

COMPANY CASES

THE CORPORATE LAW WEEKLY

FOUNDED IN 1931

BY

A. N. AIYAR

[English Cases are reprinted from The Law Reports and The Weekly Law Reports by special permission of the Incorporated Council of Law Reporting for England and Wales, London, U. K.]

EDITORS :

LAKSHMI R. SUNDARESAN, M.A., B.A.L.

**T. A. RAMAKRISHNAN, B.Sc., B.L.,
Advocate, High Court, Madras.**

**T. N. CHANDRASHEKAR, B.Sc., B.L., M.B.A.,
Advocate, High Court, Madras.**

MODE OF CITATION

Statutes : [2020] 220 Comp Cas (St.)

Reports : [2020] 220 Comp Cas

VOLUME 220

2020

COMPANY LAW INSTITUTE OF INDIA PRIVATE LTD.,
2, VAITHYARAM STREET,
CHENNAI-600 017.

© Company Law Institute of India Pvt. Ltd.

Reporters :

<i>Supreme Court</i>	... Mrs. Rajani Krishnaprasad, Advocate
<i>Allahabad High Court</i>	... R. P. Agarwal, Advocate
<i>Andhra Pradesh High Court</i>	... S. Dwarakanath, Advocate
<i>Bombay High Court</i>	... Dr. Birendra Saraf, Advocate
<i>Bombay High Court (Nagpur Bench)</i>	... C. J. Thakar & N. J. Thakar, Advocates
<i>(Panaji Bench : Goa)</i>	... Mrs. Shanta G. Amonkar, Advocate
<i>Calcutta High Court</i>	... Ghanashyam Patra, Advocate
<i>Delhi High Court</i>	... Mrs. Rajani Krishnaprasad, Advocate
<i>Gauhati High Court</i>	... Dr. Ashok K. Saraf, Advocate
<i>Gujarat High Court</i>	... Manish K. Kaji, Advocate
<i>Himachal Pradesh High Court</i>	... Kapil Dev Sood & Sanjeev Sood, Advocates
<i>Jammu & Kashmir High Court</i>	...
<i>Jharkhand High Court</i>	... K. K. Jhunjunwala, Advocate
<i>Karnataka High Court</i>	... K. V. Siva Prasad, Advocate
<i>Kerala High Court</i>	... Ajay V. Anand, Advocate
<i>Madhya Pradesh High Court</i>	... Sumit Nema, Senior Advocate
<i>Madhya Pradesh High Court (Gwailor Bench)</i>	... D. D. Bansal, Advocate
<i>(Indore Bench)</i>	... Mohammed Altaf Khan, Advocate
<i>Madras High Court</i>	... Ramasamy, K., Advocate
<i>Orissa High Court</i>	... Siddhartha Ray, Advocate
<i>Patna High Court</i>	... Sushanta Kumar Das, Advocate
<i>Punjab & Haryana High Court</i>	... Anand Chhibbar, Advocate
<i>Rajasthan High Court</i>	... Lekh Raj Mehta, Advocate
<i>Rajasthan High Court (Jaipur Bench)</i>	... Narendra Kumar Baid, Advocate
<i>Sikkim High Court</i>	... N. K. P. Saraf, Advocate
<i>Uttarakhand High Court</i>	... Surendra Kumar Posti, Advocate

CONTENTS

	PAGES
1. TABLE OF CASES REPORTED	... i — iv
2. TABLE OF CASES CITED	... v — xiii
3. INDEX TO JOURNAL SECTION	... xiv
4. INDEX TO STATUTES, RULES, CIRCULARS AND NOTIFICATIONS	... xiv — xvi
5. JOURNAL SECTION	... 1 — 24
6. STATUTES, RULES, CIRCULARS AND NOTIFICATIONS	... 1 — 240
7. REPORTS OF CASES	... 1 — 568
8. GENERAL INDEX	... i — xxxvi



Disclaimer : While every effort has been made to ensure that this journal/book is free from errors or omissions, the authors, editors, publishers, printers, the company and/or its directors and other officers, shall not be liable in any manner whatsoever for any action taken or omitted to be taken, opinions expressed, advice rendered or accepted based on any materials or information published in this journal/book.

Views expressed by the editors in the notes and comments and by authors in the articles published in the Journal section are exclusively their own.

Published by S. Ayyappan on behalf of Company Law Institute of India Pvt. Ltd.,
No. 2 (old No. 36), Vaithyaram Street, T. Nagar, Chennai-600 017
and printed by him at Company Law Institute Press, No. 2 (old No. 36),
Vaithyaram Street, T. Nagar, Chennai-600 017.

COMPANY CASES

VOLUME 220 — 2020

TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

		PAGE
Aaj Finance and Credit Ltd. <i>v.</i> Keltech Infrastructure Ltd.	(NCLAT)	43
Aaj Finance and Credit Ltd. <i>v.</i> Keltech Infrastructures Ltd.	(NCLT)	36
Alice (P. M.) <i>v.</i> Vyapar Mandir Palarivattom P. Ltd.	(NCLT)	9
Allahabad Bank <i>v.</i> Meghalaya Infratech Ltd.	(NCLT)	290
Amitabh Kumar Jha <i>v.</i> Bank of India	(NCLAT)	562
Asset Reconstruction Co. (India) Ltd. <i>v.</i> Gopal Krishna Raju	(NCLT)	327
Bank of Baroda <i>v.</i> Pithampur Poly Products Ltd.	(NCLT)	300
Bank of India <i>v.</i> Radheshyam Agro Products P. Ltd.	(NCLT)	535
Bank of India <i>v.</i> TD Toll Road P. Ltd.	(NCLT)	554
Bhawani Industries P. Ltd. <i>v.</i> Inderjit Forgings P. Ltd.	(NCLT)	357
Bimalkumar Manubhai Savalia <i>v.</i> Bank of India	(NCLAT)	546
Bluefern Ventures P. Ltd. <i>v.</i> Union of India	(Sikkim)	48
Capedge Consulting P. Ltd. <i>v.</i> India Techs Ltd.	(NCLT)	482
Cee Dee Yes Health Care Services P. Ltd. <i>v.</i> Reserve Bank of India	(Mad)	410
Cognizance for Extension of Limitation, <i>In re</i>	(SC)	447
Cognizance for Extension of Limitation, <i>In re</i>	(SC)	454
Dakshneshwar Infrastructure P. Ltd., <i>In re</i>	(NCLT)	20

ii	COMPANY CASES	[VOL. 220
		PAGE
Deputy Director, Directorate of Enforcement of Delhi <i>v.</i> Axis Bank	(Delhi)	147
Deputy Director, Directorate of Enforcement of Delhi <i>v.</i> IDBI Bank Ltd.	(Delhi)	147
Deputy Director, Directorate of Enforcement of Delhi <i>v.</i> State Bank of India	(Delhi)	147
Directorate of Enforcement <i>v.</i> Punjab National Bank	(Delhi)	147
Edelweiss Asset Reconstruction Co. Ltd. <i>v.</i> Falcon Tyres Ltd.	(NCLT)	346
George Vinci Thomas <i>v.</i> Capedge Consulting P. Ltd.	(NCLAT)	490
IDBI Bank Ltd. <i>v.</i> Anuj Jain	(NCLT)	313
IDBI Bank Ltd. <i>v.</i> Jaypee Infratech Ltd.	(NCLT)	313
IMR Metallurgical Resources AG <i>v.</i> Ferro Alloys Corporation Ltd.	(NCLAT)	528
Juggilal Kamapat Jute Co. Ltd. <i>v.</i> Yashdeep Trexim P. Ltd.	(NCLAT)	1
Kaynet Finance Ltd. <i>v.</i> Verona Capital Ltd.	(NCLAT)	28
L and T Infrastructure Finance Co. Ltd. <i>v.</i> Dinesh- chand Surana	(NCLT)	366
L and T Infrastructure Finance Co. Ltd. <i>v.</i> Shantilal Surana (Ms.)	(NCLT)	366
L and T Infrastructure Finance Co. Ltd. <i>v.</i> Surana (G. R.)	(NCLT)	366
L and T Infrastructure Finance Co. Ltd. <i>v.</i> Vijayraj Surana	(NCLT)	366
Lalit Aggarwal <i>v.</i> Shree Bihari Forgings P. Ltd.	(NCLAT)	139
Laxmi Pat Surana <i>v.</i> Union Bank of India	(NCLAT)	59

2020]	TABLE OF CASES REPORTED	iii
		PAGE
Mona Aggarwal <i>v.</i> Ghaziabad Engineering Co. Ltd.	(NCLAT)	391
Mooladhan Advisory System P. Ltd. <i>v.</i> Yashdeep Trexim P. Ltd.	(NCLAT)	1
Mukesh Maneklal Choksi <i>v.</i> Union of India	(NCLAT)	101
Nittin Johari <i>v.</i> Serious Fraud Investigation Office (Delhi)		247
Parvesh Magoo <i>v.</i> IREO Grace Realtech P. Ltd.	(NCLT)	116
Parvesh Magoo <i>v.</i> IREO Grace Realtech P. Ltd.	(NCLAT)	120
Pramod Kumar Goil <i>v.</i> Shree Bihari Forgings P. Ltd.	(NCLAT)	139
Pranatpal Tradelink P. Ltd., <i>In re</i>	(NCLT)	69
QVC Exports P. Ltd. <i>v.</i> United Tradeco FZC	(NCLAT)	128
Rai Bahadur Shree Ram and Co. P. Ltd. <i>v.</i> Bhuvan Madan, Resolution Professional of Ferro Alloys Corporation Ltd.	(NCLAT)	110
Rajeev Agarwal <i>v.</i> Union of India (Delhi)		420
Rural Electrification Corporation <i>v.</i> Ferro Alloys Cor- poration Ltd.	(NCLT)	518
Sangita Fiscal Services P. Ltd. <i>v.</i> Duncans Industries Ltd.	(NCLT)	470
Srinivas (M.) <i>v.</i> Smt. Ramanathan Bhuvaneshwari	(NCLAT)	89
State Bank of India <i>v.</i> Dinesh Chand Surana	(NCLT)	379
State Bank of India <i>v.</i> Gowthamraj Surana	(NCLT)	379
State Bank of India <i>v.</i> Shantilal Surana	(NCLT)	379
State Bank of India <i>v.</i> Vijaraj Surana	(NCLT)	379
Sudip Bhattacharya <i>v.</i> Dhar Textile Mills Ltd.	(NCLT)	456
Suo Motu, <i>In re</i>	(NCLAT)	449
Suo Motu, <i>In re</i>	(NCLAT)	451
Suo Motu, <i>In re</i>	(NCLAT)	452

iv	COMPANY CASES	[VOL. 220
		PAGE
Transmec India P. Ltd. <i>v.</i> Saflow Products P. Ltd.	(NCLT)	280
Uberoi (P. K.) (Col.) (Retd.) <i>v.</i> Vigneshwara Developwell P. Ltd.	(Delhi)	262
Union Bank of India <i>v.</i> Greendiamz Biotech Ltd.	(NCLT)	397
Union Bank of India <i>v.</i> Surana Metals Ltd.	(NCLT)	54
Union Bank of India <i>v.</i> U. P. State Spinning Co. Ltd.	(NCLT)	335
Union of India <i>v.</i> Punjab National Bank	(Delhi)	147
Uniword Telecom Ltd. <i>v.</i> Taurus Exports P. Ltd.	(NCLT)	4
Usha Ananthasubramanian <i>v.</i> Union of India	(SC)	295
Ved Prakash Abbot <i>v.</i> Kishore K. Avarsekar	(Delhi)	224
Videocon Industries Ltd. <i>v.</i> State Bank of India	(NCLT)	76
Virupaksha (K.) <i>v.</i> State of Karnataka	(SC)	505
Virupaksha (K.) <i>v.</i> State of Karnataka	(Karn)	495

TABLE OF CASES CITED

	PAGE
Aaj Finance and Credit Ltd. v. Keltech Infrastructures Ltd. [2020] 220 Comp Cas 36 (NCLT) <i>affirmed</i>	43
Achint Navinbhai Patel v. State of Gujarat [2002] 10 SCC 529	247
Agarwal Tracom P. Ltd. v. Punjab National Bank [2018] 1 SCC 626 <i>relied on</i>	48
Ahmed Ali v. Supdt., District Jail [1987] Cri. LJ 1845 (Gauhati)	224
Ajay Agarwal v. Central Bank of India [2018] 208 Comp Cas 402 (NCLAT)	335
Ajay Hasia v. Khalid Mujib Sehrawardi [1981] AIR 1981 SC 487	420
All India Film Corporation Ltd. v. Raja Gyan Nath [1969] 3 SCC 79	147
Ankush Kumar <i>alias</i> Sonu v. State of Punjab [2018] SCC Online (P&H) 1259	247
ArcelorMittal India P. Ltd. v. Satish Kumar Gupta [2018] 211 Comp Cas 369 (SC)	346, 518, 528
Arora (K. L.) v. Prasad (S. S.) [2000] 10 SCC 89 <i>relied on</i>	224
Ashok Paper Kamgar Union v. Dharam Ghoda [2003] 11 SCC 1	224
Aslam Mohammad Merchant v. Competent Authority [2008] 14 SCC 186	147
Assistant Commissioner (CT) v. Indian Overseas Bank [2017] 202 Comp Cas 226 (Mad) [FB]	147
Authorised Officer, Indian Overseas Bank v. Ashok Saw Mill [2011] 162 Comp Cas 324 (SC) <i>relied on</i>	505
Authorised Officer, State Bank of Travancore v. Mathew (K. C.) [2018] 2 Comp Cas-OL 131 (SC)	48
B. K. Educational Services P. Ltd. v. Parag Gupta and Associates [2019] 212 Comp Cas 1 (SC)	59, 300, 357
do. v. do. <i>relied on</i>	546
Babu Ram Gupta v. Sudhir Bhasin [1980] 3 SCC 47	224
Baksi (N.) v. Ghosh (O. K.) [1957] AIR 1957 Patna 528	224
Balakrishnan (N.) v. Krishnamurthy (M.) [1998] 7 SCC 123 <i>relied on</i>	59

vi	COMPANY CASES	[VOL. 220
		PAGE
Balkrishna Savalram Pujari <i>v.</i> Shree Dnyaneshwar Maharaj Sansthan [1959] Supp. (2) SCR 476		357
Bank of Baroda <i>v.</i> Sadruddin Hasan Daya [2004] 118 Comp Cas 241 (SC)		224
Bank of Bihar (now State Bank of India) <i>v.</i> State of Bihar [1971] 41 Comp Cas 591 (SC)		147
Bank of India <i>v.</i> Radheshyam Agro Products P. Ltd. [2020] 220 Comp Cas 535 (NCLT) <i>set aside</i>		546
Bank of India <i>v.</i> TD Toll Road P. Ltd. [2020] 220 Comp Cas 554 (NCLT) <i>affirmed</i>		562
Bhoruka Steel Ltd. <i>v.</i> Fairgrowth Financial Services Ltd. [1997] 89 Comp Cas 547 (Bom (Special TORTS))		147
Bijando Singh (T.) <i>v.</i> Md. Ibocha [2004] 10 SCC 15		247
Bijay Kumar Saraogi <i>v.</i> State of Jharkhand [2005] 7 SCC 748 <i>relied on</i>		128
Biswanath Bhattacharya <i>v.</i> Union of India [2014] 4 SCC 392 <i>relied on</i>		147
Busali (E.) <i>v.</i> Commandant [1994] FLR (68) Karn 993		420
Capedge Consulting P. Ltd. <i>v.</i> India Techs P. Ltd. [2020] 220 Comp Cas 482 (NCLT) <i>affirmed</i>		490
Capt. Dushyant Somal <i>v.</i> Sushma Somal (Smt.) [1981] 2 SCC 277		224
Central Bank of India <i>v.</i> Ravindra [2001] 107 Comp Cas 416 (SC)		410
Chellamuthu (C.) <i>v.</i> Deputy Director, Prevention of Money-Laundering Act MANU/TN/4087/2015		147
Chidambaram (P.) <i>v.</i> CBI [2019] SCC Online SC 1380		247
Chiranjit Lal Chowdhuri <i>v.</i> Union of India [1950] SCR 869 <i>relied on</i>		28
Chitra Sharma <i>v.</i> Union of India [2018] 210 Comp Cas 609 (SC)		313
CIT (Principal) <i>v.</i> Monnet Ispat and Energy Ltd. [2018] 211 Comp Cas 99 (SC) ; [2018] 12 ITR-OL 281 (SC)		147
CIT <i>v.</i> Chhabil Dass Agarwal [2013] 357 ITR 357 (SC) <i>relied on</i>		48
Cognizance for Extension of Limitation, <i>In re</i> [2020] 220 Comp Cas 447 (SC)		449, 451, 452, 454
Collector of Customs <i>v.</i> Ahmadalieva Nodira [2004] 3 SCC 549		247
Committee of Creditors of Essar Steel India Ltd. <i>v.</i> Satish Kumar Gupta [2020] 219 Comp Cas 97 (SC) <i>relied on</i>		110, 518, 528
Damodar S. Prabhu <i>v.</i> Sayed Babalal (H.) [2010] 159 Comp Cas 1 (SC)		224

2020]	TABLE OF CASES CITED	vii
		PAGE
Delhi Development Authority <i>v.</i> Skipper Construction Co. P. Ltd. [1997] 89 Comp Cas 362 (SC)		224
Dena Bank <i>v.</i> Bhikhabhai Prabhudas Parekh and Co. [2001] 107 Comp Cas 157 (SC) ; [2001] 247 ITR 165 (SC) ; [2001] 120 STC 610 (SC)		147
Deputy Director, Directorate of Enforcement of Delhi <i>v.</i> Axis Bank [2020] 220 Comp Cas 147 (Delhi) <i>distinguished</i>		224
Dinesh Kumar Gupta <i>v.</i> United India Insurance Co. Ltd. [2010] 12 SCC 770		224
Duncans Industries Ltd. <i>v.</i> A. J. Agrochem Ltd. [2019] 217 Comp Cas 320 (SC)		470
Federal Bank Ltd. <i>v.</i> Sagar Thomas [2004] 120 Comp Cas 63 (SC)		410
Ganesan (V. M.) (Dr.) <i>v.</i> Joint Director, Directorate of Enforcement [2014] SCC Online Mad 10702 <i>distinguished</i>		147
Gaurav Hargovindbhai Dave <i>v.</i> Asset Reconstruction Co. (India) Ltd. [2019] 8 Comp Cas-OL 250 (SC)		54, 59, 546
do. <i>v.</i> do. <i>distinguished</i>		335
do. <i>v.</i> do. <i>relied on</i>		357
Gautam Kundu <i>v.</i> Directorate of Enforcement (PMLA) [2015] 16 SCC 1		247
General Officer Commanding, Rashtriya Rifles <i>v.</i> Central Bureau of Investigation [2012] 6 SCC 228		495, 505
Gopi Pershad <i>v.</i> State of Punjab [1957] AIR 1957 Punjab 45		147
Government of Andhra Pradesh <i>v.</i> Majeed (M. A.) [2006] 1 ALD 823		420
Gunwant Lal Godawat <i>v.</i> Union of India [2018] 12 SCC 309		147
Gurbaksh Singh Sibbia <i>v.</i> State of Punjab [1980] 2 SCC 565		247
Gyani Chand <i>v.</i> State of Andhra Pradesh [2016] 15 SCC 164		224
Hemang Phophalia <i>v.</i> Greater Bombay Co-operative Bank Ltd. [2019] 8 Comp Cas-OL 263 (NCLAT) <i>relied on</i>		391
Hero Fincorp Ltd. <i>v.</i> Rave Scans P. Ltd. [2019] 8 Comp Cas-OL 1 (NCLAT)		128

viii	COMPANY CASES	[VOL. 220
		PAGE
Hindustan Petroleum Corp. Ltd. <i>v.</i> Videocon Industries Ltd. [2012] SCC Online Del 3610		76
Hoare and Co. Ltd., <i>In re</i> [1951] 1 Ch D 923		262
Innoventive Industries Ltd. <i>v.</i> ICICI Bank [2017] 205 Comp Cas 57 (SC) 300, 335, 554, 562		397, 482
do. <i>v.</i> do. <i>relied on</i>		
Inspector General of Police <i>v.</i> Thavasiappan [1996] 2 SCC 145		420
Jagan Mohan Reddy (Y. S.) <i>v.</i> Central Bureau of Investigation [2013] 7 SCC 439		247
Jagatjit Distilling and Allied Industries Ltd. <i>v.</i> Bharath Nidhi Ltd. [1978] ILR 1978 Delhi 526		9
Jignesh Shah <i>v.</i> Union of India [2019] 217 Comp Cas 139 (SC)		59, 546
do. <i>v.</i> do. <i>relied on</i>		357
Jiwani Kumari Parikh <i>v.</i> Satyabrata Chakravorty [1990] 4 SCC 737		224
JVG Leasing (Securities and Finance) Ltd., <i>In re</i> [2008] 144 Comp Cas 780 (Delhi)		262
Jyoti Ltd. <i>v.</i> Kanwaljit Kaur Bhasin [1987] 62 Comp Cas 626 (Delhi)		224
Kanwar Singh Saini <i>v.</i> High Court of Delhi [2012] 4 SCC 307		224
Kar (B. K.) <i>v.</i> High Court of Orissa [1961] AIR 1961 SC 1367 ; [1961] 2 Cri. LJ 438		224
Karan Goel <i>v.</i> Pashupati Jewellers [2020] 9 Comp Cas-OL 304 (NCLAT)		335
Kishan (K.) <i>v.</i> Vijay Nirman Co. P. Ltd. [2018] 4 Comp Cas-OL 112 (SC)		482
Kraipak (A. K.) <i>v.</i> Union of India [1969] 2 SCC 262		420
KSL and Industries Ltd. <i>v.</i> Arihant Threads Ltd. [2015] 190 Comp Cas 328 (SC)		147
L and T Infrastructure Finance Co. Ltd. <i>v.</i> Dineshchand Surana [2020] 220 Comp Cas 366 (NCLT) <i>followed</i>		379
Life Insurance Corporation of India <i>v.</i> Bahadur (D. J.) [1981] 1 SCC 315		147

2020]	TABLE OF CASES CITED	ix
		PAGE
Maharashtra Seamless Ltd. <i>v.</i> Padmanabhan Venkatesh [2020] 9 Comp Cas-OL 683 (SC)		110, 528
Maneckchowk and Ahmedabad Manufacturing Co. Ltd., <i>In re</i> [1970] 40 Comp Cas 819 (Guj)		262
Mardia Chemicals Ltd. <i>v.</i> Union of India [2004] 120 Comp Cas 373 (SC)		48
Meghal Homes P. Ltd. <i>v.</i> Shree Niwas Girni K. K. Samiti [2007] 139 Comp Cas 418 (SC)		262
Miheer H. Mafatlal <i>v.</i> Mafatlal Industries Ltd. [1996] 87 Comp Cas 792 (SC)		262
Mobilox Innovations P. Ltd. <i>v.</i> Kirusa Software P. Ltd. [2017] 205 Comp Cas 324 (SC) <i>applied</i>		280
<i>do.</i> <i>v.</i> <i>do.</i> <i>relied on</i>		482
Modiluft Ltd. <i>v.</i> S. K. Modi [2005] 123 DLT 413		262
Mohd. Iqbal Khanday <i>v.</i> Abdul Majid Rather [1994] 4 SCC 34 <i>relied</i> <i>on</i>		224
Narcotics Control Bureau <i>v.</i> Dilip Pralhad Namade [2004] 3 SCC 619		247
Narcotics Control Bureau <i>v.</i> Kishan Lal [1991] 1 SCC 705		247
Navin Raheja <i>v.</i> Shilpa Jain [2020] 219 Comp Cas 589 (NCLAT)		120
Niaz Mohammad <i>v.</i> State of Haryana [1994] 6 SCC 332		224
Nikesh Tarachand Shah <i>v.</i> Union of India [2018] 11 SCC 1		247
Niranjan Singh <i>v.</i> Prabhakar Rajaram Kharote [1980] 2 SCC 559		247
Noy Vallesina Engineering Spa <i>v.</i> Jindal Drugs Ltd. [2006] SCC OnLine Bom 545 ; [2006] 5 Bom CR 155 ; [2006] 3 Arb. LR 510		76
Paramasivam (K.) <i>v.</i> Karur Vysya Bank Ltd. [2019] 8 Comp Cas-OL 635 (NCLAT)		59
Parvesh Magoo <i>v.</i> IREO Grace Realtech P. Ltd. [2020] 220 Comp Cas 116 (NCLT) <i>affirmed</i>		120
Phoenix ARC P. Ltd. <i>v.</i> Hotel Horizon P. Ltd. [2019] 8 Comp Cas-OL 566 (NCLT)		357
Pioneer Urban Land and Infrastructure Ltd. <i>v.</i> Union of India [2019] 217 Comp Cas 1 (SC)		36, 116
<i>do.</i> <i>v.</i> <i>do.</i> <i>relied on</i>		120, 470

x	COMPANY CASES	[VOL. 220
		PAGE
Pramatha Nath Talukdar <i>v.</i> Maharaja Probirendra M. Tagore [1966] AIR 1966 Cal 405		147
Pushpa Shah <i>v.</i> IL and FS Financial Services Ltd. [2019] 215 Comp Cas 391 (NCLAT)		357
Quinn Logistics India P. Ltd. <i>v.</i> Mack Soft Tech P. Ltd. [2018] 208 Comp Cas 432 (NCLAT) <i>relied on</i>		449
Rahul Jain <i>v.</i> Rave Scans P. Ltd. [2019] 8 Comp Cas-OL 612 (SC)		128
Rai Bahadur Shree Ram and Co. P. Ltd. <i>v.</i> Bhuvan Madan, Resolution Professional of Ferro Alloys Corporation Ltd. [2020] 220 Comp Cas 110 (NCLAT)		528
Rajah Salig Ram <i>v.</i> Secy. of State of India [1872] SCC Online PC 43		147
Ram Govind Upadhyay <i>v.</i> Sudarshan Singh [2002] AIR 2002 SC 1475		247
Rama Narang <i>v.</i> Ramesh Narang [2007] 136 Comp Cas 645 (SC) <i>relied on</i>		224
Rama Raju (B.) <i>v.</i> Union of India [2011] 164 Comp Cas 149 (AP)		147
Ranjitsing Brahmajeetsing Sharma <i>v.</i> State of Maharashtra [2005] 5 SCC 294		247
Reserve Bank of India <i>v.</i> Jayantilal N. Mistry [2016] 3 SCC 525		410
Reserve Bank of India <i>v.</i> Peerless General Finance and Investment Co. Ltd. [1987] 61 Comp Cas 663 (SC)		147
Rohit Tandon <i>v.</i> Directorate of Enforcement [2018] 11 SCC 46		247
Rural Electrification Corporation <i>v.</i> Ferro Alloys Corporation Ltd. [2020] 220 Comp Cas 518 (NCLT) <i>affirmed</i>		528
Sagar Sharma <i>v.</i> Phoenix ARC P. Ltd. [2019] 8 Comp Cas-OL 577 (NCLAT) <i>relied on</i>		357
Sagar Sharma <i>v.</i> Phoenix ARC P. Ltd. [2019] 8 Comp Cas-OL 581 (SC)		357
Sahani Silk Mills P. Ltd. <i>v.</i> ESI Corporation [1994] AIR 1994 SCW 3832		420
Sanjay Bhandari <i>v.</i> CBI [2015] SCC Online Delhi 10079 ; [2015] 222 DLT (CN) 5		147
Sanjay Chandra <i>v.</i> CBI [2012] 1 SCC 40		247

2020]	TABLE OF CASES CITED	xi
		PAGE
Sanjay Kewalramani <i>v.</i> Sunil Parmanand Kewalramani [2018] 209 Comp Cas 331 (NCLAT)		470
Sankatha Singh <i>v.</i> State of U. P. [1962] AIR 1962 SC 1208 ; [1962] Supp (2) SCR 817 ; [1962] 2 Cri. LJ 288 (SC)		128
Sardar Associates <i>v.</i> Punjab and Sind Bank [2009] 8 SCC 257		410
Sarwan Singh <i>v.</i> Kasturi Lal [1977] 1 SCC 750		147
Sashidhar (K.) <i>v.</i> Indian Overseas Bank [2019] 213 Comp Cas 356 (SC)		346, 518, 535
do. <i>v.</i> do. <i>relied on</i>		110, 528
Satpal Singh <i>v.</i> State of Punjab [2018] 13 SCC 813		247
Shah Gur Saran <i>v.</i> Shib Singh [1943] AIR 1943 All 393 [FB] <i>relied on</i>		59
Shailesh Sangani <i>v.</i> Joel Cardoso [2019] 7 Comp Cas-OL 207 (NCLAT) <i>relied on</i>		470
Shivakumar (D. K.) <i>v.</i> Directorate of Enforcement [2019] SCC Online Delhi 10691		247
Shivdev Singh <i>v.</i> Sucha Singh [2000] 4 SCC 326		147
Shivkumar Reddy (C.) <i>v.</i> Dena Bank [2020] 9 Comp Cas-OL 339 (NCLAT)		546
Shivram Prasad (Y.) <i>v.</i> S. Dhanapal [2019] 214 Comp Cas 83 (NCLAT)		89
Shri Anandi Mukta Sadguru Shree Muktajee Vandasjiswami Suvarna Jayanti Mahotsav Smarak Trust <i>v.</i> Rudani (V. R.) [1989] AIR 1989 SC 1607		420
Solidaire India Ltd. <i>v.</i> Fairgrowth Financial Services Ltd. [2001] 104 Comp Cas 569 (SC)		147
Sooraj Devi (Smt.) <i>v.</i> Pyare Lal [1981] 1 SCC 500 ; [1981] SCC (Cri) 188		128
Sreedevi (P.) <i>v.</i> Appu (P.) [1991] AIR 1991 Ker 76 <i>relied on</i>		59
State Bank of India <i>v.</i> Ramakrishnan (V.) [2018] 210 Comp Cas 364 (SC)		379
do. <i>v.</i> do. <i>distinguished</i>		366
State Bank of India <i>v.</i> Videocon Industries Ltd. [2018] 3 Comp Cas-OL 144 (NCLT)		76
State of Bihar <i>v.</i> Amit Kumar <i>alias</i> Bachcha Rai [2017] 13 SCC 751		247
State of Bihar <i>v.</i> Rani Sonabati Kumari [1954] AIR 1954 Patna 513		224
State of Gujarat <i>v.</i> Mohanlal Jitamalji Porwal [1987] 2 SCC 364		247
State of Haryana <i>v.</i> Bhajan Lal [1992] Supp (1) SCC 335		505

xii	COMPANY CASES	[VOL. 220
		PAGE
	State of Madhya Pradesh <i>v.</i> Kajad [2001] 7 SCC 673	247
	State of Maharashtra <i>v.</i> Nainmal Punjaji Shah [1969] 3 SCC 904	247
	State of Punjab <i>v.</i> Darshan Singh [2004] 1 SCC 328	128
	State of Punjab <i>v.</i> Khanna (V. K.) [2001] AIR 2001 SC 343	420
	State of Uttar Pradesh <i>v.</i> Saroj Kumar Sinha [2010] AIR 2010 SC 3131	420
	State of West Bengal <i>v.</i> Ghosh (S. K.) [1963] AIR 1963 SC 255	147
	State of West Bengal <i>v.</i> Ghosh (S. K.) [1963] AIR 1963 SC 255 <i>relied on</i>	147
	Sussex Brick Co. Ltd., <i>In re</i> [1960] 1 All ER 772 (Ch D)	262
	Swiss Ribbons P. Ltd. <i>v.</i> Union of India [2019] 213 Comp Cas 198 (SC) <i>relied on</i>	89
	Syndicate Bank <i>v.</i> Official Liquidator, Prashant Engineering Co. P. Ltd. [1986] 59 Comp Cas 301 (Delhi)	147
	Tayal Cotton P. Ltd. <i>v.</i> State of Maharashtra [2019] 212 Comp Cas 421 (Bom)	224
	Thansingh Nathmal <i>v.</i> Mazid (A.), Superintendent of Taxes [1964] AIR 1964 SC 1419	48
	The Aligarh Municipal Board <i>v.</i> Ekka Tonga Mazdoor Union [1970] 3 SCC 98	224
	Titaghur Paper Mills Ltd. <i>v.</i> State of Orissa [1983] 142 ITR 663 (SC)	48
	Transport Commissioner <i>v.</i> Radha Krishna Moorthy (A.) [1995] 1 SCC 332	420
	Triveni Shankar Saxena <i>v.</i> State of Uttar Pradesh [1992] AIR 1992 SC 496	9
	Union Bank of India <i>v.</i> Surana Metals Ltd. [2020] 220 Comp Cas 54 (NCLT) <i>affirmed</i>	59
	Union of India <i>v.</i> Gopinath (B. V.) [2014] 1 SCC 351	420
	Union of India <i>v.</i> Mohd. Nasseem Siddiqui [2005] ILLJ 931 (MP)	420
	Union of India <i>v.</i> Rattan Mallik <i>alias</i> Habul [2009] 2 SCC 624 <i>relied on</i>	247
	Union of India <i>v.</i> Saurabh Chatterji [2006] 9 SCC 759	247
	Union of India <i>v.</i> Shiv Shankar Kesari [2007] 7 SCC 798 <i>relied on</i>	247
	Union of India <i>v.</i> SICOM Ltd. [2009] 147 Comp Cas 531 (SC)	147

2020]		TABLE OF CASES CITED	xiii
			PAGE
United Bank of India <i>v.</i> Satyawati Tondon [2010] 158 Comp Cas 251 (SC)			147, 410, 495, 505
do.	<i>v.</i>	do.	<i>relied on</i> 48
Urmila Kumari <i>v.</i> Om Prakash Jangra [2015] SCC Online Delhi 8283			147
Vashdeo R. Bhojwani <i>v.</i> Abhyudaya Co-operative Bank Ltd. [2019] 8 Comp Cas-OL 551 (SC) <i>relied on</i>			357
Virupaksha (K.) <i>v.</i> State of Karnataka [2020] 220 Comp Cas 495 (Karn) <i>reversed</i>			505

INDEX TO JOURNAL SECTION

Articles :	PAGE
Analysis of cases pertaining to the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016—Volume 219— <i>Poornima (N.), Consultant</i> ...	12
Case law analysis—Volume 219— <i>Dr. K. R. Chandratre, Practising Company Secretary, Past President, the Institute of Company Secretaries of India</i> ...	1

INDEX TO STATUTES, RULES, CIRCULARS, NOTIFICATIONS, ETC.

	PAGE
Circulars :	
<i>MCA Circulars :</i>	
Circular No. 12/2020, dated 30th March, 2020—Companies Fresh Start Scheme, 2020 ...	187
Circular No. 13/2020, dated 30th March, 2020—LLP Settlement Scheme, 2020—Modification—Regarding ...	195
Circular No. 14/2020, dated 8th April, 2020—Clarification on passing of ordinary and special resolutions by companies under the Companies Act, 2013 and rules made thereunder on account of the threat posed by COVID-19 ...	197
Circular No. 15/2020, dated 10th April, 2020—COVID-19 related Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs) on Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) ...	203
Circular No. 16/2020, dated 13th April, 2020—Filings under section 124 and section 125 of the Companies Act, 2013 read with IEPFA (Accounting, Audit, Transfer and Refund) Rules, 2016 in view of emerging situation due to outbreak of COVID-19 ...	205

2020] INDEX TO STATUTES, RULES, CIRCULARS, NOTIFICATIONS, ETC. xv

Circulars : (Contd.)	PAGE
<i>MCA Circulars : (Contd.)</i>	
Circular No. 17/2020, dated 13th April, 2020—Clarification on passing of ordinary and special resolutions by companies under the Companies Act, 2013 and rules made thereunder on account of the threat posed by COVID-19 ...	206
Circular No. 18/2020, dated 21st April, 2020—Holding of annual general meetings by companies whose financial year has ended on 31st December, 2019 ...	210
Circular No. 19/2020, dated 30th April, 2020—Extension of the last date of filing of Form NFRA-2—Regarding ...	211
Circular No. 20/2020, dated 5th May, 2020—Clarification on holding of annual general meeting (AGM) through video conferencing (VC) or other audio visual means (OAVM) ...	211
Circular No. 21/2020, dated 11th May, 2020—Clarification on dispatch of notice under section 62(2) of the Companies Act, 2013 by listed companies for rights issue opening up to 31st July, 2020 ...	215
Circular No. 22/2020, dated 15th June, 2020—Clarification on passing of ordinary and special resolutions by companies under the Companies Act, 2013 read with rules made thereunder on account of COVID-19—Extension of time—Regarding ...	216
Circular No. 23/2020, dated 17th June, 2020—Scheme for relaxation of time for filing forms related to creation or modification of charges under the Companies Act, 2013 ...	217
Circular No. 24/2020, dated 19th June, 2020—Clarification with regard to creation of deposit repayment reserve of 20 per cent. under section 73(2)(c) of the Companies Act, 2013 and to invest or deposit 15 per cent. of amount of debentures under rule 18 of the Companies (Share Capital and Debentures) Rules, 2014—COVID-19—Extension of time—Regarding ...	219
<i>SEBI Circulars :</i>	
SEBI/HO/CFD/DIL2/CIR/P/2020/78, dated 6th May, 2020—Relaxations relating to procedural matters—Issues and listing ...	1

xvi COMPANY CASES [VOL. 220

Rules :

Companies (Incorporation) Amendment Rules, 2020 ... 154

Companies (Winding up) Rules, 2020 ... 4

Regulations :

Securities and Exchange Board of India (Regulatory Sandbox)
(Amendment) Regulations, 2020 ... 220

Orders :

Companies (Auditor's Report) Order, 2020 ... 179

COMPANY CASES

VOLUME 220 — 2020

GENERAL INDEX

	PAGE
<p>Acknowledgment of debt—Insolvency resolution—Petition by financial creditor—Limitation—Petition filed within three years of acknowledgment of debt—Fresh limitation starting from date of acknowledgment—Petition within limitation—UNION BANK OF INDIA <i>v.</i> U. P. STATE SPINNING CO. LTD. (NCLT) . . .</p>	335
<p>—Insolvency resolution—Petition by financial creditor—Sufficient evidence for debt due and default—Corporate debtor and guarantors acknowledging debts by offering repeated one-time settlement proposals after filing of petition—Petition to be admitted—BANK OF BARODA <i>v.</i> PITHAMPUR POLY PRODUCTS LTD. (NCLT) . . .</p>	300
<p>—Insolvency resolution—Petition by operational creditor—Limitation—Acknowledgment of debt by creditor after expiry of initial limitation period—Subsequently parties entering into memorandum of understanding whereunder debtor agreeing to make payment within 6 months—Petition filed within limitation from date of memorandum of understanding—Acknowledgment of debt and default by debtor—Petition to admitted—UNIWORD TELECOM LTD. <i>v.</i> TAURUS EXPORTS P. LTD. (NCLT) . . .</p>	4
<p>—Insolvency resolution—Petition by operational creditor—Limitation—Time-barred debt—No acknowledgment of debt or other circumstances to extend period of limitation—Petition barred by limitation—BHAWANI INDUSTRIES P. LTD. <i>v.</i> INDERJIT FORGINGS P. LTD. (NCLT) . . .</p>	357
<p>Adjudicating Authority—Powers—Has power to refer matter to Central Government for investigation into affairs of company—Companies Act, 2013, s. 213—Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016—National Company Law Tribunal Rules, 2016, r. 11—M. SRINIVAS <i>v.</i> SMT. RAMANATHAN BHUVANESHWARI (NCLAT) . . .</p>	89
<p>—Insolvency resolution—Resolution plan—Committee of creditors—Commercial wisdom of committee of creditors in approving or rejecting resolution plan—Adjudicating Authority has no power to</p>	

ii	COMPANY CASES	[VOL. 220
		PAGE
	Adjudicating Authority (Contd.)—	
	scrutinise resolution plan approved or declined by requisite majority of committee of creditors— RAI BAHADUR SHREE RAM AND CO. P. LTD. v. BHUVAN MADAN, RESOLUTION PROFESSIONAL OF FERRO ALLOYS CORPORATION LTD. (NCLAT) . . .	110
	—Insolvency resolution—Resolution plan—Committee of creditors giving equal opportunities to all participants and approving one resolution plan after evaluation—Adjudicating Authority has no power to intervene— RURAL ELECTRIFICATION CORPORATION v. FERRO ALLOYS CORPORATION LTD. (NCLT) . . .	518
	—Insolvency resolution—Resolution plan—Resolution plan submitted by two applicants approved and implemented—Rectification of plan—Powers—No power to rectify resolution plan already approved—Order directing rectification on application filed by one applicant without consent of other applicant—To be set aside— QVC EXPORTS P. LTD. v. UNITED TRADECO FZC (NCLAT) . . .	128
	Appeal to Appellate Tribunal —Interim order for stay—Unprecedented situation arising out of spread of Covid-19 virus—Parties unable to attend hearing due to lock-down declared by Government—Interim direction or stay order passed in appeals to continue until next date of hearing to be notified later—National Company Law Appellate Tribunal Rules, 2016, r. 11—Companies Act, 2013— Suo Motu, In re (NCLAT) . . .	451
	—Interim order for stay—Unprecedented situation arising out of spread of Covid-19 virus—Parties unable to attend hearing due to lock-down declared by Government—Interim direction or stay order passed in appeals to continue until next date of hearing to be notified later—National Company Law Appellate Tribunal Rules, 2016, r. 11—Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016, s. 61— Suo Motu, In re (NCLAT) . . .	449
	—Interim order for stay—Unprecedented situation arising out of spread of Covid-19 virus—Parties unable to attend hearing due to lock-down declared by Government—Interim directions or stay orders passed in all appeals to continue until further orders—Competition Act, 2002— Suo Motu, In re (NCLAT) . . .	452
	Application by financial creditor —Insolvency resolution—Application by financial creditor admitted and moratorium declared—Notice by Ministry of Petroleum demanding profit share—Prohibition on recovery of any amount during moratorium—Claim to be made to resolution professional under Code—Notice not to be implemented— VIDEOCON INDUSTRIES LTD. v. STATE BANK OF INDIA (NCLT) . . .	76
	—Insolvency resolution—Application filed by financial creditor against guarantor—Existence of default—Application to be admitted— UNION BANK OF INDIA v. SURANA METALS LTD. (NCLT) . . .	54

2020]	GENERAL INDEX	iii
	Application by financial creditor (<i>Contd.</i>)—	PAGE
	—Insolvency resolution—Creditor allottee of flat claiming refund of amount paid for possession of flat—Letter for handing over possession issued by corporate debtor—No default on part of corporate debtor—Petition rejected—Proper— PARVESH MAGOO v. IREO GRACE REALTECH P. LTD. (NCLAT) . . .	120
	—Insolvency resolution—Existence of default—Application to be admitted— UNION BANK OF INDIA v. GREENDIAMZ BIOTECH LTD. (NCLT) . . .	397
	—Insolvency resolution—Financial creditor allottee of real estate project—Seeking refund of money on ground of delay—Finding that no delay in delivery of possession—Application to be rejected— PARVESH MAGOO v. IREO GRACE REALTECH P. LTD. (NCLT) . . .	116
	—Insolvency resolution—Financial debt—Includes debt owed to creditor by principal borrower and guarantor—Pendency of debt recovery proceedings not a bar to initiate insolvency process—Application not barred by time—Application admitted against guarantor—Proper— LAXMI PAT SURANA v. UNION BANK OF INDIA (NCLAT) . . .	59
	Application for bail —Offences and prosecution—Serious economic offences—No grounds to believe that applicant not guilty of offences alleged against him and not likely to commit any offence under Act while on bail—Bail application to be rejected— NITIN JOHARI v. SERIOUS FRAUD INVESTIGATION OFFICE (Delhi) . . .	247
	Arbitration —Limitation—Dishonour of cheques—Corona virus—Effect—Supreme Court—Order that all periods of limitation prescribed under Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 and under section 138 of Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 extended with effect from March 15, 2020 till further orders—Where limitation expired after March 15, 2020 period from March 15, 2020 till date on which lockdown lifted in jurisdictional area shall be extended for 15 days after lifting of lock-down— COGNIZANCE FOR EXTENSION OF LIMITATION, In re (SC) . . .	454
	Articles of association —Shares—Company's lien on shares—Articles excluding application of model article giving company lien on shares—Company has no right to exercise lien on shares for recovery of dues—No right to auction and allot shares to third parties ignoring right of fully paid-up shareholders— P. M. ALICE v. VYAPAR MANDIR PALARIVATTOM P. LTD. (NCLT) . . .	9
	Attachment —Prevention of money-laundering—Special Court—Jurisdiction—Release of property—Jurisdiction to release goods and to entertain objections to attachment conferred both on appellate court and Special Court—Special Court cannot only release attachment but also restore confiscated property to legitimate claimant—Upon attachment or confiscation order attaining finality, or commencement of trial of case under	

iv	COMPANY CASES	[VOL. 220
		PAGE
Attachment (Contd.)—		
section 4 of Act, claim of party asserting to have acted bona fide or having legitimate interest can be inquired into and adjudicated upon only by Special Court—DEPUTY DIRECTOR, DIRECTORATE OF ENFORCEMENT OF DELHI <i>v.</i> AXIS BANK (Delhi) . . .		147
—Prevention of money-laundering—Third party interest—Commission of criminal activity be treated as cut-off date—Interest created prior to criminal activity—Order of attachment valid but State action would be restricted to value of property exceeding claim of third party—DEPUTY DIRECTOR, DIRECTORATE OF ENFORCEMENT OF DELHI <i>v.</i> AXIS BANK (Delhi) . . .		147
Auction of shares —Shareholders—Rights—No rental agreement between company and shareholder—Auction of shares by company for recovery of rental arrears—Mala fide and with ulterior motives—P. M. ALICE <i>v.</i> VYAPAR MANDIR PALARIVATTOM P. LTD. (NCLT) . . .		9
Auditor —Offences and prosecution—Failure to discharge duty—No proof that he acted fraudulently—Order directing auditor to refund remuneration and suspension to be set aside—MUKESH MANEKLAL CHOKSI <i>v.</i> UNION OF INDIA (NCLAT) . . .		101
Bank —Enforcement of security interest—Property attached under Prevention of Money-Laundering Act, 2002—Proceeds of crime not debt due to Government—Attachment of proceeds of crime under Act in nature of civil sanction—Empowered enforcement officer having authority not only to attach “tainted property” but also any other asset or property of equivalent value of offender of money-laundering if tainted property unavailable or untraceable—Act having overriding effect over other existing laws in matter of dealing with “money-laundering” and “proceeds of crime” relating thereto—Charge or encumbrance of a third party in property attached under Act cannot be treated or declared as “void” unless material available to show that it was created to defeat Act—Third party claimant to prove its bona fide by cogent evidence that it had acquired interest in such property lawfully and for adequate consideration, not being privy to or complicit in offence of money-laundering and that all compliances with existing law made—Mere issuance of order of attachment under Act does not ipso facto render illegal prior charge or encumbrance of secured creditor—Interest of third party in such property not to be subjected to confiscation if proven that acquisition was anterior to commission of proscribed criminal activity—Prevention of Money-Laundering Act, 2002, ss. 2(1)(d), 4, 5, 8, 9, 12, 71—Recovery of Debts and Bankruptcy Act, 1993, ss. 31B, 34—Securitisation and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Securities Interest Act, 2002, ss. 26E, 35—Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016, ss. 14, 238—DEPUTY DIRECTOR, DIRECTORATE OF ENFORCEMENT OF DELHI <i>v.</i> AXIS BANK (Delhi) . . .		147

2020]	GENERAL INDEX	v
Bank (Contd.)—		PAGE
—Offences and prosecution—Recovery of dues—Sale of mortgaged property—Reduction of reserve price—Protection of action taken in good faith—Allegation that officials of bank colluded to sell valuable property for lower price—Whether official acted in good faith in conducting auction to be considered only after ascertaining facts—Criminal complaint filed after dismissal of application by Debts Recovery Tribunal and appeal therefrom intimidatory tactic and afterthought—Complaint against bank officials quashed with liberty to complainant to appeal against order of dismissals— <i>K. VIRUPAKSHA v. STATE OF KARNATAKA</i> (SC) . . .		505
—Offences and prosecution—Recovery of dues—Sale of mortgaged property—Reduction of reserve price—Protection of action taken in good faith—Allegation that officials of bank colluded to sell valuable property for lower price—Whether official acted in good faith in conducting auction to be considered only after ascertaining facts—Disputed question of facts involved—Protection not available—Unless serious allegations looked into by concerned police, court cannot exercise powers to quash proceedings—Petition dismissed— <i>K. VIRUPAKSHA v. STATE OF KARNATAKA</i> (Karn) . . .		495
—Writ—Maintainability—Existence of alternative remedy—Enforcement of security interest—Challenge to notice issued by bank calling for payment—Debts Recovery Tribunal empowered to examine all issues including measures taken by secured creditor—Case presented by borrower not falling within exceptions enabling exercise of discretionary power by court—Writ petition dismissed— <i>BLUEFERN VENTURES P. LTD. v. UNION OF INDIA</i> (Sikkim) . . .		48
Bank and customer —Writ—Maintainability—Recovery of loan—Recovery before completion of moratorium on recovery—Issue governed by agreement between bank and customer—Involving examination on facts—Cannot be examined by Reserve Bank of India or High Court—Proceedings already initiated before Debts Recovery Tribunal—Borrower to raise issue before Tribunal—No direction can be given to Reserve Bank of India to examine issue— <i>CEE DEE YES HEALTH CARE SERVICES P. LTD. v. RESERVE BANK OF INDIA</i> (Mad) . . .		410
Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 , sec. 439—See OFFENCES AND PROSECUTION . . .		247
—Sec. 482—See OFFENCES AND PROSECUTION		495, 505
Committee of creditors —Insolvency resolution—Creditor—Fraudulent transactions—Direction for forensic audit— <i>ASSET RECONSTRUCTION CO. (INDIA) LTD. v. GOPAL KRISHNA RAJU</i> (NCLT) . . .		327
—Insolvency resolution—Related party—Committee of creditors must be completely independent and free from influence based on vested interest of promoters or their close relatives—Party related to promoters		

vi	COMPANY CASES	[VOL. 220
		PAGE
	Committee of creditors (Contd.)—	
	disqualified from participating, voting and representing in committee of creditors— ASSET RECONSTRUCTION CO. (INDIA) LTD. v. GOPAL KRISHNA RAJU (NCLT) . . .	327
	—Insolvency resolution—Resolution plan—Approval or rejection of resolution plan depends upon commercial wisdom of committee of creditors—Adjudicating Authority not to intervene— IMR METALLURGICAL RESOURCES AG v. FERRO ALLOYS CORPORATION LTD. (NCLAT) . . .	528
	—Insolvency resolution—Resolution plan—Commercial wisdom of committee of creditors in approving or rejecting resolution plan—Adjudicating Authority has no power to scrutinise resolution plan approved or declined by requisite majority of committee of creditors— RAI BAHADUR SHREE RAM AND CO. P. LTD. v. BHUVAN MADAN, RESOLUTION PROFESSIONAL OF FERRO ALLOYS CORPORATION LTD. (NCLAT) . . .	110
	—Insolvency resolution—Resolution plan—Committee of creditors giving equal opportunities to all participants and approving one resolution plan after evaluation—Adjudicating Authority has no power to intervene— RURAL ELECTRIFICATION CORPORATION v. FERRO ALLOYS CORPORATION LTD. (NCLT) . . .	518
	—Insolvency resolution—Resolution plan—Plan approved by committee of creditors—Plan complying with statutory provisions—To be approved— SUDIP BHATTACHARYA v. DHAR TEXTILE MILLS LTD. (NCLT) . . .	456
	—Insolvency resolution—Resolution plan—Plan approved by committee of creditors—Plan feasible and viable—To be approved— ALLAHABAD BANK v. MEGHALAYA INFRATECH LTD. (NCLT) . . .	290
	Companies Act, 1956 , sec. 140—See OFFENCES AND PROSECUTION . . .	101
	—Sec. 217(1)—See OFFENCES AND PROSECUTION . . .	69
	—Secs. 391, 392, 393—See SCHEME OF COMPROMISE AND ARRANGEMENT . . .	262
	—Sec. 397—See OPPRESSION AND MISMANAGEMENT . . .	1, 139
	—Sec. 398—See OPPRESSION AND MISMANAGEMENT . . .	1, 139
	—Secs. 402, 403, 408—See OPPRESSION AND MISMANAGEMENT . . .	139
	—Sec. 447—See OFFENCES AND PROSECUTION . . .	101
	—Sch. I, Table A, regln. 9—See SHARES . . .	9
	Companies Act, 2013 , sec. 59(1)—See REGISTER OF MEMBERS . . .	9
	See SHAREHOLDERS . . .	9
	—Sec. 178—See DIRECTORS . . .	420
	—Sec. 212—See OFFENCES AND PROSECUTION . . .	247

2020]	GENERAL INDEX	vii
Companies Act, 2013 (Contd.)—		PAGE
—Sec. 213—See ADJUDICATING AUTHORITY	...	89
—Secs. 230, 231, 232—See SCHEME OF AMALGAMATION	...	20
—Sec. 241(2)—See OPPRESSION AND MISMANAGEMENT	...	295
—Sec. 248—See WINDING UP	...	391
—Sec. 252—See COMPANY	...	28
—Sec. 271—See WINDING UP	...	391
—Sec. 284—See OFFENCES AND PROSECUTION	...	247
—Secs. 337, 339—See OPPRESSION AND MISMANAGEMENT	...	295
—Sec. 436, 439—See OFFENCES AND PROSECUTION	...	247
—Sch. I, Table F, regln. 009—See SHARES	...	9
Company—Restoration of name of company—Application for restoration of name allowed—Intervention application—Can be filed only by aggrieved person whose legal rights infringed—Companies Act, 2013, s. 252—KAYNET FINANCE LTD. v. VERONA CAPITAL LTD.	(NCLAT) ...	28
Company's lien on shares—Shares—Articles of association—Articles excluding application of model article giving company lien on shares—Company has no right to exercise lien on shares for recovery of dues—No right to auction and allot shares to third parties ignoring right of fully paid-up shareholders—P. M. ALICE v. VYAPAR MANDIR PALARIVATTOM P. LTD.	(NCLT) ...	9
Competent authority—Directors—Removal of directors—Standards of conduct and performance—Disciplinary proceedings—Inquiry Committee—Managing director and chief executive officer competent authority—RAJEEV AGARWAL v. UNION OF INDIA	(Delhi) ...	420
Compounding of offences—Offences and prosecution—Failure to enclose board's report with balance-sheet—Board's report attached with application for compounding—Offence to be compounded subject to deposit of compounding fees—PRANATPAL TRADELINK P. LTD., In re	(NCLT) ...	69
Constitution of India, arts. 141, 142—See LIMITATION	...	447
—Art. 226—See WRIT		48, 410
Contempt of court—Insolvency resolution—Moratorium—Effect—Wilful disobedience of order—Disbursement of payments by company to clear liabilities towards its creditors governed by proceedings under Code—Company and its directors prevented by law from satisfying compromise decree—No direction can be given to company or its directors to give any preferential treatment to petitioner and satisfy decree—Not a case of wilful disobedience to punish company or its directors—VED PRAKASH ABBOT v. KISHORE K. AVARSEKAR	(Delhi) ...	224

viii	COMPANY CASES	[VOL. 220
		PAGE
Contempt of Courts Act, 1961 , secs. 10, 12—See INSOLVENCY RESOLUTION . . .		224
Creditor allottee —Insolvency resolution—Application by financial creditor—Creditor allottee of flat claiming refund of amount paid for possession of flat—Letter for handing over possession issued by corporate debtor—No default on part of corporate debtor—Petition rejected—Proper— PARVESH MAGOO v. IREO GRACE REALTECH P. LTD. (NCLAT) . . .		120
Date of commencement —Insolvency resolution—Supreme Court by order dated 9-8-2018 directing recommencement of resolution process afresh from stage of appointment of insolvency resolution professional by Adjudicating Authority's order dated 9-8-2017 and extended period of 180 days from date of its decision—Admission order passed by Authority or appointment of interim resolution professional not set aside by Supreme Court—Insolvency commencement date, i. e., cut-off date for calculating quantum of claim amounts for all types and classes of creditors was 9-8-2017 and not 9-8-2018— IDBI BANK LTD. v. ANUJ JAIN (NCLT) . . .		313
Debt and default —Insolvency resolution—Petition by financial creditor—Debt and default proven—Petition admitted— UNION BANK OF INDIA v. U. P. STATE SPINNING CO. LTD. (NCLT) . . .		335
Debts Recovery Tribunal —Insolvency resolution—Petition by financial creditor—Limitation—Code has overriding effect on other laws—Proceedings initiated or pending in Debts Recovery Tribunal cannot be taken into account for purposes of limitation—One-time settlement offer not accepted by financial creditor and cannot be treated as acknowledgment—Appropriation of amount by creditor from guarantor not to extend period of limitation—No acknowledgment issued by corporate debtor prior to expiry of 3 years or from date of default—Petition filed by creditor before Adjudicating Authority beyond period of limitation and to be dismissed— BIMALKUMAR MANUBHAI SAVALIA v. BANK OF INDIA (NCLAT) . . .		546
—Offences and prosecution—Bank—Recovery of dues—Sale of mortgaged property—Reduction of reserve price—Protection of action taken in good faith—Allegation that officials of bank colluded to sell valuable property for lower price—Whether official acted in good faith in conducting auction to be considered only after ascertaining facts—Criminal complaint filed after dismissal of application by Debts Recovery Tribunal and appeal therefrom intimidatory tactic and afterthought—Complaint against bank officials quashed with liberty to complainant to appeal against order of dismissals— K. VIRUPAKSHA v. STATE OF KARNATAKA (SC) . . .		505

2020]	GENERAL INDEX	ix
	Debts Recovery Tribunal (Contd.)—	PAGE
	—Writ—Maintainability—Bank and customer—Recovery of loan—Recovery before completion of moratorium on recovery—Issue governed by agreement between bank and customer—Involving examination on facts—Cannot be examined by Reserve Bank of India or High Court—Proceedings already initiated before Debts Recovery Tribunal—Borrower to raise issue before Tribunal—No direction can be given to Reserve Bank of India to examine issue—CEE DEE YES HEALTH CARE SERVICES P. LTD. <i>v.</i> RESERVE BANK OF INDIA (Mad) . . .	410
	—Writ—Maintainability—Existence of alternative remedy—Bank—Enforcement of security interest—Challenge to notice issued by bank calling for payment—Debts Recovery Tribunal empowered to examine all issues including measures taken by secured creditor—Case presented by borrower not falling within exceptions enabling exercise of discretionary power by court—Writ petition dismissed—BLUEFERN VENTURES P. LTD. <i>v.</i> UNION OF INDIA (Sikkim) . . .	48
	Directors —Removal—Standards of conduct and performance—Disciplinary proceedings—Inquiry Committee—Managing director and chief executive officer competent authority—Companies Act, 2013, s. 178—RAJEEV AGARWAL <i>v.</i> UNION OF INDIA (Delhi) . . .	420
	Disbursement of loan —Insolvency resolution—Petition by financial creditor—Disbursement of loan amount in terms of memorandum of understanding—Subsequent builder buyer agreement converting loan as consideration for flat—Creditor as home buyer entitled to file petition—Period mentioned in agreement not lapsed and possession of flat offered before date of possession in agreement—No default established as on date of filing of petition—Petition filed prematurely and dismissed—AAJ FINANCE AND CREDIT LTD. <i>v.</i> KELTECH INFRASTRUCTURES LTD. (NCLT) . . .	36
	—Insolvency resolution—Petition by financial creditor—Disbursement of loan in terms of memorandum of understanding—Subsequent builder buyer agreement between parties converting loan into consideration for flat—Period mentioned in agreement not lapsed and possession of flat offered before completion of possession date as given in agreement—Dismissal of petition as premature—AAJ FINANCE AND CREDIT LTD. <i>v.</i> KELTECH INFRASTRUCTURE LTD. (NCLAT) . . .	43
	Disciplinary proceedings —Directors—Removal—Standards of conduct and performance—Inquiry Committee—Managing director and chief executive officer competent authority—RAJEEV AGARWAL <i>v.</i> UNION OF INDIA (Delhi) . . .	420
	Dishonour of cheque —Insolvency resolution—Petition by operational creditor—Dispute as to debt—Communication seeking details of	

x

COMPANY CASES

[VOL. 220

Dishonour of cheque (Contd.)—	PAGE
services rendered by operational creditor—Cannot be termed as pre-existing debt—Litigation between third parties arising out of dishonour of cheque not relevant—Debt and default established—Petition admitted— CAPEEDGE CONSULTING P. LTD. v. INDIA TECHS LTD. (NCLT) . . .	482
—Limitation—Arbitration—Corona virus—Effect—Supreme Court—Order that all periods of limitation prescribed under Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 and under section 138 of Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 extended with effect from March 15, 2020 till further orders—Where limitation expired after March 15, 2020 period from March 15, 2020 till date on which lockdown lifted in jurisdictional area shall be extended for 15 days after lifting of lock-down— COGNIZANCE FOR EXTENSION OF LIMITATION, In re (SC) . . .	454
Dispute as to debt —Insolvency resolution—Petition by operational creditor—Communication seeking details of services rendered by operational creditor—Cannot be termed as pre-existing debt—Litigation between third parties arising out of dishonour of cheque not relevant—Debt and default established—Petition admitted— CAPEEDGE CONSULTING P. LTD. v. INDIA TECHS LTD. (NCLT) . . .	482
—Insolvency resolution—Petition by operational creditor—Must be in relation to quality of services rendered by operational creditor—Corporate debtor not able to show any dispute regarding quality of services rendered by creditor—Admission of petition affirmed— GEORGE VINCI THOMAS v. CAPEEDGE CONSULTING P. LTD. (NCLAT) . . .	490
Effect of Corona virus —Limitation—Arbitration—Dishonour of cheques—Supreme Court—Order that all periods of limitation prescribed under Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 and under section 138 of Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 extended with effect from March 15, 2020 till further orders—Where limitation expired after March 15, 2020 period from March 15, 2020 till date on which lockdown lifted in jurisdictional area shall be extended for 15 days after lifting of lock-down— COGNIZANCE FOR EXTENSION OF LIMITATION, In re (SC) . . .	454
—Limitation—Difficulties likely to be faced by lawyers and litigants in filing proceedings within period of limitation—Supreme Court—Taking suo motu cognizance of situation—Direction that irrespective of limitation prescribed under general or special laws, and whether condonable or not, period of limitation in all such proceedings to stand extended with effect from March 15, 2020 till further orders—Order of Supreme Court binding on all authorities and courts and Tribunals— COGNIZANCE FOR EXTENSION OF LIMITATION, In re (SC) . . .	447

2020]	GENERAL INDEX	xi
		PAGE
	Effect of moratorium —Insolvency resolution—Contempt of court—Wilful disobedience of order—Disbursement of payments by company to clear liabilities towards its creditors governed by proceedings under Code—Company and its directors prevented by law from satisfying compromise decree—No direction can be given to company or its directors to give any preferential treatment to petitioner and satisfy decree—Not a case of wilful disobedience to punish company or its directors—VED PRAKASH ABBOT <i>v.</i> KISHORE K. AVARSEKAR (Delhi) . . .	224
	—Insolvency resolution—Not to interfere with authority conferred on enforcement officer under 2002 Act in respect of proceeds of crime—DEPUTY DIRECTOR, DIRECTORATE OF ENFORCEMENT OF DELHI <i>v.</i> AXIS BANK (Delhi) . . .	147
	Enforcement of security interest —Bank—Property attached under Prevention of Money-Laundering Act, 2002—Proceeds of crime not debt due to Government—Attachment of proceeds of crime under Act in nature of civil sanction—Empowered enforcement officer having authority not only to attach “tainted property” but also any other asset or property of equivalent value of offender of money-laundering if tainted property unavailable or untraceable—Act having overriding effect over other existing laws in matter of dealing with “money-laundering” and “proceeds of crime” relating thereto—Charge or encumbrance of a third party in property attached under Act cannot be treated or declared as “void” unless material available to show that it was created to defeat Act—Third party claimant to prove its bona fide by cogent evidence that it had acquired interest in such property lawfully and for adequate consideration, not being privy to or complicit in offence of money-laundering and that all compliances with existing law made—Mere issuance of order of attachment under Act does not ipso facto render illegal prior charge or encumbrance of secured creditor—Interest of third party in such property not to be subjected to confiscation if proven that acquisition was anterior to commission of proscribed criminal activity—DEPUTY DIRECTOR, DIRECTORATE OF ENFORCEMENT OF DELHI <i>v.</i> AXIS BANK (Delhi) . . .	147
	—Writ—Maintainability—Existence of alternative remedy—Bank—Challenge to notice issued by bank calling for payment—Debts Recovery Tribunal empowered to examine all issues including measures taken by secured creditor—Case presented by borrower not falling within exceptions enabling exercise of discretionary power by court—Writ petition dismissed—BLUEFERN VENTURES P. LTD. <i>v.</i> UNION OF INDIA (Sikkim) . . .	48
	Existence of debts —Insolvency resolution—Petition by financial creditor—Pendency of proceeding under Securitisation and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Securities Interest Act, 2002 or	

xii	COMPANY CASES	[VOL. 220
		PAGE
Existence of debts (<i>Contd.</i>)—		
other dispute would not bar initiation of corporate insolvency resolution process—Existence of debts and default shown—Petition complete in all respect and filed within limitation—Petition admitted— BANK OF INDIA v. RADHESHYAM AGRO PRODUCTS P. LTD. (NCLT) . . .		535
Existence of default —Insolvency resolution—Application by financial creditor—Application to be admitted— UNION BANK OF INDIA v. GREENDIAMZ BIOTECH LTD. (NCLT) . . .		397
—Insolvency resolution—Application filed by financial creditor against guarantor—Application to be admitted— UNION BANK OF INDIA v. SURANA METALS LTD. (NCLT) . . .		54
Failure to discharge —Offences and prosecution—Auditor—Failure to discharge duty—No proof that he acted fraudulently—Order directing auditor to refund remuneration and suspension to be set aside— MUKESH MANEKLAL CHOKSI v. UNION OF INDIA (NCLAT) . . .		101
Financial debt —Insolvency resolution—Application by financial creditor—Includes debt owed to creditor by principal borrower and guarantor—Pendency of debt recovery proceedings not a bar to initiate insolvency process—Application not barred by time—Application admitted against guarantor—Proper— LAXMI PAT SURANA v. UNION BANK OF INDIA (NCLAT) . . .		59
Fraudulent conduct or business —Oppression and mismanagement—Mismanagement—Fraud—Freezing of accounts of person liable for fraudulent conduct or business—Only of responsible for business of company being mismanaged and not business of another company or other persons—Freezing of accounts of officer of bank for omission to take precautions or preventive steps to prevent fraud perpetrated by person or company—Without jurisdiction— USHA ANANTHASUBRAMANIAN v. UNION OF INDIA (SC) . . .		295
Fraudulent transactions —Insolvency resolution—Committee of creditors—Creditor—Direction for forensic audit— ASSET RECONSTRUCTION Co. (INDIA) LTD. v. GOPAL KRISHNA RAJU (NCLT) . . .		327
Freezing of accounts —Oppression and mismanagement—Mismanagement—Fraud—Freezing of accounts of person liable for fraudulent conduct or business—Only of responsible for business of company being mismanaged and not business of another company or other persons—Freezing of accounts of officer of bank for omission to take precautions or preventive steps to prevent fraud perpetrated by person or company—Without jurisdiction— USHA ANANTHASUBRAMANIAN v. UNION OF INDIA (SC) . . .		295
Indian Contract Act, 1872 , sec. 25(3)—See INSOLVENCY RESOLUTION . . .		4

2020]	GENERAL INDEX	xiii
		PAGE
Inquiry Committee —Directors—Removal—Standards of conduct and performance—Disciplinary proceedings—Managing director and chief executive officer competent authority— RAJEEV AGARWAL v. UNION OF INDIA (Delhi) . . .		420
Insolvency and Bankruptcy (Application to Adjudicating Authority) Rules, 2016 , r. 4—See INSOLVENCY RESOLUTION . . .		470
Insolvency and Bankruptcy Board of India (Insolvency Resolution Process for Corporate Persons) Regulations, 2016 , regln. 38—See INSOLVENCY RESOLUTION . . .		290
Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016 , sec. 5(8)—See INSOLVENCY RESOLUTION . . .		470
—Sec. 5(12)—See INSOLVENCY RESOLUTION . . .		313
—Sec. 5(24)—See INSOLVENCY RESOLUTION . . .		327
—Sec. 7—See INSOLVENCY RESOLUTION 36, 43, 54, 59, 76, 116, 120, 300, 313, 335, 397, 470, 535, 546, 554, 562		
—Sec. 8—See INSOLVENCY RESOLUTION . . .		280
—Sec. 9—See INSOLVENCY RESOLUTION 4, 280, 357, 482, 490		
—Sec. 12—See INSOLVENCY RESOLUTION 313, 449		
—Sec. 14—See BANK . . .		147
See INSOLVENCY RESOLUTION 76, 147, 224		
—Sec. 16—See INSOLVENCY RESOLUTION . . .		313
—Sec. 21—See INSOLVENCY RESOLUTION . . .		327
—Sec. 24A—See INSOLVENCY RESOLUTION . . .		327
—Sec. 30—See INSOLVENCY RESOLUTION 110, 290, 456, 518, 528		
—Sec. 31—See INSOLVENCY RESOLUTION 110, 290, 528		
—Sec. 32—See INSOLVENCY RESOLUTION . . .		110
—Sec. 33(1)—See INSOLVENCY RESOLUTION . . .		346
—Sec. 60—See INSOLVENCY RESOLUTION 128, 366		
—Sec. 60(2)—See INSOLVENCY RESOLUTION . . .		379
—Sec. 60(5)—See INSOLVENCY RESOLUTION 327, 518		
—Sec. 61—See APPEAL TO APPELLATE TRIBUNAL . . .		449
See INSOLVENCY RESOLUTION . . .		449
—Sec. 238—See BANK . . .		147
See INSOLVENCY RESOLUTION 147, 546, 554		
Insolvency resolution —Application by financial creditor admitted and moratorium declared—Notice by Ministry of Petroleum demanding profit share—Prohibition on recovery of any amount during moratorium—Claim to be made to resolution professional under Code—Notice		

xiv	COMPANY CASES	[VOL. 220
		PAGE
Insolvency resolution (Contd.)—		
not to be implemented—Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016, ss. 7, 14— VIDEOCON INDUSTRIES LTD. v. STATE BANK OF INDIA	(NCLT) . . .	76
—Application by financial creditor—Creditor allottee of flat claiming refund of amount paid for possession of flat—Letter for handing over possession issued by corporate debtor—No default on part of corporate debtor—Petition rejected—Proper—Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016, s. 7— PARVESH MAGOO v. IREO GRACE REALTECH P. LTD.	(NCLAT) . . .	120
—Application by financial creditor—Existence of default—Application to be admitted—Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016, s. 7— UNION BANK OF INDIA v. GREENDIAMZ BIOTECH LTD.	(NCLT) . . .	397
—Application by financial creditor—Financial creditor allottee of real estate project—Seeking refund of money on ground of delay—Finding that no delay in delivery of possession—Application to be rejected—Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016, s. 7— PARVESH MAGOO v. IREO GRACE REALTECH P. LTD.	(NCLT) . . .	116
—Application by financial creditor—Financial debt—Includes debt owed to creditor by principal borrower and guarantor—Pendency of debt recovery proceedings not a bar to initiate insolvency process—Application not barred by time—Application admitted against guarantor—Proper—Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016, s. 7— LAXMI PAT SURANA v. UNION BANK OF INDIA	(NCLAT) . . .	59
—Application filed by financial creditor against guarantor—Existence of default—Application to be admitted—Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016, s. 7— UNION BANK OF INDIA v. SURANA METALS LTD.	(NCLT) . . .	54
—Committee of creditors—Creditor—Fraudulent transactions—Direction for forensic audit—Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016, ss. 21, 60(5)— ASSET RECONSTRUCTION CO. (INDIA) LTD. v. GOPAL KRISHNA RAJU	(NCLT) . . .	327
—Committee of creditors—Related party—Committee of creditors must be completely independent and free from influence based on vested interest of promoters or their close relatives—Party related to promoters disqualified from participating, voting and representing in committee of creditors—Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016, ss. 5(24), 24A— ASSET RECONSTRUCTION CO. (INDIA) LTD. v. GOPAL KRISHNA RAJU	(NCLT) . . .	327
—Date of commencement—Supreme Court by order dated 9-8-2018 directing recommencement of resolution process afresh from stage of appointment of insolvency resolution professional by Adjudicating Authority's order dated 9-8-2017 and extended period of 180 days from		

2020]	GENERAL INDEX	xv
		PAGE
	Insolvency resolution (Contd.)—	
	date of its decision—Admission order passed by Authority or appointment of interim resolution professional not set aside by Supreme Court—Insolvency commencement date, i. e., cut-off date for calculating quantum of claim amounts for all types and classes of creditors was 9-8-2017 and not 9-8-2018—Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016, ss. 5(12), 7, 12, 16—IDBI BANK LTD. <i>v.</i> ANUJ JAIN (NCLT) . . .	313
	—Liquidation—Despite granting sufficient time efforts to revive operations of corporate debtor failing—Liquidation proceedings to be initiated—Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016, s. 33(1)—EDELWEISS ASSET RECONSTRUCTION CO. LTD. <i>v.</i> FALCON TYRES LTD. (NCLT) . . .	346
	—Moratorium—Effect—Contempt of court—Wilful disobedience of order—Disbursement of payments by company to clear liabilities towards its creditors governed by proceedings under Code—Company and its directors prevented by law from satisfying compromise decree—No direction can be given to company or its directors to give any preferential treatment to petitioner and satisfy decree—Not a case of wilful disobedience to punish company or its directors—Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016, s. 14—Contempt of Courts Act, 1961, ss. 10, 12—VED PRAKASH ABBOT <i>v.</i> KISHORE K. AVARSEKAR (Delhi) . . .	224
	—Moratorium—Effect—Not to interfere with authority conferred on enforcement officer under 2002 Act in respect of proceeds of crime—Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016, ss. 14, 238—Prevention of Money-Laundering Act, 2002, ss. 5, 9—DEPUTY DIRECTOR, DIRECTORATE OF ENFORCEMENT OF DELHI <i>v.</i> AXIS BANK (Delhi) . . .	147
	—Petition by financial creditor—Acknowledgment of debt—Sufficient evidence for debt due and default—Corporate debtor and guarantors acknowledging debts by offering repeated one-time settlement proposals after filing of petition—Petition to be admitted—Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016, s. 7—BANK OF BARODA <i>v.</i> PITHAMPUR POLY PRODUCTS LTD. (NCLT) . . .	300
	—Petition by financial creditor—Debt and default proven—Petition admitted—Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016, s. 7—UNION BANK OF INDIA <i>v.</i> U. P. STATE SPINNING CO. LTD. (NCLT) . . .	335
	—Petition by financial creditor—Disbursement of loan amount in terms of memorandum of understanding—Subsequent builder buyer agreement converting loan as consideration for flat—Creditor as home buyer entitled to file petition—Period mentioned in agreement not lapsed and possession of flat offered before date of possession in agreement—No default established as on date of filing of petition—Petition filed prematurely and dismissed—Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016, s. 7—AAJ FINANCE AND CREDIT LTD. <i>v.</i> KELTECH INFRASTRUCTURES LTD. (NCLT) . . .	36

Insolvency resolution (Contd.)—

PAGE

—Petition by financial creditor—Disbursement of loan in terms of memorandum of understanding—Subsequent builder buyer agreement between parties converting loan into consideration for flat—Period mentioned in agreement not lapsed and possession of flat offered before completion of possession date as given in agreement—Dismissal of petition as premature—Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016, s. 7—AAJ FINANCE AND CREDIT LTD. *v.* KELTECH INFRASTRUCTURE LTD.

(NCLAT) . . .

43

—Petition by financial creditor—Financial debt—Amount borrowed for short-term for commercial purpose with interest element involved—Loan advanced amounted to financial debt—Failure to repay debt—Petition admitted—Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016, ss. 5(8), 7—SANGITA FISCAL SERVICES P. LTD. *v.* DUNCANS INDUSTRIES LTD.

(NCLT) . . .

470

—Petition by financial creditor—Joint petition by three financial creditors—Petitioner authorised by board resolutions of respective creditors to file petition on their behalf—Petitioner properly authorised to file petition—Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016, s. 7—Insolvency and Bankruptcy (Application to Adjudicating Authority) Rules, 2016, r. 4—SANGITA FISCAL SERVICES P. LTD. *v.* DUNCANS INDUSTRIES LTD.

(NCLT) . . .

470

—Petition by financial creditor—Limitation—Code has overriding effect on other laws—Proceedings initiated or pending in Debts Recovery Tribunal cannot be taken into account for purposes of limitation—One-time settlement offer not accepted by financial creditor and cannot be treated as acknowledgment—Appropriation of amount by creditor from guarantor not to extend period of limitation—No acknowledgment issued by corporate debtor prior to expiry of 3 years or from date of default—Petition filed by creditor before Adjudicating Authority beyond period of limitation and to be dismissed—Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016, ss. 7, 238—Limitation Act, 1963, s. 18, art. 137—BIMALKUMAR MANUBHAI SAVALIA *v.* BANK OF INDIA

(NCLAT) . . .

546

—Petition by financial creditor—Limitation—Default occurring on 1-5-2000—High Court staying coercive action against corporate debtor—Stay vacated on 7-3-2018—Petition filed on 21-8-2018 within limitation—Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016, s. 7—BANK OF BARODA *v.* PITHAMPUR POLY PRODUCTS LTD.

(NCLT) . . .

300

—Petition by financial creditor—Limitation—Initiation of proceedings under Securitisation and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Securities Interest Act, 2002 within limitation—Petition under also filed within limitation period from date of revised one-time settlement offer—Petition within limitation—Limitation Act, 1963, s. 18—

2020]	GENERAL INDEX	xvii
		PAGE
	Insolvency resolution (Contd.)—	
	Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016, s. 7— BANK OF INDIA v. RADHESHYAM AGRO PRODUCTS P. LTD. (NCLT) . . .	535
	—Petition by financial creditor—Limitation—Petition filed within three years of acknowledgment of debt—Fresh limitation starting from date of acknowledgment—Petition within limitation—Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016, s. 7—Limitation Act, 1963, s. 18— UNION BANK OF INDIA v. U. P. STATE SPINNING CO. LTD. (NCLT) . . .	335
	—Petition by financial creditor—Loan granted by consortium of banks—Agreement envisaging mechanism to jointly enforce loan as consortium—Not to override provisions of Code—Creditor had not waived its statutory rights—Petition by one of creditors—Corporate debtor cannot challenge petition on this ground—Debt and default established—Petition admitted—Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016, ss. 7, 238— BANK OF INDIA v. TD TOLL ROAD P. LTD. (NCLT) . . .	554
	—Petition by financial creditor—Loan granted by consortium of banks—Agreement envisaging mechanism to jointly enforce loan as consortium—Statutory right under section 7 of Code cannot be curtailed or made subservient to any inter-creditor agreement—Petition by one of creditors—Other banks in consortium not objecting to creditor’s petition—Debt and default admitted—Admission of petition affirmed—Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016, s. 7— AMITABH KUMAR JHA v. BANK OF INDIA (NCLAT) . . .	562
	—Petition by financial creditor—Pendency of proceeding under Securitisation and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Securities Interest Act, 2002 or other dispute would not bar initiation of corporate insolvency resolution process—Existence of debts and default shown—Petition complete in all respect and filed within limitation—Petition admitted—Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016, s. 7— BANK OF INDIA v. RADHESHYAM AGRO PRODUCTS P. LTD. (NCLT) . . .	535
	—Petition by financial creditor—Petition against tea company—Consent of Central Government not required—Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016, s. 7—Tea Act, 1953, s. 16G(1)(c)— SANGITA FISCAL SERVICES P. LTD. v. DUNCANS INDUSTRIES LTD. (NCLT) . . .	470
	—Petition by financial creditors—Petitions against guarantors who gave personal guarantee for corporate debtor—Provision pertaining to guarantors not yet notified—Not within jurisdiction of Adjudicating Authority to entertain petition—Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016, s. 60(2)— STATE BANK OF INDIA v. VIJARAJ SURANA (NCLT) . . .	379
	—Petition by financial creditors—Petitions against guarantors who gave personal guarantee—Provision pertaining to guarantors not yet notified—Not within jurisdiction of Adjudicating Authority to entertain	

Insolvency resolution (Contd.)—	PAGE
petition—Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016, s. 60—L AND T INFRA- STRUCTURE FINANCE CO. LTD. <i>v.</i> DINESHCHAND SURANA (NCLT) . . .	366
—Petition by operational creditor—Dispute as to debt—Communi- cation seeking details of services rendered by operational creditor— Cannot be termed as pre-existing debt—Litigation between third parties arising out of dishonour of cheque not relevant—Debt and default established—Petition admitted—Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016, s. 9—CAPEDGE CONSULTING P. LTD. <i>v.</i> INDIA TECHS LTD. (NCLT) . . .	482
—Petition by operational creditor—Dispute as to debt—Must be in relation to quality of services rendered by operational creditor—Corporate debtor not able to show any dispute regarding quality of services rendered by creditor—Admission of petition affirmed—Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016, s. 9—GEORGE VINCI THOMAS <i>v.</i> CAPEDGE CONSULTING P. LTD. (NCLAT) . . .	490
—Petition by operational creditor—Limitation—Acknowledgment of debt by creditor after expiry of initial limitation period—Subsequently par- ties entering into memorandum of understanding whereunder debtor agreeing to make payment within 6 months—Petition filed within limi- tation from date of memorandum of understanding—Acknowledgment of debt and default by debtor—Petition to admitted—Insolvency and Bank- ruptcy Code, 2016, s. 9—Limitation Act, 1963, s. 18, art. 137—Indian Con- tract Act, 1872, s. 25(3)—UNIWORD TELECOM LTD. <i>v.</i> TAURUS EXPORTS P. LTD. (NCLT) . . .	4
—Petition by operational creditor—Limitation—Time-barred debt— No acknowledgment of debt or other circumstances to extend period of limitation—Petition barred by limitation—Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016, s. 9—Limitation Act, 1963, s. 18—BHAWANI INDUSTRIES P. LTD. <i>v.</i> INDERJIT FORGINGS P. LTD. (NCLT) . . .	357
—Petition by operational creditor—Pre-existing dispute—Corre- spondence between parties showing that corporate debtor had raised dispute relating to delay in delivery of cargo prior to issuance of notice— Petition dismissed—Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016, ss. 8, 9— TRANSMEC INDIA P. LTD. <i>v.</i> SAFLOW PRODUCTS P. LTD. (NCLT) . . .	280
—Resolution plan—Approval or rejection of resolution plan depends upon commercial wisdom of committee of creditors—Adjudicating Authority not to intervene—Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016, ss. 30, 31—IMR METALLURGICAL RESOURCES AG <i>v.</i> FERRO ALLOYS CORPORA- TION LTD. (NCLAT) . . .	528

2020]	GENERAL INDEX	xix
		PAGE
	Insolvency resolution (Contd.)—	
	—Resolution plan—Committee of creditors giving equal opportunities to all participants and approving one resolution plan after evaluation—Adjudicating Authority has no power to intervene—Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016, ss. 30, 60(5)—RURAL ELECTRIFICATION CORPORATION <i>v.</i> FERRO ALLOYS CORPORATION LTD. (NCLT) . . .	518
	—Resolution plan—Committee of creditors—Commercial wisdom of committee of creditors in approving or rejecting resolution plan—Adjudicating Authority has no power to scrutinise resolution plan approved or declined by requisite majority of committee of creditors—Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016, ss. 30, 31, 32—RAI BAHADUR SHREE RAM AND CO. P. LTD. <i>v.</i> BHUVAN MADAN, RESOLUTION PROFESSIONAL OF FERRO ALLOYS CORPORATION LTD. (NCLAT) . . .	110
	—Resolution plan—Plan approved by committee of creditors—Plan complying with statutory provisions—To be approved—Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016, s. 30—SUDIP BHATTACHARYA <i>v.</i> DHAR TEXTILE MILLS LTD. (NCLT) . . .	456
	—Resolution plan—Plan approved by committee of creditors—Plan feasible and viable—To be approved—Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016, ss. 30, 31—Insolvency and Bankruptcy Board of India (Insolvency Resolution Process for Corporate Persons) Regulations, 2016, regln. 38—ALLAHABAD BANK <i>v.</i> MEGHALAYA INFRATECH LTD. (NCLT) . . .	290
	—Resolution plan—Resolution plan submitted by two applicants approved and implemented—Rectification of plan—Adjudicating Authority—Powers—No power to rectify resolution plan already approved—Order directing rectification on application filed by one applicant without consent of other applicant—To be set aside—Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016, s. 60—National Company Law Tribunal Rules, 2016, r. 11—QVC EXPORTS P. LTD. <i>v.</i> UNITED TRADECO FZC (NCLAT) . . .	128
	—Time-limit for completion—Unprecedented situation arising out of spread of Covid-19 virus—Period of lock-down declared by Government to be excluded for purpose of counting of period for “resolution process”—National Company Law Appellate Tribunal Rules, 2016, r. 11—Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016, ss. 12, 61—SUO MOTU, <i>In re</i> (NCLAT) . . .	449
	Inter-creditor agreement —Insolvency resolution—Petition by financial creditor—Loan granted by consortium of banks—Agreement envisaging mechanism to jointly enforce loan as consortium—Statutory right under section 7 of Code cannot be curtailed or made subservient to any inter-creditor agreement—Petition by one of creditors—Other banks in consortium not objecting to creditor’s petition—Debt and default admitted—Admission of petition affirmed—AMITABH KUMAR JHA <i>v.</i> BANK OF INDIA (NCLAT) . . .	562

	PAGE
Interim application —Oppression and mismanagement—Petition for relief—Powers of Tribunal—No reason assigned while disposing of application—Matter remitted—MOOLDHAN ADVISORY SYSTEM P. LTD. v. YASHDEEP TREXIM P. LTD. (NCLAT) . . .	1
Interim direction —Appeal to Appellate Tribunal—Interim order for stay—Unprecedented situation arising out of spread of Covid-19 virus—Parties unable to attend hearing due to lock-down declared by Government—Interim direction or stay order passed in appeals to continue until next date of hearing to be notified later—Suo Motu, In re (NCLAT) . . .	449
—Appeal to Appellate Tribunal—Interim order for stay—Unprecedented situation arising out of spread of Covid-19 virus—Parties unable to attend hearing due to lock-down declared by Government—Interim direction or stay order passed in appeals to continue until next date of hearing to be notified later—Suo Motu, In re (NCLAT) . . .	451
—Appeal to Appellate Tribunal—Interim order for stay—Unprecedented situation arising out of spread of Covid-19 virus—Parties unable to attend hearing due to lock-down declared by Government—Interim directions or stay orders passed in all appeals to continue until further orders—Suo Motu, In re (NCLAT) . . .	452
Interim order —Appeal to Appellate Tribunal—Interim order for stay—Unprecedented situation arising out of spread of Covid-19 virus—Parties unable to attend hearing due to lock-down declared by Government—Interim direction or stay order passed in appeals to continue until next date of hearing to be notified later—Suo Motu, In re (NCLAT) . . .	449
—Appeal to Appellate Tribunal—Interim order for stay—Unprecedented situation arising out of spread of Covid-19 virus—Parties unable to attend hearing due to lock-down declared by Government—Interim direction or stay order passed in appeals to continue until next date of hearing to be notified later—Suo Motu, In re (NCLAT) . . .	451
—Appeal to Appellate Tribunal—Interim order for stay—Unprecedented situation arising out of spread of Covid-19 virus—Parties unable to attend hearing due to lock-down declared by Government—Interim directions or stay orders passed in all appeals to continue until further orders—Suo Motu, In re (NCLAT) . . .	452
Intervention application —Company—Restoration of name of company—Application for restoration of name allowed—Can be filed only by aggrieved person whose legal rights infringed—KAYNET FINANCE LTD. v. VERONA CAPITAL LTD. (NCLAT) . . .	28

2020]	GENERAL INDEX	xxi
		PAGE
	Joint petition —Insolvency resolution—Petition by financial creditor—Joint petition by three financial creditors—Petitioner authorised by board resolutions of respective creditors to file petition on their behalf—Petitioner properly authorised to file petition—SANGITA FISCAL SERVICES P. LTD. <i>v.</i> DUNCANS INDUSTRIES LTD. (NCLT) . . .	470
	Jurisdiction —Insolvency resolution—Petition by financial creditors—Petitions against guarantors who gave personal guarantee—Provision pertaining to guarantors not yet notified—Not within jurisdiction of Adjudicating Authority to entertain petition—L AND T INFRASTRUCTURE FINANCE CO. LTD. <i>v.</i> DINESHCHAND SURANA (NCLT) . . .	366
	—Prevention of money-laundering—Special Court—Attachment—Release of property—Jurisdiction to release goods and to entertain objections to attachment conferred both on appellate court and Special Court—Special Court cannot only release attachment but also restore confiscated property to legitimate claimant—Upon attachment or confiscation order attaining finality, or commencement of trial of case under section 4 of Act, claim of party asserting to have acted bona fide or having legitimate interest can be inquired into and adjudicated upon only by Special Court—DEPUTY DIRECTOR, DIRECTORATE OF ENFORCEMENT OF DELHI <i>v.</i> AXIS BANK (Delhi) . . .	147
	Limitation Act, 1963 , s. 18, art. 137—See INSOLVENCY RESOLUTION	4, 546
	—Sec. 18—See INSOLVENCY RESOLUTION	335, 357, 535
	Limitation —Arbitration—Dishonour of cheques—Corona virus—Effect—Supreme Court—Order that all periods of limitation prescribed under Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 and under section 138 of Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 extended with effect from March 15, 2020 till further orders—Where limitation expired after March 15, 2020 period from March 15, 2020 till date on which lock-down lifted in jurisdictional area shall be extended for 15 days after lifting of lock-down—Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996—Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881, s. 138—COGNIZANCE FOR EXTENSION OF LIMITATION, <i>In re</i> (SC) . . .	454
	—Effect of corona virus—Difficulties likely to be faced by lawyers and litigants in filing proceedings within period of limitation—Supreme Court—Taking suo motu cognizance of situation—Direction that irrespective of limitation prescribed under general or special laws, and whether condonable or not, period of limitation in all such proceedings to stand extended with effect from March 15, 2020 till further orders—Order of Supreme Court binding on all authorities and courts and Tribunals—Constitution of India, arts. 141, 142—COGNIZANCE FOR EXTENSION OF LIMITATION, <i>In re</i> (SC) . . .	447

Limitation (Contd.)—

PAGE

- Insolvency resolution—Petition by financial creditor—Code has overriding effect on other laws—Proceedings initiated or pending in Debts Recovery Tribunal cannot be taken into account for purposes of limitation—One-time settlement offer not accepted by financial creditor and cannot be treated as acknowledgment—Appropriation of amount by creditor from guarantor not to extend period of limitation—No acknowledgment issued by corporate debtor prior to expiry of 3 years or from date of default—Petition filed by creditor before Adjudicating Authority beyond period of limitation and to be dismissed—**BIMALKUMAR MANUBHAI SAVALIA v. BANK OF INDIA** (NCLAT) . . . 546
- Insolvency resolution—Petition by financial creditor—Default occurring on 1-5-2000—High Court staying coercive action against corporate debtor—Stay vacated on 7-3-2018—Petition filed on 21-8-2018 within limitation—**BANK OF BARODA v. PITHAMPUR POLY PRODUCTS LTD.** (NCLT) . . . 300
- Insolvency resolution—Petition by financial creditor—Initiation of proceedings under Securitisation and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Securities Interest Act, 2002 within limitation—Petition under also filed within limitation period from date of revised one-time settlement offer—Petition within limitation—**BANK OF INDIA v. RADHESHYAM AGRO PRODUCTS P. LTD.** (NCLT) . . . 535
- Insolvency resolution—Petition by financial creditor—Petition filed within three years of acknowledgment of debt—Fresh limitation starting from date of acknowledgment—Petition within limitation—**UNION BANK OF INDIA v. U. P. STATE SPINNING CO. LTD.** (NCLT) . . . 335
- Insolvency resolution—Petition by operational creditor—Acknowledgment of debt by creditor after expiry of initial limitation period—Subsequently parties entering into memorandum of understanding whereunder debtor agreeing to make payment within 6 months—Petition filed within limitation from date of memorandum of understanding—Acknowledgment of debt and default by debtor—Petition to admitted—**UNIWORD TELECOM LTD. v. TAURUS EXPORTS P. LTD.** (NCLT) . . . 4
- Insolvency resolution—Petition by operational creditor—Time-barred debt—No acknowledgment of debt or other circumstances to extend period of limitation—Petition barred by limitation—**BHAWANI INDUSTRIES P. LTD. v. INDERJIT FORGINGS P. LTD.** (NCLT) . . . 357
- Liquidation**—Insolvency resolution—Despite granting sufficient time efforts to revive operations of corporate debtor failing—Liquidation proceedings to be initiated—**EDELWEISS ASSET RECONSTRUCTION CO. LTD. v. FALCON TYRES LTD.** (NCLT) . . . 346

2020]	GENERAL INDEX	xxiii
		PAGE
	Maintainability —Writ—Bank and customer—Recovery of loan—Recovery before completion of moratorium on recovery—Issue governed by agreement between bank and customer—Involving examination on facts—Cannot be examined by Reserve Bank of India or High Court—Proceedings already initiated before Debts Recovery Tribunal—Borrower to raise issue before Tribunal—No direction can be given to Reserve Bank of India to examine issue—CEE DEE YES HEALTH CARE SERVICES P. LTD. <i>v.</i> RESERVE BANK OF INDIA (Mad) . . .	410
	—Writ—Existence of alternative remedy—Bank—Enforcement of security interest—Challenge to notice issued by bank calling for payment—Debts Recovery Tribunal empowered to examine all issues including measures taken by secured creditor—Case presented by borrower not falling within exceptions enabling exercise of discretionary power by court—Writ petition dismissed—BLUEFERN VENTURES P. LTD. <i>v.</i> UNION OF INDIA (Sikkim) . . .	48
	Meetings of shareholders —Scheme of amalgamation—Meetings of shareholders and unsecured creditors—Boards of directors of transferor and transferee companies approving scheme—Consent affidavits of shareholders and unsecured creditors filed—Meetings of shareholders and unsecured creditors to be dispensed with—Directions given to comply with statutory procedures—DAKSHNESHWAR INFRASTRUCTURE P. LTD., <i>In re</i> (NCLT) . . .	20
	Moratorium —Insolvency resolution—Effect—Contempt of court—Wilful disobedience of order—Disbursement of payments by company to clear liabilities towards its creditors governed by proceedings under Code—Company and its directors prevented by law from satisfying compromise decree—No direction can be given to company or its directors to give any preferential treatment to petitioner and satisfy decree—Not a case of wilful disobedience to punish company or its directors—VED PRAKASH ABBOT <i>v.</i> KISHORE K. AVARSEKAR (Delhi) . . .	224
	—Insolvency resolution—Effect—Not to interfere with authority conferred on enforcement officer under 2002 Act in respect of proceeds of crime—DEPUTY DIRECTOR, DIRECTORATE OF ENFORCEMENT OF DELHI <i>v.</i> AXIS BANK (Delhi) . . .	147
	—Writ—Maintainability—Bank and customer—Recovery of loan—Recovery before completion of moratorium on recovery—Issue governed by agreement between bank and customer—Involving examination on facts—Cannot be examined by Reserve Bank of India or High Court—Proceedings already initiated before Debts Recovery Tribunal—Borrower to raise issue before Tribunal—No direction can be given to Reserve Bank of India to examine issue—CEE DEE YES HEALTH CARE SERVICES P. LTD. <i>v.</i> RESERVE BANK OF INDIA (Mad) . . .	410

	PAGE
National Company Law Tribunal Rules, 2016 , r. 11—See ADJUDICATING AUTHORITY . . .	89
See APPEAL TO APPELLATE TRIBUNAL	449, 451
See INSOLVENCY RESOLUTION	128, 449
Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 , sec. 138—See LIMITATION . . .	454
Offences and prosecution —Application for bail—Serious economic offences—No grounds to believe that applicant not guilty of offences alleged against him and not likely to commit any offence under Act while on bail—Bail application to be rejected—Companies Act, 2013, ss. 212, 284, 436, 439—Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, s. 439— NITTIN JOHARI v. SERIOUS FRAUD INVESTIGATION OFFICE (Delhi) . . .	247
—Auditor—Failure to discharge duty—No proof that he acted fraudulently—Order directing auditor to refund remuneration and suspension to be set aside—Companies Act, 1956, ss. 140, 447— MUKESH MANEKLAL CHOKSI v. UNION OF INDIA (NCLAT) . . .	101
—Bank—Recovery of dues—Sale of mortgaged property—Reduction of reserve price—Protection of action taken in good faith—Allegation that officials of bank colluded to sell valuable property for lower price—Whether official acted in good faith in conducting auction to be considered only after ascertaining facts—Criminal complaint filed after dismissal of application by Debts Recovery Tribunal and appeal therefrom intimidatory tactic and afterthought—Complaint against bank officials quashed with liberty to complainant to appeal against order of dismissals—Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, s. 482—Securitisation and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Security Interest Act, 2002, s. 32— K. VIRUPAKSHA v. STATE OF KARNATAKA (SC) . . .	505
—Bank—Recovery of dues—Sale of mortgaged property—Reduction of reserve price—Protection of action taken in good faith—Allegation that officials of bank colluded to sell valuable property for lower price—Whether official acted in good faith in conducting auction to be considered only after ascertaining facts—Disputed question of facts involved—Protection not available—Unless serious allegations looked into by concerned police, court cannot exercise powers to quash proceedings—Petition dismissed—Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, s. 482—Securitisation and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Security Interest Act, 2002, s. 32— K. VIRUPAKSHA v. STATE OF KARNATAKA (Karn) . . .	495
—Compounding of offences—Failure to enclose board's report with balance-sheet—Board's report attached with application for compounding—Offence to be compounded subject to deposit of compounding fees—Companies Act, 1956, s. 217(1)— PRANATPAL TRADELINK P. LTD., In re (NCLT) . . .	69

2020]

GENERAL INDEX

xxv

PAGE

One-time settlement —Insolvency resolution—Petition by financial creditor—Limitation—Code has overriding effect on other laws—Proceedings initiated or pending in Debts Recovery Tribunal cannot be taken into account for purposes of limitation—One-time settlement offer not accepted by financial creditor and cannot be treated as acknowledgment—Appropriation of amount by creditor from guarantor not to extend period of limitation—No acknowledgment issued by corporate debtor prior to expiry of 3 years or from date of default—Petition filed by creditor before Adjudicating Authority beyond period of limitation and to be dismissed— BIMALKUMAR MANUBHAI SAVALIA v. BANK OF INDIA (NCLAT) . . .	546
—Insolvency resolution—Petition by financial creditor—Acknowledgment of debt—Sufficient evidence for debt due and default—Corporate debtor and guarantors acknowledging debts by offering repeated one-time settlement proposals after filing of petition—Petition to be admitted— BANK OF BARODA v. PITHAMPUR POLY PRODUCTS LTD. (NCLT) . . .	300
—Insolvency resolution—Petition by financial creditor—Limitation—Initiation of proceedings under Securitisation and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Securities Interest Act, 2002 within limitation—Petition under also filed within limitation period from date of revised one-time settlement offer—Petition within limitation— BANK OF INDIA v. RADHESHYAM AGRO PRODUCTS P. LTD. (NCLT) . . .	535
Oppression and mismanagement —Mismanagement—Fraud—Freezing of accounts of person liable for fraudulent conduct or business—Only of responsible for business of company being mismanaged and not business of another company or other persons—Freezing of accounts of officer of bank for omission to take precautions or preventive steps to prevent fraud perpetrated by person or company—Without jurisdiction—Companies Act, 2013, ss. 241(2), 337, 339— USHA ANANTHASUBRAMANIAN v. UNION OF INDIA (SC) . . .	295
—Petition for relief—Finding that substratum of company lost—Order for winding up—Justified—Companies Act, 1956, ss. 397, 398, 402, 403, 408— LALIT AGGARWAL v. SHREE BIHARI FORGINGS P. LTD. (NCLAT) . . .	139
—Petition for relief—Powers of Tribunal—Interim application—No reason assigned while disposing of application—Matter remitted—Companies Act, 1956, ss. 397, 398— MOOLDHAN ADVISORY SYSTEM P. LTD. v. YASHDEEP TREXIM P. LTD. (NCLAT) . . .	1
Period of limitation —Limitation—Effect of corona virus—Difficulties likely to be faced by lawyers and litigants in filing proceedings within period of limitation—Supreme Court—Taking suo motu cognizance of situation—Direction that irrespective of limitation prescribed under general	

	PAGE
Period of limitation (<i>Contd.</i>)—	
or special laws, and whether condonable or not, period of limitation in all such proceedings to stand extended with effect from March 15, 2020 till further orders—Order of Supreme Court binding on all authorities and courts and Tribunals—COGNIZANCE FOR EXTENSION OF LIMITATION, <i>In re</i> (SC) . . .	447
Personal guarantee —Insolvency resolution—Petition by financial creditors—Petitions against guarantors who gave personal guarantee for corporate debtor—Provision pertaining to guarantors not yet notified—Not within jurisdiction of Adjudicating Authority to entertain petition—STATE BANK OF INDIA <i>v.</i> VIJARAJ SURANA (NCLT) . . .	379
Petition by financial creditor —Insolvency resolution—Acknowledgment of debt—Sufficient evidence for debt due and default—Corporate debtor and guarantors acknowledging debts by offering repeated one-time settlement proposals after filing of petition—Petition to be admitted—BANK OF BARODA <i>v.</i> PITHAMPUR POLY PRODUCTS LTD. (NCLT) . . .	300
—Insolvency resolution—Debt and default proven—Petition admitted—UNION BANK OF INDIA <i>v.</i> U. P. STATE SPINNING CO. LTD. (NCLT) . . .	335
—Insolvency resolution—Disbursement of loan amount in terms of memorandum of understanding—Subsequent builder buyer agreement converting loan as consideration for flat—Creditor as home buyer entitled to file petition—Period mentioned in agreement not lapsed and possession of flat offered before date of possession in agreement—No default established as on date of filing of petition—Petition filed prematurely and dismissed—AAJ FINANCE AND CREDIT LTD. <i>v.</i> KELTECH INFRASTRUCTURES LTD. (NCLT) . . .	36
—Insolvency resolution—Disbursement of loan in terms of memorandum of understanding—Subsequent builder buyer agreement between parties converting loan into consideration for flat—Period mentioned in agreement not lapsed and possession of flat offered before completion of possession date as given in agreement—Dismissal of petition as premature—AAJ FINANCE AND CREDIT LTD. <i>v.</i> KELTECH INFRASTRUCTURE LTD. (NCLAT) . . .	43
—Insolvency resolution—Financial debt—Amount borrowed for short-term for commercial purpose with interest element involved—Loan advanced amounted to financial debt—Failure to repay debt—Petition admitted—SANGITA FISCAL SERVICES P. LTD. <i>v.</i> DUNCANS INDUSTRIES LTD. (NCLT) . . .	470

2020]	GENERAL INDEX	xxvii
	Petition by financial creditor (<i>Contd.</i>)—	PAGE
	—Insolvency resolution—Joint petition by three financial creditors— Petitioner authorised by board resolutions of respective creditors to file petition on their behalf—Petitioner properly authorised to file petition— SANGITA FISCAL SERVICES P. LTD. <i>v.</i> DUNCANS INDUSTRIES LTD. (NCLT) . . .	470
	—Insolvency resolution—Limitation—Code has overriding effect on other laws—Proceedings initiated or pending in Debts Recovery Tribunal cannot be taken into account for purposes of limitation—One-time set- tlement offer not accepted by financial creditor and cannot be treated as acknowledgment—Appropriation of amount by creditor from guarantor not to extend period of limitation—No acknowledgment issued by cor- porate debtor prior to expiry of 3 years or from date of default—Petition filed by creditor before Adjudicating Authority beyond period of limitation and to be dismissed—BIMALKUMAR MANUBHAI SAVALIA <i>v.</i> BANK OF INDIA (NCLAT) . . .	546
	—Insolvency resolution—Limitation—Default occurring on 1-5- 2000—High Court staying coercive action against corporate debtor— Stay vacated on 7-3-2018—Petition filed on 21-8-2018 within limita- tion—BANK OF BARODA <i>v.</i> PITHAMPUR POLY PRODUCTS LTD. (NCLT) . . .	300
	—Insolvency resolution—Limitation—Initiation of proceedings under Securitisation and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforce- ment of Securities Interest Act, 2002 within limitation—Petition under also filed within limitation period from date of revised one-time settle- ment offer—Petition within limitation—BANK OF INDIA <i>v.</i> RADHESHYAM AGRO PRODUCTS P. LTD. (NCLT) . . .	535
	—Insolvency resolution—Limitation—Petition filed within three years of acknowledgment of debt—Fresh limitation starting from date of acknowledgment—Petition within limitation—UNION BANK OF INDIA <i>v.</i> U. P. STATE SPINNING CO. LTD. (NCLT) . . .	335
	—Insolvency resolution—Loan granted by consortium of banks— Agreement envisaging mechanism to jointly enforce loan as consortium— Not to override provisions of Code—Creditor had not waived its statutory rights—Petition by one of creditors—Corporate debtor cannot challenge petition on this ground—Debt and default established—Petition admit- ted—BANK OF INDIA <i>v.</i> TD TOLL ROAD P. LTD. (NCLT) . . .	554
	—Insolvency resolution—Loan granted by consortium of banks— Agreement envisaging mechanism to jointly enforce loan as consortium— Statutory right under section 7 of Code cannot be curtailed or made sub- servient to any inter-creditor agreement—Petition by one of creditors— Other banks in consortium not objecting to creditor's petition—Debt and	

Petition by financial creditor (<i>Contd.</i>)—	PAGE
default admitted—Admission of petition affirmed—AMITABH KUMAR JHA <i>v. BANK OF INDIA</i> (NCLAT) . . .	562
—Insolvency resolution—Pendency of proceeding under Securitisation and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Securities Interest Act, 2002 or other dispute would not bar initiation of corporate insolvency resolution process—Existence of debts and default shown—Petition complete in all respect and filed within limitation—Petition admitted—BANK OF INDIA <i>v. RADHESHYAM AGRO PRODUCTS P. LTD.</i> (NCLT) . . .	535
—Insolvency resolution—Petition against tea company—Consent of Central Government not required—SANGITA FISCAL SERVICES P. LTD. <i>v. DUNCANS INDUSTRIES LTD.</i> (NCLT) . . .	470
—Insolvency resolution—Petitions against guarantors who gave personal guarantee for corporate debtor—Provision pertaining to guarantors not yet notified—Not within jurisdiction of Adjudicating Authority to entertain petition—STATE BANK OF INDIA <i>v. VIJARAJ SURANA</i> (NCLT) . . .	379
—Insolvency resolution—Petitions against guarantors who gave personal guarantee—Provision pertaining to guarantors not yet notified—Not within jurisdiction of Adjudicating Authority to entertain petition—L AND T INFRASTRUCTURE FINANCE CO. LTD. <i>v. DINESHCHAND SURANA</i> (NCLT) . . .	366
Petition by operational creditor —Insolvency resolution—Dispute as to debt—Communication seeking details of services rendered by operational creditor—Cannot be termed as pre-existing debt—Litigation between third parties arising out of dishonour of cheque not relevant—Debt and default established—Petition admitted—CAPEDGE CONSULTING P. LTD. <i>v. INDIA TECHS LTD.</i> (NCLT) . . .	482
—Insolvency resolution—Dispute as to debt—Must be in relation to quality of services rendered by operational creditor—Corporate debtor not able to show any dispute regarding quality of services rendered by creditor—Admission of petition affirmed—GEORGE VINCI THOMAS <i>v. CAPE-EDGE CONSULTING P. LTD.</i> (NCLAT) . . .	490
—Insolvency resolution—Limitation—Acknowledgment of debt by creditor after expiry of initial limitation period—Subsequently parties entering into memorandum of understanding whereunder debtor agreeing to make payment within 6 months—Petition filed within limitation from date of memorandum of understanding—Acknowledgment of debt and default by debtor—Petition to admitted—UNIWORD TELECOM LTD. <i>v. TAURUS EXPORTS P. LTD.</i> (NCLT) . . .	4

2020]	GENERAL INDEX	xxix
	Petition by operational creditor (<i>Contd.</i>)—	PAGE
	—Insolvency resolution—Limitation—Time-barred debt—No acknowledgment of debt or other circumstances to extend period of limitation—Petition barred by limitation— BHAWANI INDUSTRIES P. LTD. v. INDERJIT FORGINGS P. LTD. (NCLT) . . .	357
	—Insolvency resolution—Pre-existing dispute—Correspondence between parties showing that corporate debtor had raised dispute relating to delay in delivery of cargo prior to issuance of notice—Petition dismissed— TRANSMEC INDIA P. LTD. v. SAFLOW PRODUCTS P. LTD. (NCLT) . . .	280
	Petition for relief —Oppression and mismanagement—Finding that substratum of company lost—Order for winding up—Justified— LALIT AGGARWAL v. SHREE BIHARI FORGINGS P. LTD. (NCLAT) . . .	139
	—Oppression and mismanagement—Powers of Tribunal—Interim application—No reason assigned while disposing of application—Matter remitted— MOOLDHAN ADVISORY SYSTEM P. LTD. v. YASHDEEP TREXIM P. LTD. (NCLAT) . . .	1
	Petition for winding up —Winding up—Name of company struck off from Register of Companies—Not a reason to reject winding up petition—Winding up petition to be decided on merits—Matter remitted— MONA AGGARWAL v. GHAZIABAD ENGINEERING Co. LTD. (NCLAT) . . .	391
	Powers of Tribunal —Oppression and mismanagement—Petition for relief—Interim application—No reason assigned while disposing of application—Matter remitted— MOOLDHAN ADVISORY SYSTEM P. LTD. v. YASHDEEP TREXIM P. LTD. (NCLAT) . . .	1
	Powers —Adjudicating Authority—Has power to refer matter to Central Government for investigation into affairs of company— M. SRINIVAS v. SMT. RAMANATHAN BHUVANESHWARI (NCLAT) . . .	89
	—Insolvency resolution—Resolution plan—Resolution plan submitted by two applicants approved and implemented—Rectification of plan—Adjudicating Authority—No power to rectify resolution plan already approved—Order directing rectification on application filed by one applicant without consent of other applicant—To be set aside— QVC EXPORTS P. LTD. v. UNITED TRADECO FZC (NCLAT) . . .	128
	Pre-existing dispute —Insolvency resolution—Petition by operational creditor—Correspondence between parties showing that corporate debtor had raised dispute relating to delay in delivery of cargo prior to issuance of notice—Petition dismissed— TRANSMEC INDIA P. LTD. v. SAFLOW PRODUCTS P. LTD. (NCLT) . . .	280

	PAGE
Prevention of Money-Laundering Act, 2002 , sec. 2(1)(d)—See	
BANK . . .	147
—Sec. 4—See BANK . . .	147
See PREVENTION OF MONEY-LAUNDERING . . .	147
—Sec. 5—See BANK . . .	147
See INSOLVENCY RESOLUTION . . .	147
See PREVENTION OF MONEY-LAUNDERING . . .	147
—Sec. 8—See BANK . . .	147
See PREVENTION OF MONEY-LAUNDERING . . .	147
—Sec. 9—See BANK . . .	147
See INSOLVENCY RESOLUTION . . .	147
See PREVENTION OF MONEY-LAUNDERING . . .	147
—Sec. 12—See BANK . . .	147
—Sec. 43—See PREVENTION OF MONEY-LAUNDERING . . .	147
—Sec. 71—See BANK . . .	147
Prevention of money-laundering —Attachment—Third party interest—Commission of criminal activity be treated as cut-off date—Interest created prior to criminal activity—Order of attachment valid but State action would be restricted to value of property exceeding claim of third party—Prevention of Money-Laundering Act, 2002, s. 5—DEPUTY DIRECTOR, DIRECTORATE OF ENFORCEMENT OF DELHI <i>v.</i> AXIS BANK (Delhi) . . .	147
—Special Court—Jurisdiction—Attachment—Release of property—Jurisdiction to release goods and to entertain objections to attachment conferred both on appellate court and Special Court—Special Court cannot only release attachment but also restore confiscated property to legitimate claimant—Upon attachment or confiscation order attaining finality, or commencement of trial of case under section 4 of Act, claim of party asserting to have acted bona fide or having legitimate interest can be inquired into and adjudicated upon only by Special Court—Prevention of Money-Laundering Act, 2002, ss. 4, 5, 8, 9, 43—DEPUTY DIRECTOR, DIRECTORATE OF ENFORCEMENT OF DELHI <i>v.</i> AXIS BANK (Delhi) . . .	147
Recovery of Debts and Bankruptcy Act, 1993 , secs. 31B, 34—See	
BANK . . .	147
Recovery of dues —Offences and prosecution—Bank—Sale of mortgaged property—Reduction of reserve price—Protection of action taken in good faith—Allegation that officials of bank colluded to sell valuable property for lower price—Whether official acted in good faith in conducting auction to be considered only after ascertaining facts—Criminal complaint filed after dismissal of application by Debts Recovery Tribunal	

2020]	GENERAL INDEX	xxxi
	Recovery of dues (Contd.)—	PAGE
	and appeal therefrom intimidatory tactic and afterthought—Complaint against bank officials quashed with liberty to complainant to appeal against order of dismissals—K. VIRUPAKSHA <i>v.</i> STATE OF KARNATAKA (SC) . . .	505
	—Offences and prosecution—Bank—Sale of mortgaged property—Reduction of reserve price—Protection of action taken in good faith—Allegation that officials of bank colluded to sell valuable property for lower price—Whether official acted in good faith in conducting auction to be considered only after ascertaining facts—Disputed question of facts involved—Protection not available—Unless serious allegations looked into by concerned police, court cannot exercise powers to quash proceedings—Petition dismissed—K. VIRUPAKSHA <i>v.</i> STATE OF KARNATAKA (Karn) . . .	495
	Recovery of loan— Writ—Maintainability—Bank and customer—Recovery before completion of moratorium on recovery—Issue governed by agreement between bank and customer—Involving examination on facts—Cannot be examined by Reserve Bank of India or High Court—Proceedings already initiated before Debts Recovery Tribunal—Borrower to raise issue before Tribunal—No direction can be given to Reserve Bank of India to examine issue—CEE DEE YES HEALTH CARE SERVICES P. LTD. <i>v.</i> RESERVE BANK OF INDIA (Mad) . . .	410
	Rectification of plan— Insolvency resolution—Resolution plan—Resolution plan submitted by two applicants approved and implemented—Adjudicating Authority—Powers—No power to rectify resolution plan already approved—Order directing rectification on application filed by one applicant without consent of other applicant—To be set aside—QVC EXPORTS P. LTD. <i>v.</i> UNITED TRADECO FZC (NCLAT) . . .	128
	Rectification of register— Register of members—Transfer of shares—Sale of fully paid-up shares on failure to pay rental dues—Legal heirs of deceased shareholder legitimate equity shareholder—Transfer of shares without consent of shareholder, original share certificate and transfer—Direction to rectify register of members—P. M. ALICE <i>v.</i> VYAPAR MANDIR PALARIVATTOM P. LTD. (NCLT) . . .	9
	Register of Companies— Winding up—Petition for winding up—Name of company struck off from Register of Companies—Not a reason to reject winding up petition—Winding up petition to be decided on merits—Matter remitted—MONA AGGARWAL <i>v.</i> GHAZIABAD ENGINEERING Co. LTD. (NCLAT) . . .	391
	Register of members— Rectification of register—Transfer of shares—Sale of fully paid-up shares on failure to pay rental dues—Legal heirs of deceased shareholder legitimate equity shareholder—Transfer of	

	PAGE
Register of members (<i>Contd.</i>)—	
shares without consent of shareholder, original share certificate and transfer—Direction to rectify register of members—Companies Act, 2013, s. 59(1)— <i>P. M. ALICE v. VYAPAR MANDIR PALARIVATTOM P. LTD.</i> (NCLT) . . .	9
Related party —Insolvency resolution—Committee of creditors—Committee of creditors must be completely independent and free from influence based on vested interest of promoters or their close relatives—Party related to promoters disqualified from participating, voting and representing in committee of creditors— <i>ASSET RECONSTRUCTION CO. (INDIA) LTD. v. GOPAL KRISHNA RAJU</i> (NCLT) . . .	327
Release of property —Prevention of money-laundering—Special Court—Jurisdiction—Attachment—Jurisdiction to release goods and to entertain objections to attachment conferred both on appellate court and Special Court—Special Court cannot only release attachment but also restore confiscated property to legitimate claimant—Upon attachment or confiscation order attaining finality, or commencement of trial of case under section 4 of Act, claim of party asserting to have acted bona fide or having legitimate interest can be inquired into and adjudicated upon only by Special Court— <i>DEPUTY DIRECTOR, DIRECTORATE OF ENFORCEMENT OF DELHI v. AXIS BANK</i> (Delhi) . . .	147
Removal of directors —Standards of conduct and performance—Disciplinary proceedings—Inquiry Committee—Managing director and chief executive officer competent authority— <i>RAJEEV AGARWAL v. UNION OF INDIA</i> (Delhi) . . .	420
Resolution plan —Insolvency resolution—Approval or rejection of resolution plan depends upon commercial wisdom of committee of creditors—Adjudicating Authority not to intervene— <i>IMR METALLURGICAL RESOURCES AG v. FERRO ALLOYS CORPORATION LTD.</i> (NCLAT) . . .	528
—Insolvency resolution—Committee of creditors giving equal opportunities to all participants and approving one resolution plan after evaluation—Adjudicating Authority has no power to intervene— <i>RURAL ELECTRIFICATION CORPORATION v. FERRO ALLOYS CORPORATION LTD.</i> (NCLT) . . .	518
—Insolvency resolution—Committee of creditors—Commercial wisdom of committee of creditors in approving or rejecting resolution plan—Adjudicating Authority has no power to scrutinise resolution plan approved or declined by requisite majority of committee of creditors— <i>RAI BAHADUR SHREE RAM AND CO. P. LTD. v. BHUVAN MADAN, RESOLUTION PROFESSIONAL OF FERRO ALLOYS CORPORATION LTD.</i> (NCLAT) . . .	110

2020]	GENERAL INDEX	xxxiii
		PAGE
	Resolution plan (Contd.)—	
	—Insolvency resolution—Plan approved by committee of creditors—Plan complying with statutory provisions—To be approved— SUDIP BHATTACHARYA v. DHAR TEXTILE MILLS LTD. (NCLT) . . .	456
	—Insolvency resolution—Plan approved by committee of creditors—Plan feasible and viable—To be approved— ALLAHABAD BANK v. MEGHALAYA INFRATECH LTD. (NCLT) . . .	290
	—Insolvency resolution—Resolution plan submitted by two applicants approved and implemented—Rectification of plan—Adjudicating Authority—Powers—No power to rectify resolution plan already approved—Order directing rectification on application filed by one applicant without consent of other applicant—To be set aside— QVC EXPORTS P. LTD. v. UNITED TRADECO FZC (NCLAT) . . .	128
	Restoration of name of company —Company—Application for restoration of name allowed—Intervention application—Can be filed only by aggrieved person whose legal rights infringed— KAYNET FINANCE LTD. v. VERONA CAPITAL LTD. (NCLAT) . . .	28
	Sanction of scheme —Scheme of compromise and arrangement—Scheme just and fair—To be approved— COL. P. K. UBEROI (RETD.) v. VIGNESHWARA DEVELOPWELL P. LTD. (Delhi) . . .	262
	Scheme of amalgamation —Meetings of shareholders and unsecured creditors—Boards of directors of transferor and transferee companies approving scheme—Consent affidavits of shareholders and unsecured creditors filed—Meetings of shareholders and unsecured creditors to be dispensed with—Directions given to comply with statutory procedures—Companies Act, 2013, ss. 230, 231, 232— DAKSHINESHWAR INFRASTRUCTURE P. LTD., In re (NCLT) . . .	20
	Scheme of compromise and arrangement —Sanction of scheme—Scheme just and fair—To be approved—Companies Act, 1956, ss. 391, 392, 393— COL. P. K. UBEROI (RETD.) v. VIGNESHWARA DEVELOPWELL P. LTD. (Delhi) . . .	262
	Secured creditor —Writ—Maintainability—Existence of alternative remedy—Bank—Enforcement of security interest—Challenge to notice issued by bank calling for payment—Debts Recovery Tribunal empowered to examine all issues including measures taken by secured creditor—Case presented by borrower not falling within exceptions enabling exercise of discretionary power by court—Writ petition dismissed— BLUEFERN VENTURES P. LTD. v. UNION OF INDIA (Sikkim) . . .	48
	Securitisation and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Security Interest Act, 2002 , sec. 13(2)—See WRIT . . .	48
	—Secs. 26E, 35—See BANK . . .	147
	—Sec. 32—OFFENCES AND PROSECUTION	495, 505
	CC—GI—220—iii	

	PAGE
Serious economic offences —Offences and prosecution—Application for bail—No grounds to believe that applicant not guilty of offences alleged against him and not likely to commit any offence under Act while on bail—Bail application to be rejected— <i>NITTIN JOHARI v. SERIOUS FRAUD INVESTIGATION OFFICE</i> (Delhi) . . .	247
Shares —Company’s lien on shares—Articles of association—Articles excluding application of model article giving company lien on shares—Company has no right to exercise lien on shares for recovery of dues—No right to auction and allot shares to third parties ignoring right of fully paid-up shareholders—Companies Act, 2013, Sch. I, Table F, regln. 9—Companies Act, 1956, Sch. I, Table A, regln. 9— <i>P. M. ALICE v. VYAPAR MANDIR PALARIVATTOM P. LTD.</i> (NCLT) . . .	9
Shareholders —Rights—No rental agreement between company and shareholder—Auction of shares by company for recovery of rental arrears—Mala fide and with ulterior motives—Companies Act, 2013, s. 59(1)— <i>P. M. ALICE v. VYAPAR MANDIR PALARIVATTOM P. LTD.</i> (NCLT) . . .	9
Special Court —Prevention of money-laundering—Jurisdiction—Attachment—Release of property—Jurisdiction to release goods and to entertain objections to attachment conferred both on appellate court and Special Court—Special Court cannot only release attachment but also restore confiscated property to legitimate claimant—Upon attachment or confiscation order attaining finality, or commencement of trial of case under section 4 of Act, claim of party asserting to have acted bona fide or having legitimate interest can be inquired into and adjudicated upon only by Special Court— <i>DEPUTY DIRECTOR, DIRECTORATE OF ENFORCEMENT OF DELHI v. AXIS BANK</i> (Delhi) . . .	147
Statutory provisions —Insolvency resolution—Resolution plan—Plan approved by committee of creditors—Plan complying with statutory provisions—To be approved— <i>SUDIP BHATTACHARYA v. DHAR TEXTILE MILLS LTD.</i> (NCLT) . . .	456
Statutory right —Insolvency resolution—Petition by financial creditor—Loan granted by consortium of banks—Agreement envisaging mechanism to jointly enforce loan as consortium—Not to override provisions of Code—Creditor had not waived its statutory rights—Petition by one of creditors—Corporate debtor cannot challenge petition on this ground—Debt and default established—Petition admitted— <i>BANK OF INDIA v. TD TOLL ROAD P. LTD.</i> (NCLT) . . .	554
—Insolvency resolution—Petition by financial creditor—Loan granted by consortium of banks—Agreement envisaging mechanism to jointly enforce loan as consortium—Statutory right under section 7 of Code cannot be curtailed or made subservient to any inter-creditor agreement—Petition by one of creditors—Other banks in consortium not	

2020]	GENERAL INDEX	xxxv
	Statutory right (<i>Contd.</i>)—	PAGE
	objecting to creditor's petition—Debt and default admitted—Admission of petition affirmed—AMITABH KUMAR JHA <i>v.</i> BANK OF INDIA (NCLAT) . . .	562
	Tea Act, 1953 , sec. 16G(1)(c)—See INSOLVENCY RESOLUTION . . .	470
	Third party interest —Prevention of money-laundering—Attachment—Commission of criminal activity be treated as cut-off date—Interest created prior to criminal activity—Order of attachment valid but State action would be restricted to value of property exceeding claim of third party—DEPUTY DIRECTOR, DIRECTORATE OF ENFORCEMENT OF DELHI <i>v.</i> AXIS BANK (Delhi) . . .	147
	Time-barred debt —Insolvency resolution—Petition by operational creditor—Limitation—No acknowledgment of debt or other circumstances to extend period of limitation—Petition barred by limitation—BHAWANI INDUSTRIES P. LTD. <i>v.</i> INDERJIT FORGINGS P. LTD. (NCLT) . . .	357
	Time-limit —Insolvency resolution—Time-limit for completion—Unprecedented situation arising out of spread of Covid-19 virus—Period of lock-down declared by Government to be excluded for purpose of counting of period for "resolution process"—SUO MOTU, <i>In re</i> (NCLAT) . . .	449
	Transfer of shares —Register of members—Rectification of register—Sale of fully paid-up shares on failure to pay rental dues—Legal heirs of deceased shareholder legitimate equity shareholder—Transfer of shares without consent of shareholder, original share certificate and transfer—Direction to rectify register of members—P. M. ALICE <i>v.</i> VYAPAR MANDIR PALARIVATTOM P. LTD. (NCLT) . . .	9
	Ubi jus ibi remedium —Interpretation—KAYNET FINANCE LTD. <i>v.</i> VERONA CAPITAL LTD. (NCLAT) . . .	28
	Unprecedented situation —Appeal to Appellate Tribunal—Interim order for stay—Unprecedented situation arising out of spread of Covid-19 virus—Parties unable to attend hearing due to lock-down declared by Government—Interim direction or stay order passed in appeals to continue until next date of hearing to be notified later—SUO MOTU, <i>In re</i> (NCLAT) . . .	449
	—Appeal to Appellate Tribunal—Interim order for stay—Unprecedented situation arising out of spread of Covid-19 virus—Parties unable to attend hearing due to lock-down declared by Government—Interim direction or stay order passed in appeals to continue until next date of hearing to be notified later—SUO MOTU, <i>In re</i> (NCLAT) . . .	451
	—Appeal to Appellate Tribunal—Interim order for stay—Unprecedented situation arising out of spread of Covid-19 virus—Parties unable to attend hearing due to lock-down declared by Government—Interim	

xxxvi

COMPANY CASES

[VOL. 220

	PAGE
Unprecedented situation (<i>Contd.</i>)—	
directions or stay orders passed in all appeals to continue until further orders— <i>Suo Motu, In re</i> (NCLAT) . . .	452
—Insolvency resolution—Time-limit for completion—Unprecedented situation arising out of spread of Covid-19 virus—Period of lock-down declared by Government to be excluded for purpose of counting of period for “resolution process”— <i>Suo Motu, In re</i> (NCLAT) . . .	449
Winding up —Petition for winding up—Name of company struck off from Register of Companies—Not a reason to reject winding up petition—Winding up petition to be decided on merits—Matter remitted—Companies Act, 2013, ss. 248, 271— <i>MONA AGGARWAL v. GHAZIABAD ENGINEERING CO. LTD.</i> (NCLAT) . . .	391
Writ —Maintainability—Bank and customer—Recovery of loan—Recovery before completion of moratorium on recovery—Issue governed by agreement between bank and customer—Involving examination on facts—Cannot be examined by Reserve Bank of India or High Court—Proceedings already initiated before Debts Recovery Tribunal—Borrower to raise issue before Tribunal—No direction can be given to Reserve Bank of India to examine issue—Constitution of India, art. 226— <i>CEE DEE YES HEALTH CARE SERVICES P. LTD. v. RESERVE BANK OF INDIA</i> (Mad) . . .	410
—Maintainability—Existence of alternative remedy—Bank—Enforcement of security interest—Challenge to notice issued by bank calling for payment—Debts Recovery Tribunal empowered to examine all issues including measures taken by secured creditor—Case presented by borrower not falling within exceptions enabling exercise of discretionary power by court—Writ petition dismissed—Constitution of India, art. 226—Securitisation and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Security Interest Act, 2002, s. 13(2)— <i>BLUEFERN VENTURES P. LTD. v. UNION OF INDIA</i> (Sikkim)	48

End of Volume 220