 358 ITR 179 (Delhi)	613
	CIT (Pr.) <i>v.</i> Chain House International (P.) Ltd. [2018] 408 ITR 561 (MP)	486
	CIT (Pr.) <i>v.</i> Chain House International (P.) Ltd. [2019] 412 ITR (St.) 40	486
	CIT <i>v.</i> Chakiat Agencies (P.) Ltd. [2009] 314 ITR 200 (Mad)	337
	CIT <i>v.</i> Chandulal Keshavlal and Co. [1960] 38 ITR 601 (SC)	286
	CIT <i>v.</i> Convertech Equipments (P.) Ltd. [2013] 36 taxmann.com 314 (Delhi)	613
	CIT (Deputy) <i>v.</i> Core Health Care Ltd. [2008] 298 ITR 194 (SC)	504
	CIT <i>v.</i> Dalmia Cement (B.) Ltd. [2002] 254 ITR 377 (Delhi)	286, 420
	CIT <i>v.</i> Daulat Ram Rawatmull [1973] 87 ITR 349 (SC)	486
	CIT <i>v.</i> Davy Ashmore India Ltd. [1991] 190 ITR 626 (Cal)	30
	CIT <i>v.</i> Dhanuka and Sons [1980] 124 ITR 24 (Cal)	684
	CIT <i>v.</i> Dwaraka Chit Funds Pvt. Ltd. [1995] 216 ITR 115 (Mad)	286
	CIT <i>v.</i> Echjay Forgings (P.) Ltd. [2001] 251 ITR 15 (Bom)	508
	CIT <i>v.</i> Eicher Ltd. [2007] 294 ITR 310 (Delhi)	613
	CIT <i>v.</i> Eli Lilly and Co. (India) Pvt. Ltd. [2009] 312 ITR 225 (SC)	312
	CIT <i>v.</i> Essorpe Holdings (P.) Ltd. [2017] 83 taxmann.com 280 (Mad)	684
	CIT <i>v.</i> Ethurajan (M.) [2005] 273 ITR 95 (Mad)	286
	CIT <i>v.</i> Express Securities Pvt. Ltd. [2014] 364 ITR 488 (Delhi) <i>followed</i>	684
	CIT <i>v.</i> Faizan Shoes (P.) Ltd. [2014] 367 ITR 155 (Mad)	207
	CIT <i>v.</i> Fida Husain G. Abbasi (Dr.) [1969] 71 ITR 314 (MP)	286
	CIT <i>v.</i> Ganapathy (P. R.) [2012] 26 taxmann.com 354 (SC)	486
	CIT <i>v.</i> Godavaridevi Saraf (Smt.) [1978] 113 ITR 589 (Bom)	279
	CIT <i>v.</i> Gopal Chand Patnaik [1978] 111 ITR 86 (Orissa)	286
	CIT <i>v.</i> Gopal Gupta [2014] 364 ITR 446 (Delhi)	568
	CIT <i>v.</i> Harprasad and Co. Pvt. Ltd. [1975] 99 ITR 118 (SC)	648

viii	INCOME TAX REPORTS	[VOL. 425
		PAGE
CIT (Asst.) v. Hotel Blue Moon [2010] 321 ITR 362 (SC)		222
CIT v. Ilpea Paramount P. Ltd. [2011] 336 ITR 54 (Delhi)		508
CIT v. Inchcape India (P.) Ltd. [2005] 273 ITR 92 (Delhi)		337
CIT v. India Pepper and Spice Trade Association [1978] 111 ITR 206 (Ker)		63
CIT v. Indus Ind Bank Ltd. [2019] 415 ITR 115 (Bom)		207
CIT v. ITSC [2017] 244 Taxman 156 (Guj)		235
CIT v. ITSC [2017] 390 ITR 306 (Guj)		235
CIT (Pr.) v. ITSC [2018] 409 ITR 495 (Guj)		235
CIT (Pr.) v. ITSC [2020] 420 ITR 149 (Guj)		235
CIT (Pr.) v. JIS Foundation [2018] 89 taxmann.com 226 (Cal)		166
CIT (Pr.) v. JIS Foundation [2018] 96 taxmann.com 611 (SC)		166
CIT v. Jannhavi Investments (P.) Ltd. [2008] 304 ITR 276 (Bom) <i>followed</i>		684
CIT v. Jaykrishna Harivallabhdas [1998] 231 ITR 108 (Guj)		677
CIT v. Karimangalam Onriya Pengal Semipu Amaipu Ltd. [2013] 354 ITR 483 (Mad)		516
CIT v. Karnataka Soaps and Detergents Ltd. (I. T. A. No. 257 of 2007, dated 13-10-2014)		504
CIT v. Karnataka Soaps and Detergents Ltd. [2015] 64 taxmann.com 378 (SC)		504
CIT v. Kelvinator of India Ltd. [2010] 320 ITR 561 (SC)	79, 177, 475, 538,	613
do. v. do.	<i>followed</i>	450
CIT v. Khaitan Chemicals and Fertilizers Ltd. [2008] 307 ITR 150 (Delhi)		504
CIT v. Khursheed Anwar [2009] 311 ITR 468 (Mad) <i>approved</i>		337
CIT v. Kohinoor Paper Products [1997] 226 ITR 220 (MP) <i>distinguished</i>		202
CIT v. Lakhani Marketing Incl. [2015] 4 ITR-OL 246 (P&H)		700
CIT v. Lakshmi Vilas Bank [2010] 329 ITR 591 (Mad)		412
CIT (Addl.) v. Madras Fertilisers Ltd. [1980] 122 ITR 139 (Mad)		286
CIT v. Malayalam Plantations Ltd. [1964] 53 ITR 140 (SC)	286,	420
CIT (Pr.) v. MAN Infraprojects Ltd. (I. T. A. No. 259 of 2017, dated 9-4-2019 (Bom)) <i>followed</i>		700
CIT (Deputy) v. Manipal Industries Limited [1997] 61 ITD 49 (Bang)		504
CIT v. Manjoo and Co. [2011] 335 ITR 527 (Ker)		312
CIT v. Marudhar Chemicals and Pharmaceuticals (P.) Ltd. [2009] 319 ITR 75 (P&H)		286
CIT v. Max India Ltd. [2007] 295 ITR 282 (SC) <i>applied</i>		272

2020]	TABLE OF CASES CITED	ix
		PAGE
CIT <i>v.</i> Micro Labs Ltd. [2012] 348 ITR 75 (Karn)		533
CIT <i>v.</i> Mittal Corporation [2005] 272 ITR 87 (Delhi)		337
CIT (Pr.) <i>v.</i> Motif India Infotech (P.) Ltd. [2018] 409 ITR 178 (Guj)		207
CIT <i>v.</i> Mumbai Metropolitan Regional Iron and Steel Market Committee [2018] 404 ITR 171 (Bom)		166
CIT <i>v.</i> Muthaiah (R. M.) [1993] 202 ITR 508 (Karn)		30
CIT <i>v.</i> Muzafar Nagar Development Authority [2015] 372 ITR 209 (All) [FB] <i>followed</i>		516
CIT <i>v.</i> Mysore Sugar Co. Ltd. [1962] 46 ITR 649 (SC)		677
CIT (Pr.) <i>v.</i> NRA Iron and Steel Pvt. Ltd. [2019] 412 ITR 161 (SC)		486
do. <i>v.</i> do. <i>distinguished</i>		658
CIT <i>v.</i> Nirma Chemicals Ltd. [2008] 305 ITR 607 (P&H)		177
CIT <i>v.</i> Orissa Corporation P. Ltd. [1986] 159 ITR 78 (SC)		486
CIT <i>v.</i> Paharpur Cooling Towers (P.) Ltd. [1996] 219 ITR 618 (SC)		648
CIT <i>v.</i> Panipat Woollen and General Mills Co. Ltd. [1976] 103 ITR 66 (SC) <i>applied</i>		420
CIT <i>v.</i> Parle Plastics Ltd. [2011] 332 ITR 63 (Bom)		119
CIT (Pr.) <i>v.</i> Pavitra Commercial Ltd. [2018] 402 ITR 66 (Delhi) <i>followed</i>		684
CIT <i>v.</i> Pawan Gupta [2009] 318 ITR 322 (Delhi)		222
CIT <i>v.</i> Phil Corporation Ltd. [2011] 14 taxmann.com 58 (Bom)		286
CIT <i>v.</i> Pruthvi Brokers and Shareholders (P.) Ltd. [2012] 349 ITR 336 (Bom)		412
CIT <i>v.</i> R. D. Aggarwal and Co. [1965] 56 ITR 20 (SC)		30
CIT <i>v.</i> R. K. K. R. Steels (P.) Ltd. [2002] 258 ITR 306 (Mad)		202
CIT <i>v.</i> Rai Bahadur Jairam Valji [1959] 35 ITR 148 (SC)		420
CIT <i>v.</i> Raja Benoy Kumar Sahas Roy [1957] 32 ITR 466 (SC)		337
CIT <i>v.</i> Rajendra Prasad Moody [1978] 115 ITR 519 (SC)		286
CIT (Asst.) <i>v.</i> Rajesh Jhaveri Stock Brokers P. Ltd. [2007] 291 ITR 500 (SC)		261, 508
CIT <i>v.</i> Ramnath and Co. [2016] 388 ITR 307 (Ker) <i>affirmed</i>		337
CIT (Pr.) <i>v.</i> Reebok India Company [2018] 409 ITR 587 (Delhi)		286
CIT <i>v.</i> RNS Infrastructure Ltd. [2017] 9 ITR-OL 350 (Karn)		568
CIT (Joint) <i>v.</i> Rolta India Ltd. [2011] 330 ITR 470 (SC)		648
CIT (Exemptions) <i>v.</i> Sadguru Narendra Maharaj Sansthan [2018] 407 ITR 12 (Bom)		166
CIT <i>v.</i> Sahitya Sadawart Samiti [2017] 396 ITR 46 (Raj)		516
CIT <i>v.</i> Sain Processing and Weaving Mills (P.) Ltd. [2010] 325 ITR 565 (Delhi)		177

x	INCOME TAX REPORTS	[VOL. 425
		PAGE
CIT <i>v.</i> Settlement Commission (IT & WT) (Civil Misc. Writ Petition (Tax) No. 1015 of 2013 (AP))		568
CIT <i>v.</i> Sheela Christian Charitable Trust [2013] 354 ITR 478 (Mad)		516
CIT <i>v.</i> Shiv Raj Gupta [2015] 372 ITR 337 (Delhi) <i>reversed</i>		420
CIT <i>v.</i> Shivam Motors Pvt. Ltd. [2015] 55 taxmann.com 262 (All)		700
CIT (Pr.) <i>v.</i> Shree Nilkanth Developers [2017] 8 ITR-OL 32 (Guj)		235
CIT <i>v.</i> Skytop Builders Pvt. Ltd. (I. T. A. No. 29 of 2006, dated 10-8-2011)		673
CIT <i>v.</i> Society for the Promotion of Education [2016] 382 ITR 6 (SC)		516
do. <i>v.</i> do. <i>followed</i>		642
CIT (Addl.) <i>v.</i> South India Hire Purchase Association [1979] 116 ITR 793 (Mad)		63
CIT <i>v.</i> SRF Ltd. [2012] 342 ITR 106 (Delhi)		648
CIT (Pr.) <i>v.</i> State Bank of Patiala [2017] 391 ITR 218 (P&H)		475
CIT <i>v.</i> Subaida Beevi (M.) (Smt.) [1986] 160 ITR 557 (Ker)		684
CIT <i>v.</i> Sunil Chandra Gupta [2016] 384 ITR (St.) 125 (SC)		654
CIT <i>v.</i> Sunil Chandra Gupta [2016] 7 ITR-OL 12 (All) <i>followed</i>		654
CIT <i>v.</i> Suresh (B.) [2009] 313 ITR 149 (SC)		337
CIT <i>v.</i> TBI Education Trust [2018] 96 taxmann.com 356 (Ker) <i>dissented from</i>		516
CIT <i>v.</i> Thomas Kurian [2012] 72 DTR (Ker)		337
CIT <i>v.</i> Toshoku Ltd. [1980] 125 ITR 525 (SC)		207
CIT <i>v.</i> Tulip Star Hotels Ltd. [2011] 338 ITR 482 (Delhi)		286
CIT (Asst.) <i>v.</i> Vatika Greenfield (P.) Ltd. [2009] 315 ITR (AT) 113 (Delhi)		601
CIT <i>v.</i> Vegetable Products Ltd. [1973] 88 ITR 192 (SC)		648
CIT <i>v.</i> Visakhapatnam Port Trust [1983] 144 ITR 146 (AP)		30
CIT <i>v.</i> Vishwanath [1993] 201 ITR 920 (All)		684
CIT <i>v.</i> Walchand and Co. P. Ltd. [1967] 65 ITR 381 (SC) <i>applied</i>		420
CIT <i>v.</i> Williamson Financial Services [2008] 297 ITR 17 (SC)		648
CIT <i>v.</i> Yatish Trading Co. Pvt Ltd. [2013] 359 ITR 320 (Bom) <i>followed</i>		684
CIT <i>v.</i> Yokogawa India Ltd. [2012] 341 ITR 385 (Karn)		648
CIT <i>v.</i> Yokogawa India Ltd. [2017] 391 ITR 274 (SC) <i>relied on</i>		337
CIT (Deputy) <i>v.</i> Zuari Estate Development and Investment Co. Ltd. [2015] 373 ITR 661 (SC)		186, 508
CST <i>v.</i> Modi Sugar Mills Ltd. [1961] 12 STC 182 (SC)		235
CWT <i>v.</i> Azizunnisa Begum (Smt.) [2000] 243 ITR 852 (SC)		79
CWT <i>v.</i> Officer-in-Charge (Court of Wards), Paigah [1976] 105 ITR 133 (SC) <i>relied on</i>		337

2020]	TABLE OF CASES CITED	xi
		PAGE
Consolidated Coffee Ltd. v. Coffee Board, Bangalore [1980] AIR 1980 SC 1468		516
Continental Construction Ltd. v. CIT [1992] 195 ITR 81 (SC) <i>applied</i>		337
Corrtech International P. Ltd. v. Deputy CIT [2018] 401 ITR 355 (Guj)	186, 279	
Crawford v. Spooner [1846] 4 MIA 179 (PC) <i>relied on</i>		337
Da Costa (E. P. W.) v. Union of India [1980] 121 ITR 751 (Delhi)		337
Danisco India (P.) Ltd. v. Union of India [2018] SCC OnLine Del 7304	207, 628	
Deeplok Financial Services Ltd. v. CIT [2017] 393 ITR 395 (Guj) <i>followed</i>		684
Dishman Pharmaceuticals and Chemicals Ltd. v. Deputy CIT (OSD) (No. 1) [2012] 346 ITR 228 (Guj)		491
DIT (Asst.) v. E-Funds IT Solution Inc. [2017] 399 ITR 34 (SC)		30
DIT (Exemptions) v. Khar Gymkhana [2016] 385 ITR 162 (Bom)		166
DIT v. Lufthansa Cargo India [2015] 375 ITR 85 (Delhi)		207
DIT (International Taxation) v. Morgan Stanley and Co. Inc. [2007] 292 ITR 416 (SC) <i>applied</i>		30
DIT (Exemption) v. North Indian Association [2017] 393 ITR 206 (Bom)		166
DIT (Deputy) v. Serum Institute of India Ltd. [2015] 40 ITR (Trib) 684 (Pune)	207, 628	
Dnyanoba Bhaurao Shemade v. Maroti Bhaurao Marnor [1999] 2 SCC 471 <i>relied on</i>		420
E. I. D. Parry (India) Limited v. Deputy CIT [2014] 2 ITR-OL 41 (Mad)		508
East End Dwellings Co. Ltd. v. Finsbury Borough Council [1951] 2 All ER 587 ; [1952] AC 109 (HL)		516
Eastern Investments Ltd. v. CIT [1951] 20 ITR 1 (SC)		286
Eastman Consultants P. Ltd. v. CBDT [1981] 132 ITR 637 (Bom)		337
EID Parry (India) Limited v. Asst. CIT (T. C. A. No. 2511 of 2006, dated 30-10-2012)		508
Ericsson India P. Ltd. v. Addl. CIT [2019] 411 ITR 333 (Delhi)		186
Evolv Clothing Co. (P.) Ltd. v. Asst. CIT [2018] 407 ITR 72 (Mad)		207
Exide Industries Ltd. v. Union of India [2007] 292 ITR 470 (Cal) <i>reversed</i>		1
Federation of A. P. Chambers of Commerce and Industry v. State of A. P. [2001] 247 ITR 36 (SC)		235
G. T. N. Textiles Ltd. v. Deputy CIT [2010] 326 ITR 352 (Ker)		504

xii	INCOME TAX REPORTS	[VOL. 425
		PAGE
Gajapati Narayan Deo (K. C.) <i>v.</i> State of Orissa [1954] SCR 1 <i>relied on</i>		1
Ganesh Prasad Sah Kesari <i>v.</i> Lakshmi Narayan Gupta [1985] AIR 1985 SC 964		516
Garden Silk Mills Ltd. <i>v.</i> Deputy CIT (Assessment) (No. 1) [1996] 222 ITR 27 (Guj)		79
GE India Technology Centre Pvt. Ltd. <i>v.</i> CIT [2010] 327 ITR 456 (SC)		312
Gillanders Arbuthnot and Co. Ltd. <i>v.</i> CIT [1964] 53 ITR 283 (SC)		420
GKN Driveshafts (India) Ltd. <i>v.</i> ITO [2003] 259 ITR 19 (SC)		450, 538
GKN Sinter Metals Ltd. <i>v.</i> Ms. Ramapriya Raghavan, Asst. CIT [2015] 371 ITR 225 (Bom)		177
Godrej and Boyce Mfg. Co. Ltd. <i>v.</i> S. B. Potnis, Chief Commissioner [1993] 203 ITR 947 (Bom)		337
Gough <i>v.</i> Gough [1891] 2 QB 665		207, 628
Govindlal Chagganlal Patel <i>v.</i> Agricultural Produce Market Committee, Godhra [1976] 1 SCR 451 ; [1976] AIR 1976 SC 263		516
Grindlays Bank Ltd. <i>v.</i> Central Government Industrial Tribunal [1980] (Supp) SCC 420		581
Group M. Media India P. Ltd. <i>v.</i> Union of India [2016] 388 ITR 594 (Bom)		279
Guffic Chem (P.) Ltd. <i>v.</i> CIT [2011] 332 ITR 602 (SC) <i>followed</i>		420
Guran Ditta <i>v.</i> Ram Ditta [1928] AIR 1928 PC 172		561
Guruswamy (K. N.) <i>v.</i> State of Mysore [1954] AIR 1954 SC 592		119
GVK Industries Ltd. <i>v.</i> ITO [2015] 371 ITR 453 (SC)		207, 628
H. H. Maharaja Martand Singh Ju Deo <i>v.</i> CIT [1988] 174 ITR 515 (MP)		286
Hajee Mohamed Ibrahim <i>v.</i> GTO [1983] 143 ITR 333 (Karn)		79
Hansraj Gordhandas <i>v.</i> H. H. Dave [1970] AIR 1970 SC 755 ; [1969] 2 SCR 253		337
Hari Singh <i>v.</i> The Military Estate Officer [1973] 1 SCR 515		1
Harish Tandon <i>v.</i> Addl. District Magistrate [1995] AIR 1995 SC 676		516
Harshad J. Choksi <i>v.</i> CIT [2012] 349 ITR 250 (Bom)		677
Harshvardhan Chemicals and Minerals Limited <i>v.</i> Union of India [2002] 256 ITR 767 (Raj)		581
Hero Cycles (P.) Ltd. <i>v.</i> CIT [2015] 379 ITR 347 (SC) <i>applied</i>		420
Hero Vinoth (Minor) <i>v.</i> Seshammal [2006] 5 SCC 545		561
Heydon's case [1584] 3 Co Rep 7 <i>applied</i>		1
Hindustan Lever Ltd. <i>v.</i> CIT [2016] 387 ITR 513 (Bom)		504

2020]	TABLE OF CASES CITED	xiii
		PAGE
Hindustan Lever Ltd. <i>v.</i> R. B. Wadkar, Asst. CIT (No. 1) [2004] 268 ITR 332 (Bom)		261
Hindustan Unilever Ltd. <i>v.</i> Deputy CIT [2010] 325 ITR 102 (Bom)		648
Hiren Vasantlal Shah <i>v.</i> Asst. CIT [2012] 19 taxmann.com 241 (Guj)		601
Honda Siel Power Products Limited <i>v.</i> Deputy CIT [2012] 340 ITR 64 (SC)		475
Hughes (Inspector of Taxes) <i>v.</i> Bank of New Zealand [1938] 6 ITR 636 (HL)		286
Hynoup Food and Oil Industries Ltd. <i>v.</i> Asst. CIT [2008] 307 ITR 115 (Guj) <i>followed</i>		70
Idea Cellular Ltd. <i>v.</i> Deputy CIT [2008] 301 ITR 407 (Bom)		177
ITO <i>v.</i> Techspan India Private Ltd. [2018] 404 ITR 10 (SC)	103,	475
do. <i>v.</i> do. <i>followed</i>		450
India Trade Promotion Organization <i>v.</i> DGIT (Exemptions) [2015] 371 ITR 333 (Delhi)		63
Indian Aluminium Co. <i>v.</i> State of Kerala [1996] 7 SCC 637 <i>relied on</i>		1
Indian and Eastern Newspaper Society <i>v.</i> CIT [1979] 119 ITR 996 (SC)		103
Institute of Chartered Accountants of India <i>v.</i> DGIT (Exemptions) [2013] 358 ITR 91 (Delhi)		63
IPCA Laboratory Ltd. <i>v.</i> Deputy CIT [2004] 266 ITR 521 (SC)		337
IRC <i>v.</i> Duke of Westminster [1936] AC 1 ; [1935] All ER Rep 259		235
J. B. Boda and Co. Pvt. Ltd. <i>v.</i> CBDT [1997] 223 ITR 271 (SC)		337
J. K. Cotton Spinning and Weaving Mills Ltd. <i>v.</i> Union of India [1988] AIR 1988 SC 191		516
J. K. Industries Ltd. <i>v.</i> Union of India [2008] 297 ITR 176 (SC)		648
J. K. Woollen Manufacturers <i>v.</i> CIT [1969] 72 ITR 612 (SC) <i>applied</i>		420
Janardhana Rao (M.) <i>v.</i> Joint CIT [2005] 273 ITR 50 (SC)		561
Jayshree Tea and Industries Ltd. <i>v.</i> Union of India [2006] 285 ITR 506 (Cal)		648
Jeans Knit (P.) Ltd. <i>v.</i> Deputy CIT [2014] 367 ITR 773 (Karn)		475
Jeans Knit Private Limited <i>v.</i> Deputy CIT [2017] 390 ITR 10 (SC)		538
do. <i>v.</i> do. <i>followed</i>		450
Jindal Stainless Ltd. <i>v.</i> Asst. CIT [2009] 19 DTR (A. T.) 345 (Delhi)		533
Jindal Thermal Power Co. Ltd. <i>v.</i> Deputy CIT (TDS) [2010] 321 ITR 31 (Karn)		207
Jyotendrasinhji <i>v.</i> S. I. Tripathi [1993] 201 ITR 611 (SC)		235, 568

xiv	INCOME TAX REPORTS	[VOL. 425
		PAGE
K. C. Builders <i>v.</i> Asst. CIT [2004] 265 ITR 562 (SC) <i>applied</i>		99
do. <i>v.</i> do. <i>followed</i>		158
Kalidas Umedram <i>v.</i> State of Gujarat [1996] 7 SCC 635		516
Kanhaialal Jangid <i>v.</i> Asst. CIT [2008] 217 CTR (Raj) 354		486
Kapra Mazdoor Ekta Union <i>v.</i> Birla Cotton Spinning and Weaving Mills Limited [2005] 13 SCC 777		581
Karnataka Golf Association <i>v.</i> DIT (Exemption) [2005] 272 ITR (AT) 123 (Bang)		642
Kasilingam (P.) <i>v.</i> P. S. G. College of Technology [1995] AIR 1995 SC 1395		207, 628
Kavalappara Kottarathil Kochuni <i>v.</i> States of Madras and Kerala [1960] AIR 1960 SC 1080		1
Keshavji Ravji and Co. <i>v.</i> CIT [1990] 183 ITR 1 (SC)		648
Krishnan (N.) <i>v.</i> Settlement Commission [1989] 180 ITR 585 (Karn)		568
Kshitish Chandra Purkait <i>v.</i> Santosh Kumar Purkait [1997] 5 SCC 438 <i>relied on</i>		420
Kuldip Nayar <i>v.</i> Union of India [2006] 7 SCC 1		1
Kunhayammed <i>v.</i> State of Kerala [2000] 245 ITR 360 (SC)		516
Labh Chand Bohra <i>v.</i> ITO [2008] 219 CTR (Raj) 571		486
Leonhardt Andra und Partner, GmbH <i>v.</i> CIT [2001] 249 ITR 418 (Cal)		30
Li and Fung India (P.) Ltd. <i>v.</i> CIT [2008] 305 ITR 105 (Delhi)		337
Liberty India <i>v.</i> CIT [2009] 317 ITR 218 (SC)		337
Loyal Motor Service Co. Ltd. <i>v.</i> CIT [1946] 14 ITR 647 (Bom)		613
Madanlal Sohanlal <i>v.</i> CIT [1963] 47 ITR 1 (Cal)		286
Madhav Prasad Jatia <i>v.</i> CIT [1979] 118 ITR 200 (SC)		286
Madras Kirana Merchants Association <i>v.</i> CIT [1978] 111 ITR 156 (Mad)		63
Maharajadhiraj Sir Kameshwar Singh <i>v.</i> CIT [1957] 32 ITR 377 (Patna)		286
Mahavir Spinning Mills Ltd. <i>v.</i> CIT [2017] 391 ITR 290 (P&H)		253
Malabar Industrial Co. Ltd. <i>v.</i> CIT [2000] 243 ITR 83 (SC)		272
Manjula Bhashini (A.) <i>v.</i> Managing Director, A. P. Women's Co-operative Finance Corporation Ltd. [2009] 8 SCC 431		1
Mansingbhai Kahalsingbhai <i>v.</i> Surat Municipal Corporation [2001] AIR 2001 Guj 44		516
Maple Logistics Private Limited <i>v.</i> Pr. CIT [2020] 420 ITR 258 (Delhi)		186
Martech Peripherals Pvt. Ltd. <i>v.</i> Deputy CIT [2017] 394 ITR 733 (Mad)		475

2020]	TABLE OF CASES CITED	xv
		PAGE
Maruti Suzuki India Ltd. v. Deputy CIT [2012] 347 ITR 43 (Delhi) <i>distinguished</i>		691
Master Construction Co. Pvt. Ltd. v. State of Orissa [1966] 17 STC 360 (SC)		412
Maxopp Investment Limited v. CIT [2018] 402 ITR 640 (SC)		475
McDowell and Co. Ltd. v. CTO [1985] 154 ITR 148 (SC)		420
Metallurgical and Engineering Consultant (India) Ltd. v. CIT [1999] 238 ITR 208 (Patna)		312
Mohamed Ghouse v. CIT [1963] 49 ITR 127 (Mad)		286
Narain Swadeshi Weaving Mills v. CEPT [1954] 26 ITR 765 (SC) <i>distinguished</i>		153
Narayanappa (S.) v. CIT [1967] 63 ITR 219 (SC)		261
Naresh Kumar v. Government of NCT of Delhi [2019] 9 SCC 416		475
Nilamben Sandipbhai Parikh v. Asst. CIT [2019] 14 ITR-OL 587 (Guj)		79
Omar Salay Mohamed Sait v. CIT [1959] 37 ITR 151 (SC)		79
Ormerods (India) Private Ltd. v. CIT [1959] 36 ITR 329 (Bom)		286
Padmasundara Rao v. State of Tamil Nadu [2002] 255 ITR 147 (SC)		516
Padmavati Jaikrishna (Smt.) v. Addl. CIT [1987] 166 ITR 176 (SC)		286
Padmavati Jaykrishna v. CIT [1981] 131 ITR 653 (Guj)		286
Parveen P. Bharucha (Mrs.) v. Deputy CIT [2012] 348 ITR 325 (Bom)		261
Passi (B. L.) v. CIT [2018] 404 ITR 19 (SC)		337
Patel Alloy Steel (P.) Ltd. v. Asst. CIT (OSD) [2013] 1 ITR-OL 580 (Guj)		613
Performing Right Society Ltd. v. CIT [1977] 106 ITR 11 (SC)		312
Phaltan Sugar Works Ltd. v. CIT [1995] 215 ITR 582 (Bom)		286
Phaltan Sugar Works Ltd. v. CWT [1994] 208 ITR 989 (Bom)		286
Phool Chand Bajrang Lal v. ITO [1993] 203 ITR 456 (SC)		491
PILCOM v. CIT [2011] 335 ITR 147 (Cal) <i>affirmed</i>		312
Pradip Kumar Malhotra v. CIT [2011] 338 ITR 538 (Cal)		119
Praful Chunilal Patel v. M. J. Makwana, Asst. CIT [1999] 236 ITR 832 (Guj)		79
Prithi Pal Singh Bedi (Lt. Col.) v. Union of India [1982] AIR 1982 SC 1413		516
R and B Falcon (A) Pty. Ltd. v. CIT [2008] 301 ITR 309 (SC)		648

xvi	INCOME TAX REPORTS	[VOL. 425
		PAGE
R. B. Shreeram Durga Prasad and Fatechand Nursing Das <i>v.</i> Settlement Commission (IT & WT) [1989] 176 ITR 169 (SC)		235, 568
Raghunath Exports (P.) Ltd. <i>v.</i> CIT [2011] 330 ITR 57 (Cal) <i>relied on</i>		459
Rajan Gupta <i>v.</i> CIT [2010] 194 Taxman 287 (Delhi)		222
Rajesh Jhaveri Stock Brokers (P.) Ltd. <i>v.</i> Asst. CIT [2006] 284 ITR 593 (Guj)		79
Ramaswamy Iyer (M. N.) <i>v.</i> CIT [1969] 71 ITR 218 (Ker)		286
Ranchhodbhai Bhajibhai Patel <i>v.</i> CIT [1971] 81 ITR 446 (Guj)		684
Ravindranathan Nair (K.) <i>v.</i> CIT [2001] 247 ITR 178 (SC)		337
Raymond Woollen Mills Ltd. <i>v.</i> ITO [1999] 236 ITR 34 (SC)		261, 475
Reserve Bank of India <i>v.</i> Peerless General Finance and Investment Co. Ltd. [1987] 61 Comp Cas 663 (SC)		516
Rimmalapudi Subba Rao <i>v.</i> Noony Veeraju [1951] AIR 1951 Mad 969		561
S. A. Builders Ltd. <i>v.</i> CIT (Appeals) [2007] 288 ITR 1 (SC)		286, 677
do. <i>v.</i> do. <i>applied</i>		420
Saigal (Capt.) (K. C.) <i>v.</i> ITO [1995] 54 ITD 488 (Delhi)		337
Sakal Papers (P.) Ltd. <i>v.</i> CIT [1978] 114 ITR 256 (Bom) <i>distinguished</i>		202
Sanjeev Coke Manufacturing Company <i>v.</i> Bharat Coking Coal Ltd. [1983] 1 SCC 147 <i>relied on</i>		1
Santhosh Hazari <i>v.</i> Purushottam Tiwari [2001] 3 SCC 179		561
Sarabhai Sons (P.) Ltd. <i>v.</i> CIT [1993] 201 ITR 464 (Guj)		286
Saraswati Sugar Mills <i>v.</i> Haryana State Board [1992] 1 SCC 418 <i>relied on</i>		684
Sardar Harvinder Singh Sehgal <i>v.</i> Asst. CIT [1997] 227 ITR 512 (Gauhati)		533
Sasi Enterprises <i>v.</i> Asst. CIT [2014] 361 ITR 163 (SC) <i>distinguished</i>		99
Sea Pearl Industries <i>v.</i> CIT [2001] 247 ITR 578 (SC)		337
Searle (India) Ltd. <i>v.</i> CBDT [1984] 145 ITR 673 (Bom)		337
Seshasayee Paper and Boards Ltd. <i>v.</i> Union of India [2019] 413 ITR 370 (Mad)		538
Seth R. Dalmia <i>v.</i> CIT [1977] 110 ITR 644 (SC)		286
Shahzada Nand and Sons <i>v.</i> CIT [1977] 108 ITR 358 (SC) <i>applied</i>		420
Shree Chalthan Vibhag Khand <i>v.</i> Deputy CIT [2015] 376 ITR 419 (Guj)		613
Shree Sajjan Mills Ltd. <i>v.</i> CIT [1985] 156 ITR 585 (SC)		508
Shree Sayan Vibhag Sahkari <i>v.</i> Deputy CIT [2016] 69 taxmann.com 245 (Guj)		613
Shri Raghavendra Traders <i>v.</i> Asst. CIT [2011] TIOL-587-HC-KAR-IT		654

2020]	TABLE OF CASES CITED	xvii
		PAGE
Shriram Capital Limited (No. 1) v. DIT (International Taxation) [2020] 425 ITR 207 (Mad)		628
Shrivardhan Mohta v. Union of India [2019] 13 ITR-OL 272 (Cal)		545
Shriyans Prasad Jain v. ITO [1993] 204 ITR 616 (SC)		568
Sir Chunilal V. Mehta and Sons Ltd. v. Century Spinning and Manufacturing Co. Ltd. [1962] AIR 1962 SC 1314		561
Sir Kikabhai Premchand v. CIT [1953] 24 ITR 506 (SC)		684
Sree Ayyanar Spinning and Weaving Mills Limited v. CIT [2008] 301 ITR 434 (SC)		581
Srei Infrastructure Finance Ltd. v. Tuff Drilling P. Ltd. [2018] 11 SCC 470		581
Sri Venkateshwara Tourist Home (P.) Ltd. v. Asst. DIT (Investigation) [1998] 233 ITR 736 (Karn)		648
St. Aubyn v. Attorney General [1952] AC 15		516
State of Andhra Pradesh v. McDowell and Co. [1996] 3 SCC 709		1
State of Madhya Pradesh v. Rakesh Kohli [2012] 6 SCC 312		1
State of Tamil Nadu v. Arooran Sugars Ltd. [1997] 1 SCC 326 <i>relied on</i>		1
State of Tamil Nadu v. Arooran Sugars Ltd. [1997] AIR 1997 SC 1815		516
State of Tamil Nadu v. Kandaswami (M. K.) [1975] 36 STC 191 (SC) <i>applied</i>		1
State of Tamil Nadu v. Shyam Sunder (K.) [2011] 8 SCC 737		1
State of West Bengal v. Union of India [1963] AIR 1963 SC 1241 <i>relied on</i>		1
Sun Export Corporation v. Collector of Customs [1998] 111 STC 69 (SC)		337
T. R. F. Ltd. v. CIT [2010] 323 ITR 397 (SC) <i>followed</i>		677
Tamilnadu Cricket Association v. DIT (Exemptions) [2014] 360 ITR 633 (Mad)		166
Tata Power Co. Ltd. v. Reliance Energy Ltd. [2009] 16 SCC 659		1
Tata Projects Limited v. Deputy CIT [2017] 88 taxmann.com 325 (Bom)		279
Tata Teleservices Ltd. v. CBDT [2016] 386 ITR 30 (Delhi)		186, 279
U. A. E. Exchange Centre Ltd. v. Union of India [2009] 313 ITR 94 (Delhi) <i>affirmed</i>		30
UAE Exchange Centre LLC, <i>In re</i> [2004] 268 ITR 9 (AAR)		30
Union of India v. Azadi Bachao Andolan [2003] 263 ITR 706 (SC)		207, 628
do. v. do. do. <i>applied</i>		30

xviii	INCOME TAX REPORTS	[VOL. 425
		PAGE
Union of India <i>v.</i> Gautam Khaitan [2020] 420 ITR 140 (SC) <i>explained</i> <i>and distinguished</i>		545
Union of India <i>v.</i> Ind-Swift Laboratories [2011] 7 GSTR 348 (SC)		568
Union of India <i>v.</i> Rajiv Kumar [2003] AIR 2003 SC 2917		516
Union of India <i>v.</i> Wood Papers Ltd. [1991] 83 STC 251 (SC) <i>applied</i>		337
Unique Butyle Tube Industries (P.) Ltd. <i>v.</i> U. P. Financial Corporation [2003] 113 Comp Cas 374 (SC)		516
United States <i>v.</i> Butler et al [1936] 297 US 1 <i>relied on</i>		1
Varghese (K. P.) <i>v.</i> ITO [1981] 131 ITR 597 (SC)		648
Vedabai alias Vajayanatabai Banurao Patil <i>v.</i> Shantaram Baburao Patil [2002] 253 ITR 798 (SC)		561
Venkatesan (M.) <i>v.</i> CIT [1983] 144 ITR 886 (Mad)		684
Venugopal (M.) <i>v.</i> Divisional Manager, Life Insurance Corporation of India [1994] AIR 1994 SC 1343		516
Vijaya Bank <i>v.</i> CIT [2010] 323 ITR 166 (SC)		677
Vijaya Laxmi Sugar Mills Ltd. <i>v.</i> CIT [1991] 191 ITR 641 (SC)		286
Virmati Ramkrishna (Smt.) <i>v.</i> CIT [1981] 131 ITR 659 (Guj)		286
Vodafone Idea Ltd. <i>v.</i> Asst. CIT [2020] 424 ITR 664 (SC)		691
Vodafone International Holdings B. V. <i>v.</i> Union of India [2012] 341 ITR 1 (SC)		420
Vodafone Mobile Services Ltd. <i>v.</i> Asst. CIT [2020] 421 ITR 193 (Delhi)		186, 691
W T Ramsay Ltd. <i>v.</i> IRC [1981] 1 All ER 865 (HL)		235
Welfare Association A. R. P., Maharashtra <i>v.</i> Ranjit P. Gohil [2003] 9 SCC 358 <i>relied on</i>		1
Zuari Foods and Farms Pvt. Ltd. <i>v.</i> Asst. CIT (W. P. No. 1001 of 2007, dated 11-4-2018) (Bom)		261

2020] INDEX TO STATUTES, RULES, CIRCULARS, NOTIFICATIONS, ETC. xix

INDEX TO JOURNAL SECTION

Articles :	PAGE
Does third-party information constitute "reason to believe" ? : Does third-party information constitute "reason to believe" ? Why is it necessary to communicate such information along with notice under section 148 of the Act ?— <i>Sanjay Bansal, Senior Advocate, High Court of Punjab and Haryana, Chandigarh</i> and <i>Amit Parsad, Advocate, High Court of Punjab and Haryana, Chandigarh</i> ...	16
Impact of new section 115BAC on TDS under section 192 : The employer is under no obligation to keep track of the fact whether an employee has opted for the lower tax regime under the newly inserted section 115BAC of the Act, for the purpose of TDS under section 192— <i>S. K. Tyagi, Advocate</i> ...	13
Taxation of Employer's Contribution in NPS Scheme : Taxation of the employer's contribution in the National Pension Scheme has become ambiguous in view of the insertion made by the Finance Act, 2020— <i>Sanjay Mody, Chartered Accountant</i> ...	8
<i>Yum! Restaurants (Marketing) Private Limited v. CIT [2020] 424 ITR 630 (SC)</i> — <i>Satyajeet Goel and Himanshu Aggarwal, Char- tered Accountants</i> ...	1

INDEX TO STATUTES, RULES, CIRCULARS, NOTIFICATIONS, ETC.

	PAGE
Acts :	
Repealing and Amending Act, 2019 ...	4
Circulars :	
<i>C. B. D. T. Circulars :</i>	
Circular No. 13 of 2020, dated 13th July, 2020—One-time relax- ation for verification of tax-returns for the assessment years 2015-16, 2016-17, 2017-18, 2018-19 and 2019-20 which are pending due to non-filing of ITR-V form and processing of such returns—Reg. ...	1

xx INCOME TAX REPORTS [VOL. 425]

Circulars : (Contd.)

PAGE

Circular No. 14 of 2020, dated 20th July, 2020—Clarification in relation to notification issued under clause (v) of proviso to section 194N of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (the Act) prior to its amendment by Finance Act, 2020 (FA, 2020)—Reg. ... 2

Circular No. 15 of 2020, dated 22nd July, 2020—Notification of Sovereign Wealth Fund under section 10(23FE) of the Income-tax Act, 1961 ... 29

Rules :

Income-tax (17th Amendment) Rules, 2020 ... 6

Income-tax (18th Amendment) Rules, 2020 ... 17

Schemes :

National Pension Scheme Tier II-Tax Saver Scheme, 2020 ... 5

Notifications :*Income-tax Act, 1961 :*

Notification under section 9A(3) :

Conditions specified in clauses (e), (f) and (g) not applicable in case of investment fund ... 17

Notification under section 10(23FE)(iii)(b) :

Business engaged in infrastructure sub-sectors mentioned in Updated Harmonised Master List of Infrastructure sub-sectors ... 28

Notification under section 10(46) :

Exemption to Board/Trust/Commission/Authority/Society constituted with object of regulating/administering an activity for benefit of general public ... 11

Taxation and Other Laws (Relaxation of Certain Provisions) Ordinance, 2020 :

Notification under section 3(1) :

Extension of time-limit ... 26

Extension of time-limit : Amendments ... 28

**THE
INCOME TAX REPORTS
VOLUME 425—2020**

SUBJECT INDEX

	PAGE
<p>Abatement of proceedings—Reassessment—Order of High Court staying final order on reassessment—Reassessment proceedings did not abate—Notice under section 143(2) valid—Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 143(2)—DEVENDRA KUMAR SINGH <i>v.</i> ASST. CIT (Delhi) . . .</p>	222
<p>Accounting—Rejection of accounts—Method of accounting consistently followed and accepted by Revenue—No finding of distortion in profits—Method cannot be rejected—Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 145—CIT <i>v.</i> BANJARA DEVELOPERS AND CONSTRUCTIONS PVT. LTD. (Karn) . . .</p>	673
<p>Advance tax—Interest for default in payment of advance tax—Computation of interest—Assessee paying four instalments of advance tax prior to search and seizure and communication sent to adjust advance tax against cash seized during search—Date of communication to be taken as date of payment of advance tax—Income-tax Act, 1961, ss. 132, 132B, 234B, 234C—MARBLE CENTRE INTERNATIONAL P. LTD. <i>v.</i> ASST. CIT (Karn) . . .</p>	654
<p>Appeals—Monetary limits for appeals by Department—Appeals to Appellate Tribunal, High Court and Supreme Court—Effect of Circular No. 3 of 2018—Monetary limit raised with effect from 11-7-2018—Income-tax Act, 1961—CIT, LTU <i>v.</i> BOSCH LIMITED (Karn) . . .</p>	667
<p>Appeal to Appellate Tribunal—Appeal to High Court—Competency of appeal to High Court—Tribunal dismissing appeal on grounds of delay in filing appeal—Appeal dealing with additions on account of cash credits—No substantial question of law arose—Appeal not maintainable to High Court—J. STEPHEN <i>v.</i> ITO (Mad) . . .</p>	561
<p>—Power to permit production of additional evidence—Tribunal not considering application of assessee seeking leave to produce additional document as evidence—Failure by Tribunal to exercise jurisdiction vested in it—Matter remanded to Tribunal—Income-tax Act, 1961, ss. 69C, 254,</p>	

ii	INCOME TAX REPORTS	[VOL. 425
		PAGE
	Appeal to Appellate Tribunal (<i>Contd.</i>)—	
	260A—Income-tax (Appellate Tribunal) Rules, 1963, r. 29— BRAGANZA CONSTRUCTION PVT. LTD. v. ASST. CIT (Bom) . . .	115
	—Powers of Tribunal—Rectification of mistakes—Time limit—Effect of section 254 and rule 24—Application for recall of order within limitation—Order of recall beyond period of limitation—Valid—Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 254—Income-tax (Appellate Tribunal) Rules, 1963, r. 24— PRINCIPAL CIT v. ITAT (Bom) . . .	581
	Appeal to High Court —Appeal to Appellate Tribunal—Competency of appeal to High Court—Tribunal dismissing appeal on grounds of delay in filing appeal—Appeal dealing with additions on account of cash credits—No substantial question of law arose—Appeal not maintainable to High Court—Income-tax Act, 1961, ss. 254, 260A— J. STEPHEN v. ITO (Mad) . . .	561
	—Powers of court—Substantial question of law—Duty of court to record its satisfaction and formulate substantial question of law involved in appeal—Power to decide question not determined by Appellate Tribunal—Question formulated for decision whether receipt taxable under section 28(ii)(a)—High Court without recording reasons or framing question on whether amount could be taxed under any other provision, holding sum taxable as capital gains—Not sustainable—Income-tax Act, 1961, ss. 28(ii)(a), 260A(4)— SHIV RAJ GUPTA v. CIT (SC) . . .	420
	Application for rectification —Rectification of mistake—Demands raised when application for rectification pending before jurisdictional Assessing Officer—Writ petition—Directions for disposal of rectification application and stay of demand until such disposal— PAIVA MANUFACTURING Co. v. ITO (Ker) . . .	640
	Assessment —Refund—Adjustment of refund—Order of refund attaining finality in view of Supreme Court judgment and assessment order passed—Department cannot adjust admitted refund against future dues yet to be adjudicated—Assessee entitled to admitted amount of refund—Income-tax Act, 1961, ss. 143(1), 237, 245— VODAFONE IDEA LIMITED v. ASST. CIT (Bom) . . .	691
	Attachment and sale of property —Recovery of tax—Properties settled on trust for grandchildren by S—Recovery proceedings against son of S under section 179—Properties settled on trust cannot be attached— RAJESH T. SHAH v. TRO (Bom) . . .	443
	Bad debts —Law after 1-4-1989—Assessee only to establish that debt written off in accounts—Not necessary to establish that debt in fact had become irrecoverable—No finding recorded by all three authorities whether debt irrecoverable—Matter remitted to Assessing Officer—	

2020]	GENERAL INDEX	iii
Bad debts (<i>Contd.</i>)—		PAGE
Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 36(1)(vii)—CIT (LTU) <i>v.</i> ABB LTD. (Karn) . . .		677
Black money —Scope of Act—Search showing evidence of black money—Reassessment proceedings initiated—Order of reassessment specifically mentioning that issues relating to black money had not been decided—Subsequent notice under Black Money Act—Valid—Directive by High Court not to undertake coercive measures under Black Money Act—Black Money (Undisclosed Foreign Income and Assets) and Imposition of Tax Act, 2015—Income-tax Act, 1961—ANILA RASIKLAL MEHTA <i>v.</i> UNION OF INDIA (Bom) . . .		545
Book profits —Computation—Brought forward loss or unabsorbed depreciation—Provisions of Parts II and III of Schedule VI to Companies Act, 1956—Non-consideration of claim of assessee for deduction under section 10A—Matter remanded to Tribunal—Income-tax Act, 1961, ss. 10A, 115JB—Companies Act, 1956, Parts II, III, Sch. VI—YOKOGAWA INDIA LTD. <i>v.</i> DEPUTY CIT (LTU) (Karn) . . .		648
Brought forward loss —Brought forward loss or unabsorbed depreciation—Book profits—Computation—Provisions of Parts II and III of Schedule VI to Companies Act, 1956—Non-consideration of claim of assessee for deduction under section 10A—Matter remanded to Tribunal—YOKOGAWA INDIA LTD. <i>v.</i> DEPUTY CIT (LTU) (Karn) . . .		648
Burden of proof —Burden of proving their applicability is on assessee—Interpretation of taxing statutes—Deductions—Exemption notifications to be interpreted strictly—In case of ambiguity, provision subject to strict interpretation—RAMNATH AND Co. <i>v.</i> CIT (SC) . . .		337
—Burden on assessee to prove applicability of provision to his case—Export—Special deduction—Eligibility—Activity of assessee of rendering service from India to its principals in foreign country must answer description in provision—Activity must strictly conform to requirements of section—Once eligibility decided in favour of assessee, provision can be construed liberally in regard to other requirements—Clarification in circular that once service is rendered “from India”, even if its ultimate use by foreign enterprise occurs in India, deduction allowable—Effect—Does not do away with basic requirements as to nature of service to qualify for deduction—RAMNATH AND Co. <i>v.</i> CIT (SC) . . .		337
—Cash credit—Assessee must prove identity of creditor, his creditworthiness and genuineness of transaction—Source of source need not be proved—Duty of income-tax authorities to conduct enquiry—Assessee discharging burden of proof—No enquiry by income-tax authorities—Addition under section 68 not justified—KUMAR NIRMAL AND NIVESH PVT. LTD. <i>v.</i> ASST. CIT (Karn) . . .		486

	PAGE
Business —Capital gains—Business income or capital gains—Finding that object of assessee-firm was to purchase and sell land—Profit from purchase and sale of land assessable as business income—Income-tax Act, 1961, ss. 28, 45— <i>AFONSO REAL ESTATE DEVELOPERS v. CIT</i> (Bom) . . .	153
Business expenditure —Capital or revenue expenditure—Interest paid for delay in allotment of shares for increasing share capital—Expenditure for increasing share capital—Not deductible—Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 37— <i>CIT v. GMR INDUSTRIES LTD.</i> (Karn) . . .	504
—Deduction only on actual payment—Change of law—Amendment bringing liability to pay leave encashment to employees under provision—Valid—Liberty to assessee to follow mercantile system of accounting—Does not mean deduction against accrued liability cannot be regulated by law prospectively—Provision does not reverse nature of liability nor take away deduction—No limitation upon Legislature to include only particular type of deductions in section 43B—Other deductions specified in section also present and accrued liabilities—Court declaring liability to leave encashment an accrued liability—Contention that clause (f) enacted to defeat judgment of court not tenable—Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 43B(f)— <i>UNION OF INDIA v. EXIDE INDUSTRIES LIMITED</i> (SC) . . .	1
—Expenditure on higher education of managing director of subsidiary company—Person becoming director of assessee after completion of higher education—Expenditure reimbursed by assessee—Not deductible—Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 37— <i>KERALA KAUMUDI PVT. LTD. v. CIT</i> (Ker) . . .	202
Business income —Non-compete fee—Assessee chairman and managing director of company with 35 years experience in liquor business—Sale of controlling interest in company by assessee—Deed placing restrictive covenant on assessee not to compete—Whether sum received for restrictive covenant realistic payment on account of non-compete fee—Not for Department to decide questions of commercial expediency—Finding that payment actually related to sale of shares—Not sustainable— <i>SHIV RAJ GUPTA v. CIT</i> (SC) . . .	420
—Non-compete fee—Provision brought with effect from 1-4-2003 making non-compete fee taxable—Provision not applicable retrospectively—Income-tax Act, 1961, s.28(va)— <i>SHIV RAJ GUPTA v. CIT</i> (SC) . . .	420
Business income or capital gains —Finding that object of assessee-firm was to purchase and sell land—Profit from purchase and sale of land assessable as business income— <i>AFONSO REAL ESTATE DEVELOPERS v. CIT</i> (Bom) . . .	153

2020]	GENERAL INDEX	v
		PAGE
Capital gains —Business—Business income or capital gains—Finding that object of assessee-firm was to purchase and sell land—Profit from purchase and sale of land assessable as business income— AFONSO REAL ESTATE DEVELOPERS v. CIT (Bom) . . .		153
—Company—Winding up—Diminution in value of investment made by assessee in company in liquidation—Whether capital loss—Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 46(2)— CIT (LTU) v. ABB LTD. (Karn) . . .		677
—Exemption—Profits from sale of agricultural land—Meaning of agricultural land—Conditions laid down in section 2(14) for treating agricultural land as non-agricultural—One of the conditions satisfied—Land in village within municipality—Village having population less than specified ten thousand—Land was agricultural—Profits from sale of land entitled to exemption—Income-tax Act, 1961, ss. 2, 45— PRINCIPAL CIT v. ANTHONY JOHN PEREIRA (Bom) . . .		134
Capital gains or business income —Non-banking financial institution—Conversion of shares and securities held as stock-in-trade into investment—Sale of shares—No provision at time of transaction for treating income from sale of shares as business income—Income could not be taxed as business income—Income-tax Act, 1961, ss. 4, 45— KEMFIN SERVICES PVT. LTD. v. ASST. CIT (Karn) . . .		684
Capital or revenue expenditure —Business expenditure—Interest paid for delay in allotment of shares for increasing share capital—Expenditure for increasing share capital—Not deductible— CIT v. GMR INDUSTRIES LTD. (Karn) . . .		504
Cash credit —Assessee entry provider to customers making deposits in cash in lieu of cheques for lower amounts—Cash deposits accounted for in assessment orders of beneficiaries—Restriction of addition to difference between amounts deposited and cheques issued only as commission income as disclosed by assessee—Provisions of section 68 not attracted—Income-tax Act, 1961, ss. 68, 132, 260A— PRINCIPAL CIT v. ALAG SECURITIES PVT. LTD. (Bom) . . .		658
—Burden of proof—Assessee must prove identity of creditor, his creditworthiness and genuineness of transaction—Source of source need not be proved—Duty of income-tax authorities to conduct enquiry—Assessee discharging burden of proof—No enquiry by income-tax authorities—Addition under section 68 not justified—Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 68— KUMAR NIRMAN AND NIVESH PVT. LTD. v. ASST. CIT (Karn) . . .		486
Change of law —Business expenditure—Deduction only on actual payment—Amendment bringing liability to pay leave encashment to employees under provision—Valid—Liberty to assessee to follow mer-		

Change of law (<i>Contd.</i>)—	PAGE
cantile system of accounting—Does not mean deduction against accrued liability cannot be regulated by law prospectively—Provision does not reverse nature of liability nor take away deduction—No limitation upon Legislature to include only particular type of deductions in section 43B—Other deductions specified in section also present and accrued liabilities—Court declaring liability to leave encashment an accrued liability—Contention that clause (f) enacted to defeat judgment of court not tenable—	
UNION OF INDIA <i>v.</i> EXIDE INDUSTRIES LIMITED (SC) . . .	1
—See HOUSING PROJECTS . . .	491
Change of opinion —Reassessment—Notice—Validity—Not basis for notice—Deduction claimed and explanation offered in response to enquiry—Deduction granted in original assessment—Notice of reassessment to withdraw deduction—Not valid—	
MARICO LTD. <i>v.</i> ASST. CIT (Bom) . . .	177
Charge of tax —Interpretation of taxing statutes—Clear words necessary—	
KEMFIN SERVICES PVT. LTD. <i>v.</i> ASST. CIT (Karn) . . .	684
Charitable purpose —Exemption—Assessee registered under section 12AA—Denial of exemption on ground that activity of import and distribution of raw material by assessee amounted to commercial activity—Factual matrix to be examined in greater depth by Tribunal—Matter remanded to Tribunal—Income-tax Act, 1961, ss. 2(15), 11, 12AA—	
TAMIL NADU LEATHER TANNERS EXPORTERS IMPORTERS ASSOCIATION <i>v.</i> DEPUTY DIT (EXEMPTIONS) (Mad) . . .	63
—Registration of trust—Application for registration—Order granting or rejecting of application to be passed within 6 months—Application filed on 17-9-1999 decided on 31-10-2001 beyond period of six months—Law laid down by Supreme Court—Registration under section 12A shall be deemed to have taken effect after six months from date of filing application—Grant of registration does not ipso facto entitle to exemption under sections 11 and 12—Income-tax Act, 1961, ss. 11, 12, 12A, 12AA—	
DIT (EXEMPTIONS) <i>v.</i> ST. ANN'S EDUCATION SOCIETY (Karn) . . .	642
—Registration of trusts—Cancellation of registration—Conditions precedent—View that trust would be hit by proviso to section 2(15)—Not a ground for cancellation of registration—Income-tax Act, 1961, ss. 2, 12AA—	
CIT (EXEMPTIONS) <i>v.</i> MUMBAI METROPOLITAN REGION DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY (Bom) . . .	166
—Registration of trusts—Effect of section 12AA—Application for registration—Application not disposed of within six months—Registration cannot be deemed to be granted—Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 12AA—	
CIT (EXEMPTIONS) <i>v.</i> ADDOR FOUNDATION (Guj) . . .	516

2020]	GENERAL INDEX	vii
		PAGE
	Company —Capital gains—Winding up—Diminution in value of investment made by assessee in company in liquidation—Whether capital loss—CIT (LTU) <i>v.</i> ABB LTD. (Karn) . . .	677
	—Computation of book profits under section 115J—Accounts certified under Companies Act—Assessing Officer has limited power to make changes—Prior period expenditure not deductible—Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 115JB—CIT <i>v.</i> GMR INDUSTRIES LTD. (Karn) . . .	504
	—Computation of book profits under section 115JA—Difference between provision and ascertained liability—Provision for purchase tax, purchase tax on cane subsidy and wealth-tax—No proof that scientific method followed in making provision—Amounts to be added to book profits of assessee—Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 115JA—EID PARRY (INDIA) LIMITED <i>v.</i> ASST. CIT (Mad) . . .	508
	Computation of book profits —Computation of book profits under section 115J—Company—Accounts certified under Companies Act—Assessing Officer has limited power to make changes—Prior period expenditure not deductible—CIT <i>v.</i> GMR INDUSTRIES LTD. (Karn) . . .	504
	—Computation of book profits under section 115JA—Company—Difference between provision and ascertained liability—Provision for purchase tax, purchase tax on cane subsidy and wealth-tax—No proof that scientific method followed in making provision—Amounts to be added to book profits of assessee—EID PARRY (INDIA) LIMITED <i>v.</i> ASST. CIT (Mad) . . .	508
	Computation of interest —Advance tax—Interest for default in payment of advance tax—Assessee paying four instalments of advance tax prior to search and seizure and communication sent to adjust advance tax against cash seized during search—Date of communication to be taken as date of payment of advance tax—MARBLE CENTRE INTERNATIONAL P. LTD. <i>v.</i> ASST. CIT (Karn) . . .	654
	Computation of profits —Exemption—Export—Common expenditure for eligible and non-eligible units—Expenditure to be apportioned—VARDHMAN HOLDINGS LTD. <i>v.</i> CIT (P&H) . . .	253
	—Export—Special deduction under section 80HHC—Computation of profits for purposes of section 80HHC—Effect of <i>Explanation (baa)</i> —Depreciation not covered by <i>Explanation</i> —VARDHMAN HOLDINGS LTD. <i>v.</i> CIT (P&H) . . .	253
	Concealment of income —See OFFENCES AND PROSECUTION . . .	158

<p>Deduction of tax at source—Non-resident—Taxability in India—Income deemed to accrue or arise in India—Fees for technical services—Exceptions—Assessee merely prospecting for business but not establishing any business—Services rendered by foreign company in nature of consultancy and services not utilised in business or profession—Amounts paid not within purview of exception provided in section 9(1)(vii)(b)—Amounts taxable in India and liable to deduction of tax at source—SHRIRAM CAPITAL LIMITED (NO. 2) <i>v.</i> DIT (INTERNATIONAL TAXATION) (Mad) . . .</p> <p>—Payment for services liable to deduction of tax at source—Non-resident—Taxability in India—Income deemed to accrue or arise in India—Payments made for expert services of non-resident law firm for proposed establishment of business abroad—Services not availed of for any existing business abroad—Services of nature of consultancy services—Not falling within exception provided under section 9(1)(vii)(b)—SHRIRAM CAPITAL LIMITED (NO. 1) <i>v.</i> DIT (INTERNATIONAL TAXATION) (Mad) . . .</p> <p>—Penalty—Failure to deposit tax deducted at source—Financial stringency not relevant—Levy of penalty justified—Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 201(1), (1A)—KBR INFRA TECH LTD. <i>v.</i> ASST. CIT (Karn) . . .</p> <p>Deduction only on actual payment—Business expenditure—Change of law—Amendment bringing liability to pay leave encashment to employees under provision—Valid—Liberty to assessee to follow mercantile system of accounting—Does not mean deduction against accrued liability cannot be regulated by law prospectively—Provision does not reverse nature of liability nor take away deduction—No limitation upon Legislature to include only particular type of deductions in section 43B—Other deductions specified in section also present and accrued liabilities—Court declaring liability to leave encashment an accrued liability—Contention that clause (f) enacted to defeat judgment of court not tenable—UNION OF INDIA <i>v.</i> EXIDE INDUSTRIES LIMITED (SC) . . .</p> <p>Deemed dividend—Loan to shareholder—Exceptions—Finding by Tribunal that money lending formed substantial part of business of companies—Loan not assessable as deemed dividend—PRINCIPAL CIT <i>v.</i> MOHAN BHAGWATPRASAD AGRAWAL (Guj) . . .</p> <p>Disallowance—See INCOME . . .</p> <p>Dividend—Deemed dividend—Loan to shareholder—Exceptions—Finding by Tribunal that money lending formed substantial part of business of companies—Loan not assessable as deemed dividend—Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 2(22)(e)—PRINCIPAL CIT <i>v.</i> MOHAN BHAGWATPRASAD AGRAWAL (Guj) . . .</p>	<p>628</p> <p>207</p> <p>268</p> <p>1</p> <p>119</p> <p>700</p> <p>119</p>
--	--

2020]	GENERAL INDEX	ix
		PAGE
	Draft assessment order —Limitation for filing objections before Dispute Resolution Panel—Draft assessment order served through electronic mode on an earlier date but assessee opting for manual proceedings—Limitation to be calculated from date of receipt of draft assessment order manually by assessee—Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 144C(2)—FCI OEN CONNECTORS LTD. <i>v.</i> DEPUTY CIT (Ker) . . .	128
	Exemption —Capital gains—Profits from sale of agricultural land—Meaning of agricultural land—Conditions laid down in section 2(14) for treating agricultural land as non-agricultural—One of the conditions satisfied—Land in village within municipality—Village having population less than specified ten thousand—Land was agricultural—Profits from sale of land entitled to exemption—PRINCIPAL CIT <i>v.</i> ANTHONY JOHN PEREIRA (Bom) . . .	134
	—Charitable purpose—Assessee registered under section 12AA—Denial of exemption on ground that activity of import and distribution of raw material by assessee amounted to commercial activity—Factual matrix to be examined in greater depth by Tribunal—Matter remanded to Tribunal—TAMIL NADU LEATHER TANNERS EXPORTERS IMPORTERS ASSOCIATION <i>v.</i> DEPUTY DIT (EXEMPTIONS) (Mad) . . .	63
	—Export—Computation of profits—Common expenditure for eligible and non-eligible units—Expenditure to be apportioned—Income-tax Act, 1961—VARDHMAN HOLDINGS LTD. <i>v.</i> CIT (P&H) . . .	253
	—Export—Scope of section 10B—Interest on delayed payment for goods exported and goods sold locally—Exemption available only for interest on delayed payment for exports—Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 10B—VARDHMAN HOLDINGS LTD. <i>v.</i> CIT (P&H) . . .	253
	Export —Exemption—Computation of profits—Common expenditure for eligible and non-eligible units—Expenditure to be apportioned—VARDHMAN HOLDINGS LTD. <i>v.</i> CIT (P&H) . . .	253
	—Exemption—Scope of section 10B—Interest on delayed payment for goods exported and goods sold locally—Exemption available only for interest on delayed payment for exports—VARDHMAN HOLDINGS LTD. <i>v.</i> CIT (P&H) . . .	253
	—Special deduction—Assessee engaged in business of providing services to foreign buyers of Indian marine products—Assessee to locate source of supply of merchandise and inform principals, make available analysis of seafood supply situation and prices, keep foreign principals informed of trends in market and negotiate and finalise prices—Activity essentially of procuring agent and all other services incidental to main functioning as agent against payment of commission—No agreement for specific payment referable to analysis or technical guidance or advice—No	

x	INCOME TAX REPORTS	[VOL. 425
		PAGE
Export (Contd.)—		
professional services or information to justify deduction—Services as agent rendered in India—Assessee not entitled to claim deduction—Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 80-O —Circular No. 187, dated 23-12-1975—Circular No. 253, dated 30-4-1979—Circular No. 700, dated 23-3-1995—Circular No. 621, dated 19-12-1991—RAMNATH AND CO. v. CIT (SC) . . .		337
—Special deduction—Eligibility—Activity of assessee of rendering service from India to its principals in foreign country must answer description in provision—Activity must strictly conform to requirements of section—Once eligibility decided in favour of assessee, provision can be construed liberally in regard to other requirements—Burden on assessee to prove applicability of provision to his case—Clarification in circular that once service is rendered “from India”, even if its ultimate use by foreign enterprise occurs in India, deduction allowable—Effect—Does not do away with basic requirements as to nature of service to qualify for deduction—Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 80-O—Circular No. 700, dated 23-3-1995—RAMNATH AND CO. v. CIT (SC) . . .		337
—Special deduction—Export turnover—Definition—Fluctuation in foreign exchange rates—Surplus receipt owing to difference between Indian currency equivalent at time of raising invoices and at time of realization—Part of export turnover and total turnover—Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 80HHC(2)—ISPAT PROJECTS LTD. v. CIT (Cal) . . .		459
—Special deduction—Export turnover—Fluctuation in foreign exchange rates—Surplus receipt owing to difference between Indian currency equivalent at time of raising invoices and at time of realization—Assessee’s application, taking alternative contention, for treatment of surplus amount as export turnover for assessment years 1993-94 and 1994-95—Contention of assessee that appropriate approvals obtained from Reserve Bank of India for earlier receipt of instalment of payment from foreign buyer—Matter remanded to Tribunal—Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 80HHC(2)—ISPAT PROJECTS LTD. v. CIT (Cal) . . .		459
—Special deduction under section 80HHC—Computation of profits for purposes of section 80HHC—Effect of <i>Explanation (baa)</i> —Depreciation not covered by <i>Explanation</i> —Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 80HHC—VARDHMAN HOLDINGS LTD. v. CIT (P&H) . . .		253
Export turnover —Special deduction—Definition—Fluctuation in foreign exchange rates—Surplus receipt owing to difference between Indian currency equivalent at time of raising invoices and at time of realization—Part of export turnover and total turnover—ISPAT PROJECTS LTD. v. CIT (Cal) . . .		459

2020]	GENERAL INDEX	xi
		PAGE
	Export turnover (<i>Contd.</i>)—	
	—Special deduction—Fluctuation in foreign exchange rates—Surplus receipt owing to difference between Indian currency equivalent at time of raising invoices and at time of realization—Assessee's application, taking alternative contention, for treatment of surplus amount as export turnover for assessment years 1993-94 and 1994-95—Contention of assessee that appropriate approvals obtained from Reserve Bank of India for earlier receipt of instalment of payment from foreign buyer—Matter remanded to Tribunal— ISPAT PROJECTS LTD. v. CIT	
	(Cal) . . .	459
	Fees for technical services —Non-resident—Taxability in India—Income deemed to accrue or arise in India—Exceptions—Assessee merely prospecting for business but not establishing any business—Services rendered by foreign company in nature of consultancy and services not utilised in business or profession—Amounts paid not within purview of exception provided in section 9(1)(vii)(b)—Amounts taxable in India and liable to deduction of tax at source— SHRIRAM CAPITAL LIMITED (No. 2) v. DIT (INTERNATIONAL TAXATION)	
	(Mad) . . .	628
	Fluctuation in foreign exchange rates —Export—Special deduction—Export turnover—Definition—Surplus receipt owing to difference between Indian currency equivalent at time of raising invoices and at time of realization—Part of export turnover and total turnover— ISPAT PROJECTS LTD. v. CIT	
	(Cal) . . .	459
	—Export—Special deduction—Export turnover—Surplus receipt owing to difference between Indian currency equivalent at time of raising invoices and at time of realization—Assessee's application, taking alternative contention, for treatment of surplus amount as export turnover for assessment years 1993-94 and 1994-95—Contention of assessee that appropriate approvals obtained from Reserve Bank of India for earlier receipt of instalment of payment from foreign buyer—Matter remanded to Tribunal— ISPAT PROJECTS LTD. v. CIT	
	(Cal) . . .	459
	Housing projects —Special deduction—Law applicable—Effect of insertion of clauses (e) and (f) in section 80-IB(10) with effect from 1-4-2010—Restriction of benefit—Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 80-IB(10)— ROYAL INFRASTRUCTURE v. DEPUTY CIT	
	(Guj) . . .	491
	Income —Disallowance of expenditure in relation to exempted income—No claim by assessee of exempt income—No disallowance could be made under section 14A—Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 14A—Income-tax Rules, 1962, r. 8D(2)(ii)— PRINCIPAL CIT v. KOHINOOR PROJECT PVT. LTD.	
	(Bom) . . .	700

<p>Income deemed to accrue or arise in India—Non-resident—Assessee, a committee formed by Cricket Control Boards of India, Pakistan and Sri Lanka for conducting world cup cricket tournament in these countries for 1996—Bank accounts opened by assessee in London—Guarantee money paid from London account to non-resident sports associations of participating countries—Cricket teams of these associations playing various matches in India during tournament—Guarantee money intricately connected with event and source of income was playing of matches in India—Proportion of payments to non-resident sports associations bearing same ratio as number of matches played by each such country in India to total number of matches played by each country in tournament, represented income which accrued or arose or was deemed to have accrued or arisen in India—Assessee liable to deduct tax at source thereon—Obligation to deduct tax at source not affected by Double Taxation Avoidance Agreement—Order treating assessee as agent of non-resident boards and players under section 163 of Act—Proper—<i>PILCOM v. CIT</i> (SC) . . .</p> <p>—Non-resident—Taxability in India—Fees for technical services—Exceptions—Assessee merely prospecting for business but not establishing any business—Services rendered by foreign company in nature of consultancy and services not utilised in business or profession—Amounts paid not within purview of exception provided in section 9(1)(vii)(b)—Amounts taxable in India and liable to deduction of tax at source—<i>SHRIRAM CAPITAL LIMITED (NO. 2) v. DIT (INTERNATIONAL TAXATION)</i> (Mad) . . .</p> <p>—Non-resident—Taxability in India—Payments made for expert services of non-resident law firm for proposed establishment of business abroad—Services not availed of for any existing business abroad—Services of nature of consultancy services—Not falling within exception provided under section 9(1)(vii)(b)—Payment for services liable to deduction of tax at source—<i>SHRIRAM CAPITAL LIMITED (NO. 1) v. DIT (INTERNATIONAL TAXATION)</i> (Mad) . . .</p> <p>Income from other sources—Interest on borrowed capital—Difference between deductions under sections 36 and 57—Amount borrowed to purchase shares in order to expand business—Interest deductible under section 36—<i>B. NANJI AND CO. v. DEPUTY CIT</i> (Guj) . . .</p> <p>Income from undisclosed sources—Additions deleted by Tribunal based on facts—Justified—Income-tax Act, 1961, ss. 143(3), 292C—<i>PRINCIPAL CIT v. GHANSHYAM DUNGARBHAI SUTARIA</i> (Guj) . . .</p>	<p>312</p> <p>628</p> <p>207</p> <p>286</p> <p>601</p>
--	--

2020]	GENERAL INDEX	xiii
		PAGE
	Interest on borrowed capital —Income from other sources—Difference between deductions under sections 36 and 57—Amount borrowed to purchase shares in order to expand business—Interest deductible under section 36—Income-tax Act, 1961, ss. 36(1)(iii), 57(iii)— <i>B. NANJI AND CO. v. DEPUTY CIT</i> (Guj) . . .	286
	Interpretation of taxing statutes —Charge of tax—Clear words necessary— <i>KEMFIN SERVICES PVT. LTD. v. ASST. CIT</i> (Karn) . . .	684
	—Deductions—Exemption notifications to be interpreted strictly—Burden of proving their applicability is on assessee—In case of ambiguity, provision subject to strict interpretation— <i>RAMNATH AND CO. v. CIT</i> (SC) . . .	337
	—Literal construction—Words—Dictionary meaning— <i>RAMNATH AND CO. v. CIT</i> (SC) . . .	337
	—Literal interpretation— <i>CIT (EXEMPTIONS) v. ADDOR FOUNDATION</i> (Guj) . . .	516
	—Validity of provisions—No ambiguity about legislative competence and import of enactment—Objects and reasons—No impact upon validity of provision unless provision ambiguous and possible interpretation violates Part III of Constitution—Unconscionability or arbitrariness must be shown to exist in form, substance or functioning of provision—Constitution of India, Part III— <i>UNION OF INDIA v. EXIDE INDUSTRIES LIMITED</i> (SC) . . .	1
	Jurisdiction —Settlement of cases—Settlement Commission—Application for settlement of cases—Admission of application—Amendment in law—Payment of additional tax on additional amount disclosed within time prescribed—Settlement Commission has jurisdiction to proceed with application filed by assessee— <i>CIT v. ITSC</i> (Mad) . . .	568
	Legislative powers —Parliament—Discrimination—Taxing statutes—Legislature has larger discretion—Constitution of India, art. 14— <i>UNION OF INDIA v. EXIDE INDUSTRIES LIMITED</i> (SC) . . .	1
	—Parliament—Enactment invalidated by court—Legislature free to diagnose law and alter invalid elements—Does not mean Legislature declares opinion of court invalid— <i>UNION OF INDIA v. EXIDE INDUSTRIES LIMITED</i> (SC) . . .	1
	—Parliament—Validity of provision—Presumption of validity—Objects and reasons—Non-disclosure—Does not render provision invalid— <i>UNION OF INDIA v. EXIDE INDUSTRIES LIMITED</i> (SC) . . .	1

	PAGE
Method of accounting —Method of accounting consistently followed and accepted by Revenue—Rejection of accounts—No finding of distortion in profits—Method cannot be rejected— <i>CIT v. BANJARA DEVELOPERS AND CONSTRUCTIONS PVT. LTD.</i> (Karn) . . .	673
Monetary limits for appeals by Department —Appeals to Appellate Tribunal, High Court and Supreme Court—Effect of Circular No. 3 of 2018—Monetary limit raised with effect from 11-7-2018— <i>CIT, LTU v. BOSCH LIMITED</i> (Karn) . . .	667
Non-banking financial institution —Capital gains or business income—Conversion of shares and securities held as stock-in-trade into investment—Sale of shares—No provision at time of transaction for treating income from sale of shares as business income—Income could not be taxed as business income— <i>KEMFIN SERVICES PVT. LTD. v. ASST. CIT</i> (Karn) . . .	684
Non-compete fee —Business income—Assessee chairman and managing director of company with 35 years experience in liquor business—Sale of controlling interest in company by assessee—Deed placing restrictive covenant on assessee not to compete—Whether sum received for restrictive covenant realistic payment on account of non-compete fee—Not for Department to decide questions of commercial expediency—Finding that payment actually related to sale of shares—Not sustainable— <i>SHIV RAJ GUPTA v. CIT</i> (SC) . . .	420
—Business income—Provision brought with effect from 1-4-2003 making non-compete fee taxable—Provision not applicable retrospectively— <i>SHIV RAJ GUPTA v. CIT</i> (SC) . . .	420
Non-resident —Income deemed to accrue or arise in India—Assessee, a committee formed by Cricket Control Boards of India, Pakistan and Sri Lanka for conducting world cup cricket tournament in these countries for 1996—Bank accounts opened by assessee in London—Guarantee money paid from London account to non-resident sports associations of participating countries—Cricket teams of these associations playing various matches in India during tournament—Guarantee money intricately connected with event and source of income was playing of matches in India—Proportion of payments to non-resident sports associations bearing same ratio as number of matches played by each such country in India to total number of matches played by each country in tournament, represented income which accrued or arose or was deemed to have accrued or arisen in India—Assessee liable to deduct tax at source thereon—Obligation to deduct tax at source not affected by Double Taxation Avoidance Agreement—Order treating assessee as agent of non-resident boards and players under section 163 of Act—	

2020]	GENERAL INDEX	xv
	Non-resident (Contd.)—	PAGE
	Proper—Income-tax Act, 1961, ss. 2(24)(ix), 5(2), 9(1), 115BBA, 163, 194E—PILCOM <i>v.</i> CIT (SC) . . .	312
	—Taxability in India—Income deemed to accrue or arise in India—Fees for technical services—Exceptions—Assessee merely prospecting for business but not establishing any business—Services rendered by foreign company in nature of consultancy and services not utilised in business or profession—Amounts paid not within purview of exception provided in section 9(1)(vii)(b)—Amounts taxable in India and liable to deduction of tax at source—Income-tax Act, 1961, ss. 9(1)(vii)(b), 195—Double Taxation Avoidance Agreement between India and the United Kingdom, art. 13—SHRIRAM CAPITAL LIMITED (NO. 2) <i>v.</i> DIT (INTERNATIONAL TAXATION) (Mad) . . .	628
	—Taxability in India—Income deemed to accrue or arise in India—Payments made for expert services of non-resident law firm for proposed establishment of business abroad—Services not availed of for any existing business abroad—Services of nature of consultancy services—Not falling within exception provided under section 9(1)(vii)(b)—Payment for services liable to deduction of tax at source—Income-tax Act, 1961, ss. 9(1)(vii)(b), 195—Double Taxation Avoidance Agreement between India and Indonesia—SHRIRAM CAPITAL LIMITED (NO. 1) <i>v.</i> DIT (INTERNATIONAL TAXATION) (Mad) . . .	207
	—Taxability in India—Meaning of “permanent establishment”—Company in Mauritius engaged in telecasting sports events—Agreement with Indian company for exhibition of telecasts in India—Finding that agreement was on principal to principal basis—Indian company did not constitute permanent establishment of foreign company—Income earned not assessable in India—Double Taxation Avoidance Agreement between Mauritius and India, art. 5—CIT (INTERNATIONAL TAXATION) <i>v.</i> TAJ TV LTD. (Bom) . . .	141
	—Taxability in India—Permanent establishment—Assessee, a company incorporated in United Arab Emirates, offering remittance services for transferring funds from UAE to India—Funds collected from non-resident Indian remitter by assessee in UAE charging one-time fee—Funds remitted on behalf of non-resident Indian customer by sending instruments or cheques through liaison offices to beneficiaries in India—Particulars of remittances downloaded by liaison office in India by accessing main servers of assessee in UAE—Cheques or drafts drawn on banks in India printed out and couriered or dispatched to beneficiaries in India, in accordance with instructions of non-resident Indian remitter—Activities of liaison offices of preparatory or auxiliary character—Transactions completed with remitters in UAE—No permission given by Reserve Bank of India to assessee to engage in primary	

xvi

INCOME TAX REPORTS

[VOL. 425]

Non-resident (Contd.)—

PAGE

business activity and establish a business connection—Liaison office in India would not qualify as permanent establishment—No income earned by liaison office in India—Even if activities of liaison office regarded as business activity, they were “of preparatory or auxiliary character” and not amenable to tax liability—Income-tax Act, 1961, ss. 2(13), (24), 5(2), 9(1)(i), *Expln.* 2—Double Taxation Avoidance Agreement between India and United Arab Emirates, arts. 5, 7—**UNION OF INDIA v. U. A. E. EXCHANGE CENTRE** (SC) . . . 30

Notice—Defect in notice—Search and seizure—Notice under section 153C misquoting it as section 153A—No prejudice caused to assessee because of mistake—Effect of section 292B—Defect would not invalidate notice—Assessee participating in assessment proceedings—Assessment proceedings valid—**K. M. NAGARAJ v. DEPUTY CIT** (Karn) . . . 533

—See **REASSESSMENT** 70, 79, 103, 162, 177, 261, 450, 475, 491, 538, 613

Offences and prosecution—Concealment of income—Penalty with regard to same allegations deleted—Prosecution under sections 276C and 277 not valid—Income-tax Act, 1961, ss. 276, 276C, 277—**SYSTEM INDIA CASTINGS v. PRINCIPAL CIT** (Chhattisgarh) . . . 158

—Evasion of tax—Assessee’s appeal against assessment pending before statutory authority—Writ petition—Criminal proceedings to be kept in abeyance till decision in statutory appeal—Income-tax act, 1961, s. 276C(1)—Constitution of India, art. 227—**BEAVER ESTATES PVT. LTD. v. ASST. CIT** (Ker) . . . 99

Parliament—Legislative powers—Discrimination—Taxing statutes—Legislature has larger discretion—**UNION OF INDIA v. EXIDE INDUSTRIES LIMITED** (SC) . . . 1

—Legislative powers—Enactment invalidated by court—Legislature free to diagnose law and alter invalid elements—Does not mean Legislature declares opinion of court invalid—**UNION OF INDIA v. EXIDE INDUSTRIES LIMITED** (SC) . . . 1

—Legislative powers—Validity of provision—Presumption of validity—Objects and reasons—Non-disclosure—Does not render provision invalid—**UNION OF INDIA v. EXIDE INDUSTRIES LIMITED** (SC) . . . 1

Penalty—Deduction of tax at source—Failure to deposit tax deducted at source—Financial stringency not relevant—Levy of penalty justified—**KBR INFRATECH LTD. v. ASST. CIT** (Karn) . . . 268

Permanent establishment—Non-resident—Taxability in India—Assessee, a company incorporated in United Arab Emirates, offering remittance services for transferring funds from UAE to India—Funds

2020]	GENERAL INDEX	xvii
	Permanent establishment (<i>Contd.</i>)—	PAGE
	collected from non-resident Indian remitter by assessee in UAE charging one-time fee—Funds remitted on behalf of non-resident Indian customer by sending instruments or cheques through liaison offices to beneficiaries in India—Particulars of remittances downloaded by liaison office in India by accessing main servers of assessee in UAE—Cheques or drafts drawn on banks in India printed out and couriered or dispatched to beneficiaries in India, in accordance with instructions of non-resident Indian remitter—Activities of liaison offices of preparatory or auxiliary character—Transactions completed with remitters in UAE—No permission given by Reserve Bank of India to assessee to engage in primary business activity and establish a business connection—Liaison office in India would not qualify as permanent establishment—No income earned by liaison office in India—Even if activities of liaison office regarded as business activity, they were “of preparatory or auxiliary character” and not amenable to tax liability—UNION OF INDIA <i>v.</i> U. A. E. EXCHANGE CENTRE (SC) . . .	30
	Powers of Assessing Officer —Powers of Assessing Officer to withhold refund—Refund—Scope of section 241A—Department withholding refund of assessment year 2014-15—Provisions not attracted to refund of assessment year 2014-15 or any assessment year prior to assessment year 2017-18—Income-tax Act, 1961, ss. 143(1), 237, 241A—VODAFONE IDEA LIMITED <i>v.</i> ASST. CIT (Bom) . . .	691
	Powers of Commissioner —Revision—Assessing Officer adopting one of two possible views—Commissioner cannot set aside order—CIT <i>v.</i> A. R. BUILDERS AND DEVELOPERS P. LTD. (Mad) . . .	272
	Powers of court —Appeal to High Court—Substantial question of law—Duty of court to record its satisfaction and formulate substantial question of law involved in appeal—Power to decide question not determined by Appellate Tribunal—Question formulated for decision whether receipt taxable under section 28(ii)(a)—High Court without recording reasons or framing question on whether amount could be taxed under any other provision, holding sum taxable as capital gains—Not sustainable—SHIV RAJ GUPTA <i>v.</i> CIT (SC) . . .	420
	Powers of Tribunal —Appeal to Appellate Tribunal—Rectification of mistakes—Time limit—Effect of section 254 and rule 24—Application for recall of order within limitation—Order of recall beyond period of limitation—Valid—Principal CIT <i>v.</i> ITAT (Bom) . . .	581
	Precedent —Effect of decision of Supreme Court in <i>Gautam Khaitan</i> [2020] 420 ITR 140 (SC)—ANILA RASIKLAL MEHTA <i>v.</i> UNION OF INDIA (Bom) . . .	545

xviii	INCOME TAX REPORTS	[VOL. 425
		PAGE
	Precedent (<i>Contd.</i>)—	
	—Effect of Supreme Court decision in <i>Bilahari Investments'</i> case— CIT <i>v.</i> BANJARA DEVELOPERS AND CONSTRUCTIONS PVT. LTD.	
	(Karn) . . .	673
	Profits from sale of agricultural land —Capital gains—Exemption— Meaning of agricultural land—Conditions laid down in section 2(14) for treating agricultural land as non-agricultural—One of the conditions satisfied—Land in village within municipality—Village having population less than specified ten thousand—Land was agricultural—Profits from sale of land entitled to exemption—PRINCIPAL CIT <i>v.</i> ANTHONY JOHN PEREIRA	(Bom) . . .
		134
	Reassessment —Abatement of proceedings—Order of High Court staying final order on reassessment—Reassessment proceedings did not abate—Notice under section 143(2) valid—DEVENDRA KUMAR SINGH <i>v.</i> ASST. CIT	(Delhi) . . .
		222
	—Notice—Validity—Change of opinion—Not basis for notice— Deduction claimed and explanation offered in response to enquiry— Deduction granted in original assessment—Notice of reassessment to withdraw deduction—Not valid—Income-tax Act, 1961, ss. 147, 148— MARICO LTD. <i>v.</i> ASST. CIT	(Bom) . . .
		177
	—Notice—Validity—Officer recording reasons and issuing notice must be the jurisdictional Assessing Officer—Reasons recorded by jurisdic- tional Assessing Officer but notice issued by Officer who did not have jurisdiction over assessee—Defect not curable under section 292B—Notice and consequential proceedings and order invalid—Income-tax Act, 1961, ss. 147, 148, 292B—PANKAJBHAI JAYSUKHLAL SHAH <i>v.</i> ASST. CIT	(Guj) . . .
		70
	—Notice—Validity—Reopening on ground income escaped assess- ment on account of long-term capital gains—Improvement cost on land duly substantiated and examined by Assessing Officer in original assess- ment—Reopening cannot be permitted—Notice quashed—Income-tax Act, 1961, ss. 45, 147, 148—NIRANJAN CHIMANLAL JANI <i>v.</i> DEPUTY CIT	(Guj) . . .
		162
	—Notice after four years—Failure to disclose material facts necessary for assessment—Scrutiny assessment allowing deduction under section 80-IB(10)—Form of return not requiring specification of persons men- tioned in clauses (e) and (f) of section 80-IB(10)—Assessing Officer not examining facts from point of view of clauses (e) and (f) of section 80- IB(10)—Notice not valid—Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 80-IB(10)—ROYAL INFRASTRUCTURE <i>v.</i> DEPUTY CIT	(Guj) . . .
		491

2020]	GENERAL INDEX	xix
	Reassessment (<i>Contd.</i>)—	PAGE
	—Notice after four years—Notice issued to disallow special deduction under section 80-IB on ground activity carried out by assessee not “manufacture”—Issue considered in original assessment—Central Excise Department also accepting activity of assessee fell under definition of “manufacture”—No failure to disclose all material facts truly and fully—Reassessment based on report of Assistant Commissioner on commission issued under section 131(1)(d) on mere change of opinion—Impermissible—Income-tax Act, 1961, ss. 2(29BA), 131(1)(d), 147, <i>proviso</i> , 148—Central Excise Act, 1944—INTERNATIONAL FLAVOURS AND FRAGRANCES INDIA PRIVATE LIMITED <i>v.</i> DEPUTY CIT (LTU) (Mad) . . .	450
	—Notice after four years—Validity—Failure to disclose material facts necessary for assessment—Finding that there was no such failure—Notice not valid—Income-tax Act, 1961, ss. 147, 148—B. KASI VISWANATHAN <i>v.</i> ITO (Mad) . . .	538
	—Notice after four years—Validity—Failure to disclose material facts necessary for assessment—Mere assertion that there was such failure not sufficient—No details regarding such failure—Notice not valid—Income-tax Act, 1961, ss. 147, 148—ANAND DEVELOPERS <i>v.</i> ASST. CIT (Bom) . . .	261
	—Notice after four years—Validity—Failure to disclose material facts necessary for assessment—No evidence of such failure—Notice not valid—Income-tax Act, 1961, ss. 147, 148—ARUN MUNSHAW HUF <i>v.</i> ITO (Guj) . . .	79
	—Notice after four years—Validity—Failure to disclose material facts necessary for assessment—Original assessment order passed after enquiry under sections 142(1) and 143(2)—No evidence of failure to disclose material facts necessary for assessment—Notice not valid—Income-tax Act, 1961, ss. 142, 143, 147, 148—ASIAN TUBES PVT. LTD. <i>v.</i> DEPUTY CIT (Guj) . . .	613
	—Notice after four years—Validity—Failure to disclose material facts necessary for assessment—Primary facts disclosed—Assessing Officer not making appropriate calculation—Notice not valid—Income-tax Act, 1961, ss. 147, 148—CITY UNION BANK LIMITED <i>v.</i> ASST. CIT (Mad) . . .	475
	—Notice after four years—Validity—Failure to disclose material facts necessary for assessment—Scrutiny assessment—No new material—Audit objections not accepted by Assessing Officer—Belief by Assessing Officer that income chargeable to tax has escaped assessment not his own—Notice not valid—Income-tax Act, 1961, ss. 147, 148—	

xx	INCOME TAX REPORTS	[VOL. 425
	Reassessment (<i>Contd.</i>)—	PAGE
	KAKARIA HOUSING AND INFRASTRUCTURE LTD. <i>v.</i> DEPUTY CIT (Guj) . . .	103
	—Writ—Notice of reassessment issued without jurisdiction—Existence of alternate remedy would not bar issue of writ to quash notice—Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 148—Constitution of India, art. 226—CITY UNION BANK LIMITED <i>v.</i> ASST. CIT (Mad) . . .	475
	Recovery of tax —Attachment and sale of property—Properties settled on trust for grandchildren by S—Recovery proceedings against son of S under section 179—Properties settled on trust cannot be attached—Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 179—RAJESH T. SHAH <i>v.</i> TRO (Bom) . . .	443
	Rectification of mistake —Appeal to Appellate Tribunal—Powers of Tribunal—Time limit—Effect of section 254 and rule 24—Application for recall of order within limitation—Order of recall beyond period of limitation—Valid—PRINCIPAL CIT <i>v.</i> ITAT (Bom) . . .	581
	—Application for rectification—Demands raised when application for rectification pending before jurisdictional Assessing Officer—Writ petition—Directions for disposal of rectification application and stay of demand until such disposal—Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 154—Constitution of India, art. 226—PAIVA MANUFACTURING CO. <i>v.</i> ITO (Ker) . . .	640
	—Scope of section 154—Only obvious mistakes can be corrected—Difference between section 154 and section 139(5)—Claim for deduction not made in original return and no revised return filed—Claim requiring investigation—Deduction cannot be granted under section 154—Income-tax Act, 1961, ss. 139, 154—NAGARAJ AND COMPANY PVT. LTD. <i>v.</i> ASST. CIT (Mad) . . .	412
	Refund —Adjustment of refund—Assessment—Order of refund attaining finality in view of Supreme Court judgment and assessment order passed—Department cannot adjust admitted refund against future dues yet to be adjudicated—Assessee entitled to admitted amount of refund—VODAFONE IDEA LIMITED <i>v.</i> ASST. CIT (Bom) . . .	691
	—Duty of Assessing Officer—Notice under section 143(2) does not prevent Assessing Officer from processing return—Assessing Officer must apply his mind and decide whether refund can be given—Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 143(2)—ERICSSON INDIA PRIVATE LIMITED <i>v.</i> ADDL. CIT (Delhi) . . .	186
	—Scope of section 241A—Powers of Assessing Officer to withhold refund—Department withholding refund of assessment year 2014-15—Provisions not attracted to refund of assessment year 2014-15 or any assessment year prior to assessment year 2017-18—Income-tax Act, 1961,	

2020]	GENERAL INDEX	xxi
		PAGE
Refund (<i>Contd.</i>)—		
ss. 143(1), 237, 241A—VODAFONE IDEA LIMITED <i>v.</i> ASST. CIT (Bom) . . .		691
—Withholding of refund—Discretion of Assessing Officer—No processing of return under section 143(1) and no notice under section 143(2)—Refund cannot be withheld—Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 143—TATA COMMUNICATIONS LIMITED <i>v.</i> DEPUTY CIT (Bom) . . .		279
Rejection of accounts —Accounting—Method of accounting consistently followed and accepted by Revenue—No finding of distortion in profits—Method cannot be rejected—CIT <i>v.</i> BANJARA DEVELOPERS AND CONSTRUCTIONS PVT. LTD. (Karn) . . .		673
Revision —Powers of Commissioner—Assessing Officer adopting one of two possible views—Commissioner cannot set aside order—Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 263—CIT <i>v.</i> A. R. BUILDERS AND DEVELOPERS P. LTD. (Mad) . . .		272
Sale of shares —Capital gains or business income—Non-banking financial institution—Conversion of shares and securities held as stock-in-trade into investment—No provision at time of transaction for treating income from sale of shares as business income—Income could not be taxed as business income—KEMFIN SERVICES PVT. LTD. <i>v.</i> ASST. CIT (Karn) . . .		684
Scrutiny assessment —Reassessment—Notice after four years—Failure to disclose material facts necessary for assessment—Scrutiny assessment allowing deduction under section 80-IB(10)—Form of return not requiring specification of persons mentioned in clauses (e) and (f) of section 80-IB(10)—Assessing Officer not examining facts from point of view of clauses (e) and (f) of section 80-IB(10)—Notice not valid—ROYAL INFRASTRUCTURE <i>v.</i> DEPUTY CIT (Guj) . . .		491
—Reassessment—Notice after four years—Validity—Failure to disclose material facts necessary for assessment—No new material—Audit objections not accepted by Assessing Officer—Belief by Assessing Officer that income chargeable to tax has escaped assessment not his own—Notice not valid—KAKARIA HOUSING AND INFRASTRUCTURE LTD. <i>v.</i> DEPUTY CIT (Guj) . . .		103
Search and seizure —Notice—Defect in notice—Notice under section 153C misquoting it as section 153A—No prejudice caused to assessee because of mistake—Effect of section 292B—Defect would not invalidate notice—Assessee participating in assessment proceedings—Assessment proceedings valid—Income-tax Act, 1961, ss. 153A, 153C, 292B—K. M. NAGARAJ <i>v.</i> DEPUTY CIT (Karn) . . .		533

	PAGE
<p>Securities transaction tax—Stock exchange—Duty only to ensure tax collected, determined in accordance with Act and Rules and that amount collected deposited with Central Government—Stock exchange cannot collect securities transaction tax beyond client code—Addition to income of stock exchange on the ground that higher securities transaction tax ought to have been collected—Not justified—Income-tax Act, 1961—Securities Transaction Tax Act, 2004—PRINCIPAL CIT <i>v.</i> NATIONAL STOCK EXCHANGE (Bom) . . .</p>	588
<p>Settlement Commission—Settlement of cases—Jurisdiction—Application for settlement of cases—Admission of application—Amendment in law—Payment of additional tax on additional amount disclosed within time prescribed—Settlement Commission has jurisdiction to proceed with application filed by assessee—CIT <i>v.</i> ITSC (Mad) . . .</p>	568
<p>Settlement of cases—Assessee not making true and full disclosure—Discrepancies in application submitted by assessee not considered by Settlement Commission—Failure to consider report filed by Department—Matter remanded to Settlement Commission for disposal afresh—Income-tax Act, 1961, ss. 245C(1), 245D—Income-tax Settlement Commission (Procedure) Rules, 1997, r. 9—CIT <i>v.</i> ITSC (Mad) . . .</p>	568
<p>—Condition precedent—Full and true disclosure of undisclosed income—Income offered in application for settlement—Additional income offered during proceedings before Settlement Commission—No new source of income—Offer in order to avoid controversy—Acceptance of offer and passing of order by Settlement Commission—Justified—Income-tax Act, 1961, s. 245C—PRINCIPAL CIT <i>v.</i> SHANKARLAL NEBHUMAL UTTAMCHANDANI (Guj) . . .</p>	235
<p>—Settlement Commission—Jurisdiction—Application for settlement of cases—Admission of application—Amendment in law—Payment of additional tax on additional amount disclosed within time prescribed—Settlement Commission has jurisdiction to proceed with application filed by assessee—Income-tax Act, 1961, ss. 245C(1), 245D(2A)—CIT <i>v.</i> ITSC (Mad) . . .</p>	568
<p>Special deduction—Export—Assessee engaged in business of providing services to foreign buyers of Indian marine products—Assessee to locate source of supply of merchandise and inform principals, make available analysis of seafood supply situation and prices, keep foreign principals informed of trends in market and negotiate and finalise prices—Activity essentially of procuring agent and all other services incidental to main functioning as agent against payment of commission—No agreement for specific payment referable to analysis or technical guidance or</p>	

2020]	GENERAL INDEX	xxiii
	Special deduction (<i>Contd.</i>)—	PAGE
	advice—No professional services or information to justify deduction— Services as agent rendered in India—Assessee not entitled to claim deduction—RAMNATH AND Co. v. CIT (SC) . . .	337
	—Export—Eligibility—Activity of assessee of rendering service from India to its principals in foreign country must answer description in pro- vision—Activity must strictly conform to requirements of section—Once eligibility decided in favour of assessee, provision can be construed lib- erally in regard to other requirements—Burden on assessee to prove applicability of provision to his case—Clarification in circular that once service is rendered “from India”, even if its ultimate use by foreign enter- prise occurs in India, deduction allowable—Effect—Does not do away with basic requirements as to nature of service to qualify for deduction— RAMNATH AND Co. v. CIT (SC) . . .	337
	—Export—Export turnover—Definition—Fluctuation in foreign exchange rates—Surplus receipt owing to difference between Indian cur- rency equivalent at time of raising invoices and at time of realization— Part of export turnover and total turnover—ISPAT PROJECTS LTD. v. CIT (Cal) . . .	459
	—Export—Export turnover—Fluctuation in foreign exchange rates—Surplus receipt owing to difference between Indian currency equivalent at time of raising invoices and at time of realization—Asses- see’s application, taking alternative contention, for treatment of surplus amount as export turnover for assessment years 1993-94 and 1994-95— Contention of assessee that appropriate approvals obtained from Reserve Bank of India for earlier receipt of instalment of payment from foreign buyer—Matter remanded to Tribunal—ISPAT PROJECTS LTD. v. CIT (Cal) . . .	459
	—Housing projects—Law applicable—Effect of insertion of clauses (e) and (f) in section 80-IB(10) with effect from 1-4-2010—Restriction of benefit—ROYAL INFRASTRUCTURE v. DEPUTY CIT (Guj) . . .	491
	—Special deduction under section 80HHC—Export—Computation of profits for purposes of section 80HHC—Effect of <i>Explanation (baa)</i> — Depreciation not covered by <i>Explanation</i> —VARDHMAN HOLDINGS LTD. v. CIT (P&H) . . .	253
	Stock exchange —Securities transaction tax—Duty only to ensure tax collected, determined in accordance with Act and Rules and that amount collected deposited with Central Government—Stock exchange cannot collect securities transaction tax beyond client code—Addition to income of stock exchange on the ground that higher securities transaction tax ought to have been collected—Not justified—Securities Transaction Tax	

xxiv	INCOME TAX REPORTS	[VOL. 425
	Stock exchange (<i>Contd.</i>)—	PAGE
	Act, 2004—PRINCIPAL CIT <i>v.</i> NATIONAL STOCK EXCHANGE	
	(Bom) . . .	588
	Undisclosed income —Full and true disclosure of undisclosed income—Settlement of cases—Condition precedent—Income offered in application for settlement—Additional income offered during proceedings before Settlement Commission—No new source of income—Offer in order to avoid controversy—Acceptance of offer and passing of order by Settlement Commission—Justified—PRINCIPAL CIT <i>v.</i> SHANKARLAL NEBHUMAL UTTAMCHANDANI (Guj) . . .	235
	Validity of provision —Legislative powers—Parliament—Presumption of validity—Objects and reasons—Non-disclosure—Does not render provision invalid—UNION OF INDIA <i>v.</i> EXIDE INDUSTRIES LIMITED (SC) . . .	1
	—Interpretation of taxing statutes—No ambiguity about legislative competence and import of enactment—Objects and reasons—No impact upon validity of provision unless provision ambiguous and possible interpretation violates Part III of Constitution—Unconscionability or arbitrariness must be shown to exist in form, substance or functioning of provision—UNION OF INDIA <i>v.</i> EXIDE INDUSTRIES LIMITED (SC) . . .	1
	Winding up —Capital gains—Company—Diminution in value of investment made by assessee in company in liquidation—Whether capital loss—CIT (LTU) <i>v.</i> ABB LTD. (Karn) . . .	677
	Withholding of refund —Discretion of Assessing Officer—No processing of return under section 143(1) and no notice under section 143(2)—Refund cannot be withheld—TATA COMMUNICATIONS LIMITED <i>v.</i> DEPUTY CIT (Bom) . . .	279
	Words and phrases	
	agricultural land . . .	134
	consultancy service . . .	207
	deemed . . .	516
	export turnover . . .	459
	managerial service . . .	207
	manufacture . . .	450
	means . . .	207
	permanent establishment . . .	141
	technical service . . .	207

2020]	GENERAL INDEX	xxv
		PAGE
	Writ —Reassessment—Notice of reassessment issued without jurisdiction—Existence of alternate remedy would not bar issue of writ to quash notice— <i>CITY UNION BANK LIMITED v. ASST. CIT</i> (Mad) . . .	475
	Writ petition —Rectification of mistake—Application for rectification—Demands raised when application for rectification pending before jurisdictional Assessing Officer—Directions for disposal of rectification application and stay of demand until such disposal— <i>PAIVA MANUFACTURING Co. v. ITO</i> (Ker) . . .	640
	—Offences and prosecution—Evasion of tax—Assessee's appeal against assessment pending before statutory authority—Criminal proceedings to be kept in abeyance till decision in statutory appeal— <i>BEAVER ESTATES PVT. LTD. v. ASST. CIT</i> (Ker) . . .	99

End of Volume 425

**THE
INCOME TAX REPORTS
VOLUME 425—2020**

SECTIONWISE INDEX

ACTS :

Companies Act, 1956 :

Parts II, III, Sch. VI—Book profits—Computation—Brought forward loss or unabsorbed depreciation—Provisions of Parts II and III of Schedule VI to Companies Act, 1956—Non-consideration of claim of assessee for deduction under section 10A—Matter remanded to Tribunal—**YOKOGAWA INDIA LTD. v. DEPUTY CIT (LTU)** (Karn) . . . 648

Constitution of India :

Art. 14—Legislative powers—Parliament—Discrimination—Taxing statutes—Legislature has larger discretion—**UNION OF INDIA v. EXIDE INDUSTRIES LIMITED** (SC) . . . 1

Art. 226—Reassessment—Writ—Notice of reassessment issued without jurisdiction—Existence of alternate remedy would not bar issue of writ to quash notice—**CITY UNION BANK LIMITED v. ASST. CIT (Mad)** . . . 475

—Rectification of mistake—Application for rectification—Demands raised when application for rectification pending before jurisdictional Assessing Officer—Writ petition—Directions for disposal of rectification application and stay of demand until such disposal—**PAIVA MANUFACTURING Co. v. ITO** (Ker) . . . 640

Art. 227—Offences and prosecution—Evasion of tax—Assessee's appeal against assessment pending before statutory authority—Writ petition—Criminal proceedings to be kept in abeyance till decision in statutory appeal—**BEAVER ESTATES PVT. LTD. v. ASST. CIT** (Ker) . . . 99

Part III—Interpretation of taxing statutes—Validity of provisions—No ambiguity about legislative competence and import of enactment—Objects and reasons—No impact upon validity of provision unless provision ambiguous and possible interpretation violates Part III of Constitution—Unconscionability or arbitrariness must be shown to exist in form, substance or functioning of provision—**UNION OF INDIA v. EXIDE INDUSTRIES LIMITED** (SC) . . . 1

2020]	GENERAL INDEX	xxvii
		PAGE
	Double Taxation Avoidance Agreement between Mauritius and India :	
	Art. 5 —Non-resident—Taxability in India—Meaning of “permanent establishment”—Company in Mauritius engaged in telecasting sports events—Agreement with Indian company for exhibition of telecasts in India—Finding that agreement was on principal to principal basis—Indian company did not constitute permanent establishment of foreign company—Income earned not assessable in India—CIT (INTERNATIONAL TAXATION) <i>v.</i> TAJ TV LTD. (Bom) . . .	141
	Double Taxation Avoidance Agreement between India and United Arab Emirates :	
	Arts. 5, 7 —Non-resident—Taxability in India—Permanent establishment—Assessee, a company incorporated in United Arab Emirates, offering remittance services for transferring funds from UAE to India—Funds collected from non-resident Indian remitter by assessee in UAE charging one-time fee—Funds remitted on behalf of non-resident Indian customer by sending instruments or cheques through liaison offices to beneficiaries in India—Particulars of remittances downloaded by liaison office in India by accessing main servers of assessee in UAE—Cheques or drafts drawn on banks in India printed out and couriered or dispatched to beneficiaries in India, in accordance with instructions of non-resident Indian remitter—Activities of liaison offices of preparatory or auxiliary character—Transactions completed with remitters in UAE—No permission given by Reserve Bank of India to assessee to engage in primary business activity and establish a business connection—Liaison office in India would not qualify as permanent establishment—No income earned by liaison office in India—Even if activities of liaison office regarded as business activity, they were “of preparatory or auxiliary character” and not amenable to tax liability—UNION OF INDIA <i>v.</i> U. A. E. EXCHANGE CENTRE (SC) . . .	30
	Double Taxation Avoidance Agreement between India and the United Kingdom :	
	Art. 13 —Non-resident—Taxability in India—Income deemed to accrue or arise in India—Fees for technical services—Exceptions—Assessee merely prospecting for business but not establishing any business—Services rendered by foreign company in nature of consultancy and services not utilised in business or profession—Amounts paid not within purview of exception provided in section 9(1)(vii)(b)—Amounts taxable in India and liable to deduction of tax at source—SHRIRAM CAPITAL LIMITED (No. 2) <i>v.</i> DIT (INTERNATIONAL TAXATION) (Mad) . . .	628

Income-tax Act, 1961 :

- S. 2**—Capital gains—Exemption—Profits from sale of agricultural land—Meaning of agricultural land—Conditions laid down in section 2(14) for treating agricultural land as non-agricultural—One of the conditions satisfied—Land in village within municipality—Village having population less than specified ten thousand—Land was agricultural—Profits from sale of land entitled to exemption—**PRINCIPAL CIT v. ANTHONY JOHN PEREIRA** (Bom) . . . 134
- Charitable purpose—Registration of trusts—Cancellation of registration—Conditions precedent—View that trust would be hit by proviso to section 2(15)—Not a ground for cancellation of registration—**CIT (EXEMPTIONS) v. MUMBAI METROPOLITAN REGION DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY** (Bom) . . . 166
- S. 2(13)**—Non-resident—Taxability in India—Permanent establishment—Assessee, a company incorporated in United Arab Emirates, offering remittance services for transferring funds from UAE to India—Funds collected from non-resident Indian remitter by assessee in UAE charging one-time fee—Funds remitted on behalf of non-resident Indian customer by sending instruments or cheques through liaison offices to beneficiaries in India—Particulars of remittances downloaded by liaison office in India by accessing main servers of assessee in UAE—Cheques or drafts drawn on banks in India printed out and couriered or dispatched to beneficiaries in India, in accordance with instructions of non-resident Indian remitter—Activities of liaison offices of preparatory or auxiliary character—Transactions completed with remitters in UAE—No permission given by Reserve Bank of India to assessee to engage in primary business activity and establish a business connection—Liaison office in India would not qualify as permanent establishment—No income earned by liaison office in India—Even if activities of liaison office regarded as business activity, they were “of preparatory or auxiliary character” and not amenable to tax liability—**UNION OF INDIA v. U. A. E. EXCHANGE CENTRE** (SC) . . . 30
- S. 2(15)**—Charitable purpose—Exemption—Assessee registered under section 12AA—Denial of exemption on ground that activity of import and distribution of raw material by assessee amounted to commercial activity—Factual matrix to be examined in greater depth by Tribunal—Matter remanded to Tribunal—**TAMIL NADU LEATHER TANNERS EXPORTERS IMPORTERS ASSOCIATION v. DEPUTY DIT (EXEMPTIONS)** (Mad) . . . 63
- S. 2(22)(e)**—Dividend—Deemed dividend—Loan to shareholder—Exceptions—Finding by Tribunal that money lending formed substantial part of business of companies—Loan not assessable as deemed dividend—**PRINCIPAL CIT v. MOHAN BHAGWATPRASAD AGRAWAL** (Guj) . . . 119

2020]

GENERAL INDEX

xxix

PAGE

- S. 2(24)**—Non-resident—Taxability in India—Permanent establishment—Assessee, a company incorporated in United Arab Emirates, offering remittance services for transferring funds from UAE to India—Funds collected from non-resident Indian remitter by assessee in UAE charging one-time fee—Funds remitted on behalf of non-resident Indian customer by sending instruments or cheques through liaison offices to beneficiaries in India—Particulars of remittances downloaded by liaison office in India by accessing main servers of assessee in UAE—Cheques or drafts drawn on banks in India printed out and couriered or dispatched to beneficiaries in India, in accordance with instructions of non-resident Indian remitter—Activities of liaison offices of preparatory or auxiliary character—Transactions completed with remitters in UAE—No permission given by Reserve Bank of India to assessee to engage in primary business activity and establish a business connection—Liaison office in India would not qualify as permanent establishment—No income earned by liaison office in India—Even if activities of liaison office regarded as business activity, they were “of preparatory or auxiliary character” and not amenable to tax liability—*UNION OF INDIA v. U. A. E. EXCHANGE CENTRE* (SC) . . . 30
- S. 2(24)(ix)**—Non-resident—Income deemed to accrue or arise in India—Assessee, a committee formed by Cricket Control Boards of India, Pakistan and Sri Lanka for conducting world cup cricket tournament in these countries for 1996—Bank accounts opened by assessee in London—Guarantee money paid from London account to non-resident sports associations of participating countries—Cricket teams of these associations playing various matches in India during tournament—Guarantee money intricately connected with event and source of income was playing of matches in India—Proportion of payments to non-resident sports associations bearing same ratio as number of matches played by each such country in India to total number of matches played by each country in tournament, represented income which accrued or arose or was deemed to have accrued or arisen in India—Assessee liable to deduct tax at source thereon—Obligation to deduct tax at source not affected by Double Taxation Avoidance Agreement—Order treating assessee as agent of non-resident boards and players under section 163 of Act—Proper—*PILCOM v. CIT* (SC) . . . 312
- S. 2(29BA)**—Reassessment—Notice after four years—Notice issued to disallow special deduction under section 80-IB on ground activity carried out by assessee not “manufacture”—Issue considered in original assessment—Central Excise Department also accepting activity of assessee fell under definition of “manufacture”—No failure to disclose all material facts truly and fully—Reassessment based on report of Assistant Commissioner on commission issued under section 131(1)(d) on mere change

xxx	INCOME TAX REPORTS	[VOL. 425
		PAGE
of opinion—Impermissible—INTERNATIONAL FLAVOURS AND FRAGRANCES INDIA PRIVATE LIMITED <i>v.</i> DEPUTY CIT (LTU) (Mad) . . .		450
S. 4 —Capital gains or business income—Non-banking financial institution—Conversion of shares and securities held as stock-in-trade into investment—Sale of shares—No provision at time of transaction for treating income from sale of shares as business income—Income could not be taxed as business income—KEMFIN SERVICES PVT. LTD. <i>v.</i> ASST. CIT (Karn) . . .		684
S. 5(2) —Non-resident—Income deemed to accrue or arise in India—Assessee, a committee formed by Cricket Control Boards of India, Pakistan and Sri Lanka for conducting world cup cricket tournament in these countries for 1996—Bank accounts opened by assessee in London—Guarantee money paid from London account to non-resident sports associations of participating countries—Cricket teams of these associations playing various matches in India during tournament—Guarantee money intricately connected with event and source of income was playing of matches in India—Proportion of payments to non-resident sports associations bearing same ratio as number of matches played by each such country in India to total number of matches played by each country in tournament, represented income which accrued or arose or was deemed to have accrued or arisen in India—Assessee liable to deduct tax at source thereon—Obligation to deduct tax at source not affected by Double Taxation Avoidance Agreement—Order treating assessee as agent of non-resident boards and players under section 163 of Act—Proper—PILCOM <i>v.</i> CIT (SC) . . .		312
—Non-resident—Taxability in India—Permanent establishment—Assessee, a company incorporated in United Arab Emirates, offering remittance services for transferring funds from UAE to India—Funds collected from non-resident Indian remitter by assessee in UAE charging one-time fee—Funds remitted on behalf of non-resident Indian customer by sending instruments or cheques through liaison offices to beneficiaries in India—Particulars of remittances downloaded by liaison office in India by accessing main servers of assessee in UAE—Cheques or drafts drawn on banks in India printed out and couriered or dispatched to beneficiaries in India, in accordance with instructions of non-resident Indian remitter—Activities of liaison offices of preparatory or auxiliary character—Transactions completed with remitters in UAE—No permission given by Reserve Bank of India to assessee to engage in primary business activity and establish a business connection—Liaison office in India would not qualify as permanent establishment—No income earned by liaison office in India—Even if activities of liaison office regarded as business activity, they were “of preparatory or auxiliary character” and not amenable to tax liability—UNION OF INDIA <i>v.</i> U. A. E. EXCHANGE CENTRE (SC) . . .		30

2020]

GENERAL INDEX

xxxi

PAGE

S. 9(1)—Non-resident—Income deemed to accrue or arise in India—Assessee, a committee formed by Cricket Control Boards of India, Pakistan and Sri Lanka for conducting world cup cricket tournament in these countries for 1996—Bank accounts opened by assessee in London—Guarantee money paid from London account to non-resident sports associations of participating countries—Cricket teams of these associations playing various matches in India during tournament—Guarantee money intricately connected with event and source of income was playing of matches in India—Proportion of payments to non-resident sports associations bearing same ratio as number of matches played by each such country in India to total number of matches played by each country in tournament, represented income which accrued or arose or was deemed to have accrued or arisen in India—Assessee liable to deduct tax at source thereon—Obligation to deduct tax at source not affected by Double Taxation Avoidance Agreement—Order treating assessee as agent of non-resident boards and players under section 163 of Act—Proper—*PILCOM v. CIT* (SC) . . . 312

S. 9(1)(i), Explan. 2—Non-resident—Taxability in India—Permanent establishment—Assessee, a company incorporated in United Arab Emirates, offering remittance services for transferring funds from UAE to India—Funds collected from non-resident Indian remitter by assessee in UAE charging one-time fee—Funds remitted on behalf of non-resident Indian customer by sending instruments or cheques through liaison offices to beneficiaries in India—Particulars of remittances downloaded by liaison office in India by accessing main servers of assessee in UAE—Cheques or drafts drawn on banks in India printed out and couriered or dispatched to beneficiaries in India, in accordance with instructions of non-resident Indian remitter—Activities of liaison offices of preparatory or auxiliary character—Transactions completed with remitters in UAE—No permission given by Reserve Bank of India to assessee to engage in primary business activity and establish a business connection—Liaison office in India would not qualify as permanent establishment—No income earned by liaison office in India—Even if activities of liaison office regarded as business activity, they were “of preparatory or auxiliary character” and not amenable to tax liability—*UNION OF INDIA v. U. A. E. EXCHANGE CENTRE* (SC) . . . 30

S. 9(1)(vii)(b)—Non-resident—Taxability in India—Income deemed to accrue or arise in India—Fees for technical services—Exceptions—Assessee merely prospecting for business but not establishing any business—Services rendered by foreign company in nature of consultancy and services not utilised in business or profession—Amounts paid not within purview of exception provided in section 9(1)(vii)(b)—Amounts taxable in

	PAGE
India and liable to deduction of tax at source—SHRIRAM CAPITAL LIMITED (NO. 2) <i>v.</i> DIT (INTERNATIONAL TAXATION) (Mad) . . .	628
—Non-resident—Taxability in India—Income deemed to accrue or arise in India—Payments made for expert services of non-resident law firm for proposed establishment of business abroad—Services not availed of for any existing business abroad—Services of nature of consultancy services—Not falling within exception provided under section 9(1)(vii)(b)—Payment for services liable to deduction of tax at source—SHRIRAM CAPITAL LIMITED (NO. 1) <i>v.</i> DIT (INTERNATIONAL TAXATION) (Mad) . . .	207
S. 10A —Book profits—Computation—Brought forward loss or unabsorbed depreciation—Provisions of Parts II and III of Schedule VI to Companies Act, 1956—Non-consideration of claim of assessee for deduction under section 10A—Matter remanded to Tribunal—YOKOGAWA INDIA LTD. <i>v.</i> DEPUTY CIT (LTU) (Karn) . . .	648
S. 10B —Exemption—Export—Scope of section 10B—Interest on delayed payment for goods exported and goods sold locally—Exemption available only for interest on delayed payment for exports—VARDHMAN HOLDINGS LTD. <i>v.</i> CIT (P&H) . . .	253
S. 11 —Charitable purpose—Exemption—Assessee registered under section 12AA—Denial of exemption on ground that activity of import and distribution of raw material by assessee amounted to commercial activity—Factual matrix to be examined in greater depth by Tribunal—Matter remanded to Tribunal—TAMIL NADU LEATHER TANNERS EXPORTERS IMPORTERS ASSOCIATION <i>v.</i> DEPUTY DIT (EXEMPTIONS) (Mad) . . .	63
—Charitable purpose—Registration of trust—Application for registration—Order granting or rejecting of application to be passed within 6 months—Application filed on 17-9-1999 decided on 31-10-2001 beyond period of six months—Law laid down by Supreme Court—Registration under section 12A shall be deemed to have taken effect after six months from date of filing application—Grant of registration does not ipso facto entitle to exemption under sections 11 and 12—DIT (EXEMPTIONS) <i>v.</i> ST. ANN'S EDUCATION SOCIETY (Karn) . . .	642
S. 12 —Charitable purpose—Registration of trust—Application for registration—Order granting or rejecting of application to be passed within 6 months—Application filed on 17-9-1999 decided on 31-10-2001 beyond period of six months—Law laid down by Supreme Court—Registration under section 12A shall be deemed to have taken effect after six months from date of filing application—Grant of registration does not ipso facto entitle to exemption under sections 11 and 12—DIT (EXEMPTIONS) <i>v.</i> ST. ANN'S EDUCATION SOCIETY (Karn) . . .	642

2020]	GENERAL INDEX	xxxiii
		PAGE
<p>S. 12A—Charitable purpose—Registration of trust—Application for registration—Order granting or rejecting of application to be passed within 6 months—Application filed on 17-9-1999 decided on 31-10-2001 beyond period of six months—Law laid down by Supreme Court—Registration under section 12A shall be deemed to have taken effect after six months from date of filing application—Grant of registration does not ipso facto entitle to exemption under sections 11 and 12—DIT (EXEMPTIONS) <i>v. ST. ANN’S EDUCATION SOCIETY</i> (Karn) . . .</p>		642
<p>S. 12AA—Charitable purpose—Exemption—Assessee registered under section 12AA—Denial of exemption on ground that activity of import and distribution of raw material by assessee amounted to commercial activity—Factual matrix to be examined in greater depth by Tribunal—Matter remanded to Tribunal—TAMIL NADU LEATHER TANNERS EXPORTERS IMPORTERS ASSOCIATION <i>v. DEPUTY DIT (EXEMPTIONS)</i> (Mad) . . .</p>		63
<p>—Charitable purpose—Registration of trust—Application for registration—Order granting or rejecting of application to be passed within 6 months—Application filed on 17-9-1999 decided on 31-10-2001 beyond period of six months—Law laid down by Supreme Court—Registration under section 12A shall be deemed to have taken effect after six months from date of filing application—Grant of registration does not ipso facto entitle to exemption under sections 11 and 12—DIT (EXEMPTIONS) <i>v. ST. ANN’S EDUCATION SOCIETY</i> (Karn) . . .</p>		642
<p>—Charitable purpose—Registration of trusts—Cancellation of registration—Conditions precedent—View that trust would be hit by proviso to section 2(15)—Not a ground for cancellation of registration—CIT (EXEMPTIONS) <i>v. MUMBAI METROPOLITAN REGION DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY</i> (Bom) . . .</p>		166
<p>—Charitable purpose—Registration of trusts—Effect of section 12AA—Application for registration—Application not disposed of within six months—Registration cannot be deemed to be granted—CIT (EXEMPTIONS) <i>v. ADDOR FOUNDATION</i> (Guj) . . .</p>		516
<p>S. 14A—Income—Disallowance of expenditure in relation to exempted income—No claim by assessee of exempt income—No disallowance could be made under section 14A—PRINCIPAL CIT <i>v. KOHINOOR PROJECT PVT. LTD.</i> (Bom) . . .</p>		700
<p>S. 28—Business—Capital gains—Business income or capital gains—Finding that object of assessee-firm was to purchase and sell land—Profit from purchase and sale of land assessable as business income—AFONSO REAL ESTATE DEVELOPERS <i>v. CIT</i> (Bom) . . .</p>		153

<p>S. 28(ii)(a)—Appeal to High Court—Powers of court—Substantial question of law—Duty of court to record its satisfaction and formulate substantial question of law involved in appeal—Power to decide question not determined by Appellate Tribunal—Question formulated for decision whether receipt taxable under section 28(ii)(a)—High Court without recording reasons or framing question on whether amount could be taxed under any other provision, holding sum taxable as capital gains—Not sustainable—SHIV RAJ GUPTA v. CIT (SC) . . .</p> <p>S. 28(va)—Business income—Non-compete fee—Provision brought with effect from 1-4-2003 making non-compete fee taxable—Provision not applicable retrospectively—SHIV RAJ GUPTA v. CIT (SC) . . .</p> <p>S. 36(1)(iii)—Interest on borrowed capital—Income from other sources—Difference between deductions under sections 36 and 57—Amount borrowed to purchase shares in order to expand business—Interest deductible under section 36—B. NANJI AND Co. v. DEPUTY CIT (Guj) . . .</p> <p>S. 36(1)(vii)—Bad debts—Law after 1-4-1989—Assessee only to establish that debt written off in accounts—Not necessary to establish that debt in fact had become irrecoverable—No finding recorded by all three authorities whether debt irrecoverable—Matter remitted to Assessing Officer—CIT (LTU) v. ABB LTD. (Karn) . . .</p> <p>S. 37—Business expenditure—Capital or revenue expenditure—Interest paid for delay in allotment of shares for increasing share capital—Expenditure for increasing share capital—Not deductible—CIT v. GMR INDUSTRIES LTD. (Karn) . . .</p> <p>—Business expenditure—Expenditure on higher education of managing director of subsidiary company—Person becoming director of assessee after completion of higher education—Expenditure reimbursed by assessee—Not deductible—KERALA KAUMUDI PVT. LTD. v. CIT (Ker) . . .</p> <p>S. 43B(f)—Business expenditure—Deduction only on actual payment—Change of law—Amendment bringing liability to pay leave encashment to employees under provision—Valid—Liberty to assessee to follow mercantile system of accounting—Does not mean deduction against accrued liability cannot be regulated by law prospectively—Provision does not reverse nature of liability nor take away deduction—No limitation upon Legislature to include only particular type of deductions in section 43B—Other deductions specified in section also present and accrued liabilities—Court declaring liability to leave encashment an accrued liability—Contention that clause (f) enacted to defeat judgment of court not tenable—UNION OF INDIA v. EXIDE INDUSTRIES LIMITED (SC) . . .</p>	<p>420</p> <p>420</p> <p>286</p> <p>677</p> <p>504</p> <p>202</p> <p>1</p>
---	--

2020]	GENERAL INDEX	xxxv
		PAGE
	S. 45 —Business—Capital gains—Business income or capital gains—Finding that object of assessee-firm was to purchase and sell land—Profit from purchase and sale of land assessable as business income— AFONSO REAL ESTATE DEVELOPERS v. CIT (Bom) . . .	153
	—Capital gains—Exemption—Profits from sale of agricultural land—Meaning of agricultural land—Conditions laid down in section 2(14) for treating agricultural land as non-agricultural—One of the conditions satisfied—Land in village within municipality—Village having population less than specified ten thousand—Land was agricultural—Profits from sale of land entitled to exemption— PRINCIPAL CIT v. ANTHONY JOHN PEREIRA (Bom) . . .	134
	—Capital gains or business income—Non-banking financial institution—Conversion of shares and securities held as stock-in-trade into investment—Sale of shares—No provision at time of transaction for treating income from sale of shares as business income—Income could not be taxed as business income— KEMFIN SERVICES PVT. LTD. v. ASST. CIT (Karn) . . .	684
	—Reassessment—Notice—Validity—Reopening on ground income escaped assessment on account of long-term capital gains—Improvement cost on land duly substantiated and examined by Assessing Officer in original assessment—Reopening cannot be permitted—Notice quashed— NIRANJAN CHIMANLAL JANI v. DEPUTY CIT (Guj) . . .	162
	S. 46(2) —Capital gains—Company—Winding up—Diminution in value of investment made by assessee in company in liquidation—Whether capital loss— CIT (LTU) v. ABB LTD. (Karn) . . .	677
	S. 57(iii) —Interest on borrowed capital—Income from other sources—Difference between deductions under sections 36 and 57—Amount borrowed to purchase shares in order to expand business—Interest deductible under section 36— B. NANJI AND Co. v. DEPUTY CIT (Guj) . . .	286
	S. 68 —Cash credits—Assessee entry provider to customers making deposits in cash in lieu of cheques for lower amounts—Cash deposits accounted for in assessment orders of beneficiaries—Restriction of addition to difference between amounts deposited and cheques issued only as commission income as disclosed by assessee—Provisions of section 68 not attracted— PRINCIPAL CIT v. ALAG SECURITIES PVT. LTD. (Bom) . . .	658
	—Cash credit—Burden of proof—Assessee must prove identity of creditor, his creditworthiness and genuineness of transaction—Source of source need not be proved—Duty of income-tax authorities to conduct enquiry—Assessee discharging burden of proof—No enquiry by income-tax authorities—Addition under section 68 not justified— KUMAR NIRMAN AND NIVESH PVT. LTD. v. ASST. CIT (Karn) . . .	486

	PAGE
S. 69C —Appeal to Appellate Tribunal—Power to permit production of additional evidence—Tribunal not considering application of assessee seeking leave to produce additional document as evidence—Failure by Tribunal to exercise jurisdiction vested in it—Matter remanded to Tribunal—BRAGANZA CONSTRUCTION PVT. LTD. <i>v.</i> ASST. CIT (Bom) . . .	115
S. 80HHC —Export—Special deduction under section 80HHC—Computation of profits for purposes of section 80HHC—Effect of <i>Explanation (baa)</i> —Depreciation not covered by <i>Explanation</i> —VARDHMAN HOLDINGS LTD. <i>v.</i> CIT (P&H) . . .	253
S. 80HHC(2) —Export—Special deduction—Export turnover—Definition—Fluctuation in foreign exchange rates—Surplus receipt owing to difference between Indian currency equivalent at time of raising invoices and at time of realization—Part of export turnover and total turnover—ISPAT PROJECTS LTD. <i>v.</i> CIT (Cal) . . .	459
—Export—Special deduction—Export turnover—Fluctuation in foreign exchange rates—Surplus receipt owing to difference between Indian currency equivalent at time of raising invoices and at time of realization—Assessee’s application, taking alternative contention, for treatment of surplus amount as export turnover for assessment years 1993-94 and 1994-95—Contention of assessee that appropriate approvals obtained from Reserve Bank of India for earlier receipt of instalment of payment from foreign buyer—Matter remanded to Tribunal—ISPAT PROJECTS LTD. <i>v.</i> CIT (Cal) . . .	459
S. 80-IB(10) —Housing projects—Special deduction—Law applicable—Effect of insertion of clauses (e) and (f) in section 80-IB(10) with effect from 1-4-2010—Restriction of benefit—ROYAL INFRASTRUCTURE <i>v.</i> DEPUTY CIT (Guj) . . .	491
—Reassessment—Notice after four years—Failure to disclose material facts necessary for assessment—Scrutiny assessment allowing deduction under section 80-IB(10)—Form of return not requiring specification of persons mentioned in clauses (e) and (f) of section 80-IB(10)—Assessing Officer not examining facts from point of view of clauses (e) and (f) of section 80-IB(10)—Notice not valid—ROYAL INFRASTRUCTURE <i>v.</i> DEPUTY CIT (Guj) . . .	491
S. 80-O —Export—Special deduction—Assessee engaged in business of providing services to foreign buyers of Indian marine products—Assessee to locate source of supply of merchandise and inform principals, make available analysis of seafood supply situation and prices, keep foreign principals informed of trends in market and negotiate and finalise prices—Activity essentially of procuring agent and all other services incidental to main functioning as agent against payment of commission—No agreement for specific payment referable to analysis or technical guidance or	

2020]	GENERAL INDEX	xxxvii
		PAGE
	advice—No professional services or information to justify deduction— Services as agent rendered in India—Assessee not entitled to claim deduction— RAMNATH AND Co. v. CIT (SC) . . .	337
	—Export—Special deduction—Eligibility—Activity of assessee of rendering service from India to its principals in foreign country must answer description in provision—Activity must strictly conform to requirements of section—Once eligibility decided in favour of assessee, provision can be construed liberally in regard to other requirements—Bur- den on assessee to prove applicability of provision to his case—Clarifica- tion in circular that once service is rendered “from India”, even if its ulti- mate use by foreign enterprise occurs in India, deduction allowable— Effect—Does not do away with basic requirements as to nature of service to qualify for deduction— RAMNATH AND Co. v. CIT (SC) . . .	337
	S. 115BBA —Non-resident—Income deemed to accrue or arise in India—Assessee, a committee formed by Cricket Control Boards of India, Pakistan and Sri Lanka for conducting world cup cricket tournament in these countries for 1996—Bank accounts opened by assessee in London— Guarantee money paid from London account to non-resident sports asso- ciations of participating countries—Cricket teams of these associations playing various matches in India during tournament—Guarantee money intricately connected with event and source of income was playing of matches in India—Proportion of payments to non-resident sports asso- ciations bearing same ratio as number of matches played by each such country in India to total number of matches played by each country in tournament, represented income which accrued or arose or was deemed to have accrued or arisen in India—Assessee liable to deduct tax at source thereon—Obligation to deduct tax at source not affected by Double Tax- ation Avoidance Agreement—Order treating assessee as agent of non- resident boards and players under section 163 of Act—Proper— PILCOM v. CIT (SC) . . .	312
	S. 115JA —Company—Computation of book profits under section 115JA—Difference between provision and ascertained liability—Provision for purchase tax, purchase tax on cane subsidy and wealth-tax—No proof that scientific method followed in making provision—Amounts to be added to book profits of assessee— EID PARRY (INDIA) LIMITED v. ASST. CIT (Mad) . . .	508
	S. 115JB —Book profits—Computation—Brought forward loss or unabsorbed depreciation—Provisions of Parts II and III of Schedule VI to Companies Act, 1956—Non-consideration of claim of assessee for deduc- tion under section 10A—Matter remanded to Tribunal— YOKOGAWA INDIA LTD. v. DEPUTY CIT (LTU) (Karn) . . .	648

xxxviii

INCOME TAX REPORTS

[VOL. 425

PAGE

- Company—Computation of book profits under section 115J—Accounts certified under Companies Act—Assessing Officer has limited power to make changes—Prior period expenditure not deductible—CIT *v.* GMR INDUSTRIES LTD. (Karn) . . . 504
- S. 131(1)(d)**—Reassessment—Notice after four years—Notice issued to disallow special deduction under section 80-IB on ground activity carried out by assessee not “manufacture”—Issue considered in original assessment—Central Excise Department also accepting activity of assessee fell under definition of “manufacture”—No failure to disclose all material facts truly and fully—Reassessment based on report of Assistant Commissioner on commission issued under section 131(1)(d) on mere change of opinion—Impermissible—INTERNATIONAL FLAVOURS AND FRAGRANCES INDIA PRIVATE LIMITED *v.* DEPUTY CIT (LTU) (Mad) . . . 450
- S. 132**—Advance tax—Interest for default in payment of advance tax—Computation of interest—Assessee paying four instalments of advance tax prior to search and seizure and communication sent to adjust advance tax against cash seized during search—Date of communication to be taken as date of payment of advance tax—MARBLE CENTRE INTERNATIONAL P. LTD. *v.* ASST. CIT (Karn) . . . 654
- Cash credits—Assessee entry provider to customers making deposits in cash in lieu of cheques for lower amounts—Cash deposits accounted for in assessment orders of beneficiaries—Restriction of addition to difference between amounts deposited and cheques issued only as commission income as disclosed by assessee—Provisions of section 68 not attracted—PRINCIPAL CIT *v.* ALAG SECURITIES PVT. LTD. (Bom) . . . 658
- S. 132B**—Advance tax—Interest for default in payment of advance tax—Computation of interest—Assessee paying four instalments of advance tax prior to search and seizure and communication sent to adjust advance tax against cash seized during search—Date of communication to be taken as date of payment of advance tax—MARBLE CENTRE INTERNATIONAL P. LTD. *v.* ASST. CIT (Karn) . . . 654
- S. 139**—Rectification of mistakes—Scope of section 154—Only obvious mistakes can be corrected—Difference between section 154 and section 139(5)—Claim for deduction not made in original return and no revised return filed—Claim requiring investigation—Deduction cannot be granted under section 154—NAGARAJ AND COMPANY PVT. LTD. *v.* ASST. CIT (Mad) . . . 412
- S. 142**—Reassessment—Notice after four years—Validity—Failure to disclose material facts necessary for assessment—Original assessment order passed after enquiry under sections 142(1) and 143(2)—No evidence of failure to disclose material facts necessary for assessment—Notice not valid—ASIAN TUBES PVT. LTD. *v.* DEPUTY CIT (Guj) . . . 613

2020]	GENERAL INDEX	xxxix
		PAGE
	S. 143 —Reassessment—Notice after four years—Validity—Failure to disclose material facts necessary for assessment—Original assessment order passed after enquiry under sections 142(1) and 143(2)—No evidence of failure to disclose material facts necessary for assessment—Notice not valid— <i>ASIAN TUBES PVT. LTD. v. DEPUTY CIT</i> (Guj) . . .	613
	—Refund—Withholding of refund—Discretion of Assessing Officer—No processing of return under section 143(1) and no notice under section 143(2)—Refund cannot be withheld— <i>TATA COMMUNICATIONS LIMITED v. DEPUTY CIT</i> (Bom) . . .	279
	S. 143(1) —Assessment—Refund—Adjustment of refund—Order of refund attaining finality in view of Supreme Court judgment and assessment order passed—Department cannot adjust admitted refund against future dues yet to be adjudicated—Assessee entitled to admitted amount of refund— <i>VODAFONE IDEA LIMITED v. ASST. CIT</i> (Bom) . . .	691
	—Refund—Scope of section 241A—Powers of Assessing Officer to withhold refund—Department withholding refund of assessment year 2014-15—Provisions not attracted to refund of assessment year 2014-15 or any assessment year prior to assessment year 2017-18— <i>VODAFONE IDEA LIMITED v. ASST. CIT</i> (Bom) . . .	691
	S. 143(2) —Abatement of proceedings—Reassessment—Order of High Court staying final order on reassessment—Reassessment proceedings did not abate—Notice under section 143(2) valid— <i>DEVENDRA KUMAR SINGH v. ASST. CIT</i> (Delhi) . . .	222
	—Refund—Duty of Assessing Officer—Notice under section 143(2) does not prevent Assessing Officer from processing return—Assessing Officer must apply his mind and decide whether refund can be given— <i>ERICSSON INDIA PRIVATE LIMITED v. ADDL. CIT</i> (Delhi) . . .	186
	S. 143(3) —Income from undisclosed sources—Additions deleted by Tribunal based on facts—Justified— <i>PRINCIPAL CIT v. GHANSHYAM DUNGARBHAI SUTARIA</i> (Guj) . . .	601
	S. 144C(2) —Draft assessment order—Limitation for filing objections before Dispute Resolution Panel—Draft assessment order served through electronic mode on an earlier date but assessee opting for manual proceedings—Limitation to be calculated from date of receipt of draft assessment order manually by assessee— <i>FCI OEN CONNECTORS LTD. v. DEPUTY CIT</i> (Ker) . . .	128
	S. 145 —Accounting—Rejection of accounts—Method of accounting consistently followed and accepted by Revenue—No finding of distortion in profits—Method cannot be rejected— <i>CIT v. BANJARA DEVELOPERS AND CONSTRUCTIONS PVT. LTD.</i> (Karn) . . .	673

	PAGE
S. 147 —Reassessment—Notice—Validity—Officer recording reasons and issuing notice must be the jurisdictional Assessing Officer—Reasons recorded by jurisdictional Assessing Officer but notice issued by Officer who did not have jurisdiction over assessee—Defect not curable under section 292B—Notice and consequential proceedings and order invalid— PANKAJBHAI JAYSUKHLAL SHAH v. ASST. CIT (Guj) . . .	70
—Reassessment—Notice—Validity—Change of opinion—Not basis for notice—Deduction claimed and explanation offered in response to enquiry—Deduction granted in original assessment—Notice of reassessment to withdraw deduction—Not valid— MARICO LTD. v. ASST. CIT (Bom) . . .	177
—Reassessment—Notice—Validity—Reopening on ground income escaped assessment on account of long-term capital gains—Improvement cost on land duly substantiated and examined by Assessing Officer in original assessment—Reopening cannot be permitted—Notice quashed— NIRANJAN CHIMANLAL JANI v. DEPUTY CIT (Guj) . . .	162
—Reassessment—Notice after four years—Validity—Failure to disclose material facts necessary for assessment—Finding that there was no such failure—Notice not valid— B. KASI VISWANATHAN v. ITO (Mad) . . .	538
—Reassessment—Notice after four years—Validity—Failure to disclose material facts necessary for assessment—Mere assertion that there was such failure not sufficient—No details regarding such failure—Notice not valid— ANAND DEVELOPERS v. ASST. CIT (Bom) . . .	261
—Reassessment—Notice after four years—Validity—Failure to disclose material facts necessary for assessment—No evidence of such failure—Notice not valid— ARUN MUNSHAW HUF v. ITO (Guj) . . .	79
—Reassessment—Notice after four years—Validity—Failure to disclose material facts necessary for assessment—Original assessment order passed after enquiry under sections 142(1) and 143(2)—No evidence of failure to disclose material facts necessary for assessment—Notice not valid— ASIAN TUBES PVT. LTD. v. DEPUTY CIT (Guj) . . .	613
—Reassessment—Notice after four years—Validity—Failure to disclose material facts necessary for assessment—Primary facts disclosed—Assessing Officer not making appropriate calculation—Notice not valid— CITY UNION BANK LIMITED v. ASST. CIT (Mad) . . .	475
—Reassessment—Notice after four years—Validity—Failure to disclose material facts necessary for assessment—Scrutiny assessment—No new material—Audit objections not accepted by Assessing Officer—Belief by Assessing Officer that income chargeable to tax has escaped	

2020]	GENERAL INDEX	xli
		PAGE
assessment not his own—Notice not valid—KAKARIA HOUSING AND INFRASTRUCTURE LTD. <i>v.</i> DEPUTY CIT (Guj) . . .		103
S. 147, proviso —Reassessment—Notice after four years—Notice issued to disallow special deduction under section 80-IB on ground activity carried out by assessee not “manufacture”—Issue considered in original assessment—Central Excise Department also accepting activity of assessee fell under definition of “manufacture”—No failure to disclose all material facts truly and fully—Reassessment based on report of Assistant Commissioner on commission issued under section 131(1)(d) on mere change of opinion—Impermissible—INTERNATIONAL FLAVOURS AND FRAGRANCES INDIA PRIVATE LIMITED <i>v.</i> DEPUTY CIT (LTU) (Mad) . . .		450
S. 148 —Reassessment—Notice—Validity—Officer recording reasons and issuing notice must be the jurisdictional Assessing Officer—Reasons recorded by jurisdictional Assessing Officer but notice issued by Officer who did not have jurisdiction over assessee—Defect not curable under section 292B—Notice and consequential proceedings and order invalid—PANKAJBHAI JAYSUKHLAL SHAH <i>v.</i> ASST. CIT (Guj) . . .		70
—Reassessment—Notice—Validity—Change of opinion—Not basis for notice—Deduction claimed and explanation offered in response to enquiry—Deduction granted in original assessment—Notice of reassessment to withdraw deduction—Not valid—MARICO LTD. <i>v.</i> ASST. CIT (Bom) . . .		177
—Reassessment—Notice—Validity—Reopening on ground income escaped assessment on account of long-term capital gains—Improvement cost on land duly substantiated and examined by Assessing Officer in original assessment—Reopening cannot be permitted—Notice quashed—NIRANJAN CHIMANLAL JANI <i>v.</i> DEPUTY CIT (Guj) . . .		162
—Reassessment—Notice after four years—Validity—Failure to disclose material facts necessary for assessment—Finding that there was no such failure—Notice not valid—B. KASI VISWANATHAN <i>v.</i> ITO (Mad) . . .		538
—Reassessment—Notice after four years—Validity—Failure to disclose material facts necessary for assessment—Original assessment order passed after enquiry under sections 142(1) and 143(2)—No evidence of failure to disclose material facts necessary for assessment—Notice not valid—ASIAN TUBES PVT. LTD. <i>v.</i> DEPUTY CIT (Guj) . . .		613
—Reassessment—Notice after four years—Validity—Failure to disclose material facts necessary for assessment—Primary facts disclosed—Assessing Officer not making appropriate calculation—Notice not valid—CITY UNION BANK LIMITED <i>v.</i> ASST. CIT (Mad) . . .		475

xlii	INCOME TAX REPORTS	[VOL. 425
		PAGE
—Reassessment—Notice after four years—Validity—Failure to disclose material facts necessary for assessment—Mere assertion that there was such failure not sufficient—No details regarding such failure—Notice not valid—ANAND DEVELOPERS <i>v.</i> ASST. CIT (Bom) . . .		261
—Reassessment—Notice after four years—Validity—Failure to disclose material facts necessary for assessment—No evidence of such failure—Notice not valid—ARUN MUNSHAW HUF <i>v.</i> ITO (Guj) . . .		79
—Reassessment—Notice after four years—Validity—Failure to disclose material facts necessary for assessment—Scrutiny assessment—No new material—Audit objections not accepted by Assessing Officer—Belief by Assessing Officer that income chargeable to tax has escaped assessment not his own—Notice not valid—KAKARIA HOUSING AND INFRASTRUCTURE LTD. <i>v.</i> DEPUTY CIT (Guj) . . .		103
—Reassessment—Notice after four years—Notice issued to disallow special deduction under section 80-IB on ground activity carried out by assessee not “manufacture”—Issue considered in original assessment—Central Excise Department also accepting activity of assessee fell under definition of “manufacture”—No failure to disclose all material facts truly and fully—Reassessment based on report of Assistant Commissioner on commission issued under section 131(1)(d) on mere change of opinion—Impermissible—INTERNATIONAL FLAVOURS AND FRAGRANCES INDIA PRIVATE LIMITED <i>v.</i> DEPUTY CIT (LTU) (Mad) . . .		450
—Reassessment—Writ—Notice of reassessment issued without jurisdiction—Existence of alternate remedy would not bar issue of writ to quash notice—CITY UNION BANK LIMITED <i>v.</i> ASST. CIT (Mad) . . .		475
S. 153A —Search and seizure—Notice—Defect in notice—Notice under section 153C misquoting it as section 153A—No prejudice caused to assessee because of mistake—Effect of section 292B—Defect would not invalidate notice—Assessee participating in assessment proceedings—Assessment proceedings valid—K. M. NAGARAJ <i>v.</i> DEPUTY CIT (Karn) . . .		533
S. 153C —Search and seizure—Notice—Defect in notice—Notice under section 153C misquoting it as section 153A—No prejudice caused to assessee because of mistake—Effect of section 292B—Defect would not invalidate notice—Assessee participating in assessment proceedings—Assessment proceedings valid—K. M. NAGARAJ <i>v.</i> DEPUTY CIT (Karn) . . .		533
S. 154 —Rectification of mistake—Application for rectification—Demands raised when application for rectification pending before jurisdictional Assessing Officer—Writ petition—Directions for disposal of rec-		

2020]	GENERAL INDEX	xliii
		PAGE
	<p>tilification application and stay of demand until such disposal—PAIVA MANUFACTURING CO. v. ITO (Ker) . . .</p> <p>—Rectification of mistakes—Scope of section 154—Only obvious mistakes can be corrected—Difference between section 154 and section 139(5)—Claim for deduction not made in original return and no revised return filed—Claim requiring investigation—Deduction cannot be granted under section 154—NAGARAJ AND COMPANY PVT. LTD. v. ASST. CIT (Mad) . . .</p> <p>S. 163—Non-resident—Income deemed to accrue or arise in India—Assessee, a committee formed by Cricket Control Boards of India, Pakistan and Sri Lanka for conducting world cup cricket tournament in these countries for 1996—Bank accounts opened by assessee in London—Guarantee money paid from London account to non-resident sports associations of participating countries—Cricket teams of these associations playing various matches in India during tournament—Guarantee money intricately connected with event and source of income was playing of matches in India—Proportion of payments to non-resident sports associations bearing same ratio as number of matches played by each such country in India to total number of matches played by each country in tournament, represented income which accrued or arose or was deemed to have accrued or arisen in India—Assessee liable to deduct tax at source thereon—Obligation to deduct tax at source not affected by Double Taxation Avoidance Agreement—Order treating assessee as agent of non-resident boards and players under section 163 of Act—Proper—PILCOM v. CIT (SC) . . .</p> <p>S. 179—Recovery of tax—Attachment and sale of property—Properties settled on trust for grandchildren by S—Recovery proceedings against son of S under section 179—Properties settled on trust cannot be attached—RAJESH T. SHAH v. TRO (Bom) . . .</p> <p>S. 194E—Non-resident—Income deemed to accrue or arise in India—Assessee, a committee formed by Cricket Control Boards of India, Pakistan and Sri Lanka for conducting world cup cricket tournament in these countries for 1996—Bank accounts opened by assessee in London—Guarantee money paid from London account to non-resident sports associations of participating countries—Cricket teams of these associations playing various matches in India during tournament—Guarantee money intricately connected with event and source of income was playing of matches in India—Proportion of payments to non-resident sports associations bearing same ratio as number of matches played by each such country in India to total number of matches played by each country in tournament, represented income which accrued or arose or was deemed to have accrued or arisen in India—Assessee liable to deduct tax at source thereon—Obligation to deduct tax at source not affected by Double</p>	<p>640</p> <p>412</p> <p>312</p> <p>443</p>

xliv	INCOME TAX REPORTS	[VOL. 425
		PAGE
Taxation Avoidance Agreement—Order treating assessee as agent of non-resident boards and players under section 163 of Act—Proper—PILCOM <i>v.</i> CIT (SC) . . .		312
S. 195 —Non-resident—Taxability in India—Income deemed to accrue or arise in India—Fees for technical services—Exceptions—Assessee merely prospecting for business but not establishing any business—Services rendered by foreign company in nature of consultancy and services not utilised in business or profession—Amounts paid not within purview of exception provided in section 9(1)(vii)(b)—Amounts taxable in India and liable to deduction of tax at source—SHRIRAM CAPITAL LIMITED (No. 2) <i>v.</i> DIT (INTERNATIONAL TAXATION) (Mad) . . .		628
—Non-resident—Taxability in India—Income deemed to accrue or arise in India—Payments made for expert services of non-resident law firm for proposed establishment of business abroad—Services not availed of for any existing business abroad—Services of nature of consultancy services—Not falling within exception provided under section 9(1)(vii)(b)—Payment for services liable to deduction of tax at source—SHRIRAM CAPITAL LIMITED <i>v.</i> DIT (INTERNATIONAL TAXATION) (Mad) . . .		207
S. 201(1), (1A) —Deduction of tax at source—Penalty—Failure to deposit tax deducted at source—Financial stringency not relevant—Levy of penalty justified—KBR INFRA TECH LTD. <i>v.</i> ASST. CIT (Karn) . . .		268
S. 234B —Advance tax—Interest for default in payment of advance tax—Computation of interest—Assessee paying four instalments of advance tax prior to search and seizure and communication sent to adjust advance tax against cash seized during search—Date of communication to be taken as date of payment of advance tax—MARBLE CENTRE INTERNATIONAL P. LTD. <i>v.</i> ASST. CIT (Karn) . . .		654
S. 234C —Advance tax—Interest for default in payment of advance tax—Computation of interest—Assessee paying four instalments of advance tax prior to search and seizure and communication sent to adjust advance tax against cash seized during search—Date of communication to be taken as date of payment of advance tax—MARBLE CENTRE INTERNATIONAL P. LTD. <i>v.</i> ASST. CIT (Karn) . . .		654
S. 237 —Assessment—Refund—Adjustment of refund—Order of refund attaining finality in view of Supreme Court judgment and assessment order passed—Department cannot adjust admitted refund against future dues yet to be adjudicated—Assessee entitled to admitted amount of refund—VODAFONE IDEA LIMITED <i>v.</i> ASST. CIT (Bom) . . .		691
—Refund—Scope of section 241A—Powers of Assessing Officer to withhold refund—Department withholding refund of assessment year 2014-15—Provisions not attracted to refund of assessment year 2014-15		

2020]	GENERAL INDEX	xliv
		PAGE
	or any assessment year prior to assessment year 2017-18— VODAFONE IDEA LIMITED v. ASST. CIT (Bom) . . .	691
	S. 241A —Refund—Scope of section 241A—Powers of Assessing Officer to withhold refund—Department withholding refund of assessment year 2014-15—Provisions not attracted to refund of assessment year 2014-15 or any assessment year prior to assessment year 2017-18— VODAFONE IDEA LIMITED v. ASST. CIT (Bom) . . .	691
	S. 245 —Assessment—Refund—Adjustment of refund—Order of refund attaining finality in view of Supreme Court judgment and assessment order passed—Department cannot adjust admitted refund against future dues yet to be adjudicated—Assessee entitled to admitted amount of refund— VODAFONE IDEA LIMITED v. ASST. CIT (Bom) . . .	691
	S. 245C —Settlement of cases—Condition precedent—Full and true disclosure of undisclosed income—Income offered in application for settlement—Additional income offered during proceedings before Settlement Commission—No new source of income—Offer in order to avoid controversy—Acceptance of offer and passing of order by Settlement Commission—Justified— PRINCIPAL CIT v. SHANKARLAL NEBHUMAL UTTAMCHANDANI (Guj) . . .	235
	S. 245C(1) —Settlement of cases—Assessee not making true and full disclosure—Discrepancies in application submitted by assessee not considered by Settlement Commission—Failure to consider report filed by Department—Matter remanded to Settlement Commission for disposal afresh— CIT v. ITSC (Mad) . . .	568
	—Settlement of cases—Settlement Commission—Jurisdiction—Application for settlement of cases—Admission of application—Amendment in law—Payment of additional tax on additional amount disclosed within time prescribed—Settlement Commission has jurisdiction to proceed with application filed by assessee— CIT v. ITSC (Mad) . . .	568
	S. 245D —Settlement of cases—Assessee not making true and full disclosure—Discrepancies in application submitted by assessee not considered by Settlement Commission—Failure to consider report filed by Department—Matter remanded to Settlement Commission for disposal afresh— CIT v. ITSC (Mad) . . .	568
	S. 245D(2A) —Settlement of cases—Settlement Commission—Jurisdiction—Application for settlement of cases—Admission of application—Amendment in law—Payment of additional tax on additional amount disclosed within time prescribed—Settlement Commission has jurisdiction to proceed with application filed by assessee— CIT v. ITSC (Mad) . . .	568

	PAGE
S. 254 —Appeal to Appellate Tribunal—Power to permit production of additional evidence—Tribunal not considering application of assessee seeking leave to produce additional document as evidence—Failure by Tribunal to exercise jurisdiction vested in it—Matter remanded to Tribunal— BRAGANZA CONSTRUCTION PVT. LTD. v. ASST. CIT (Bom) . . .	115
—Appeal to Appellate Tribunal—Powers of Tribunal—Rectification of mistakes—Time limit—Effect of section 254 and rule 24—Application for recall of order within limitation—Order of recall beyond period of limitation—Valid— PRINCIPAL CIT v. ITAT (Bom) . . .	581
—Appeal to High Court—Appeal to Appellate Tribunal—Competency of appeal to High Court—Tribunal dismissing appeal on grounds of delay in filing appeal—Appeal dealing with additions on account of cash credits—No substantial question of law arose—Appeal not maintainable to High Court— J. STEPHEN v. ITO (Mad) . . .	561
S. 260A —Appeal to High Court—Appeal to Appellate Tribunal—Competency of appeal to High Court—Tribunal dismissing appeal on grounds of delay in filing appeal—Appeal dealing with additions on account of cash credits—No substantial question of law arose—Appeal not maintainable to High Court— J. STEPHEN v. ITO (Mad) . . .	561
—Appeal to Appellate Tribunal—Power to permit production of additional evidence—Tribunal not considering application of assessee seeking leave to produce additional document as evidence—Failure by Tribunal to exercise jurisdiction vested in it—Matter remanded to Tribunal— BRAGANZA CONSTRUCTION PVT. LTD. v. ASST. CIT (Bom) . . .	115
—Cash credits—Assessee entry provider to customers making deposits in cash in lieu of cheques for lower amounts—Cash deposits accounted for in assessment orders of beneficiaries—Restriction of addition to difference between amounts deposited and cheques issued only as commission income as disclosed by assessee—Provisions of section 68 not attracted— PRINCIPAL CIT v. ALAG SECURITIES PVT. LTD. (Bom) . . .	658
S. 260A(4) —Appeal to High Court—Powers of court—Substantial question of law—Duty of court to record its satisfaction and formulate substantial question of law involved in appeal—Power to decide question not determined by Appellate Tribunal—Question formulated for decision whether receipt taxable under section 28(ii)(a)—High Court without recording reasons or framing question on whether amount could be taxed under any other provision, holding sum taxable as capital gains—Not sustainable— SHIV RAJ GUPTA v. CIT (SC) . . .	420

2020]	GENERAL INDEX	xlvi
		PAGE
	S. 263 —Revision—Powers of Commissioner—Assessing Officer adopting one of two possible views—Commissioner cannot set aside order— <i>CIT v. A. R. BUILDERS AND DEVELOPERS P. LTD.</i> (Mad) . . .	272
	S. 276C —Offences and prosecution—Concealment of income—Penalty with regard to same allegations deleted—Prosecution under sections 276C and 277 not valid— <i>SYSTEM INDIA CASTINGS v. PRINCIPAL CIT</i> (Chhattisgarh) . . .	158
	S. 276C(1) —Offences and prosecution—Evasion of tax—Assessee's appeal against assessment pending before statutory authority—Writ petition—Criminal proceedings to be kept in abeyance till decision in statutory appeal— <i>BEAVER ESTATES PVT. LTD. v. ASST. CIT</i> (Ker) . . .	99
	S. 277 —Offences and prosecution—Concealment of income—Penalty with regard to same allegations deleted—Prosecution under sections 276C and 277 not valid— <i>SYSTEM INDIA CASTINGS v. PRINCIPAL CIT</i> (Chhattisgarh) . . .	158
	S. 292B —Reassessment—Notice—Validity—Officer recording reasons and issuing notice must be the jurisdictional Assessing Officer—Reasons recorded by jurisdictional Assessing Officer but notice issued by Officer who did not have jurisdiction over assessee—Defect not curable under section 292B—Notice and consequential proceedings and order invalid— <i>PANKAJBHAI JAYSUKHLAL SHAH v. ASST. CIT</i> (Guj) . . .	70
	—Search and seizure—Notice—Defect in notice—Notice under section 153C misquoting it as section 153A—No prejudice caused to assessee because of mistake—Effect of section 292B—Defect would not invalidate notice—Assessee participating in assessment proceedings—Assessment proceedings valid— <i>K. M. NAGARAJ v. DEPUTY CIT</i> (Karn) . . .	533
	S. 292C —Income from undisclosed sources—Additions deleted by Tribunal based on facts—Justified— <i>PRINCIPAL CIT v. GHANSHYAM DUNGARBHAI SUTARIA</i> (Guj) . . .	601
	RULES :	
	Income-tax (Appellate Tribunal) Rules, 1963 :	
	R. 24 —Appeal to Appellate Tribunal—Powers of Tribunal—Rectification of mistakes—Time limit—Effect of section 254 and rule 24—Application for recall of order within limitation—Order of recall beyond period of limitation—Valid— <i>PRINCIPAL CIT v. ITAT</i> (Bom) . . .	581
	R. 29 —Appeal to Appellate Tribunal—Power to permit production of additional evidence—Tribunal not considering application of assessee seeking leave to produce additional document as evidence—Failure by Tribunal to exercise jurisdiction vested in it—Matter remanded to Tribunal— <i>BRAGANZA CONSTRUCTION PVT. LTD. v. ASST. CIT</i> (Bom) . . .	115

Income-tax Rules, 1962 :

R. 8D(2)(ii)—Income—Disallowance of expenditure in relation to exempted income—No claim by assessee of exempt income—No disallowance could be made under section 14A—**PRINCIPAL CIT v. KOHINOOR PROJECT PVT. LTD.** (Bom) . . . 700

Income-tax Settlement Commission (Procedure) Rules, 1997 :

R. 9—Settlement of cases—Assessee not making true and full disclosure—Discrepancies in application submitted by assessee not considered by Settlement Commission—Failure to consider report filed by Department—Matter remanded to Settlement Commission for disposal afresh—**CIT v. ITSC** (Mad) . . . 568

End of Volume 425